

# GETTING TO THE PREFERRED ROADWAY SCENARIO



## PROCESS

1. Review scenario workshop and stakeholder feedback
  - a. Keypad polling
  - b. Map comments
  - c. Visualization tool – survey & map comments
2. Technical evaluation
  - a. Evaluation criteria for roadway line and point projects
  - b. Review CMP projects
  - c. Comparison of adjacent corridors when relevant
  - d. Use cross-run scenarios for comparative purposes (for instance, S1 land use with S2 roads)
3. Consideration and incorporation of relevant efforts
  - a. Ensuring alignment with centers and joint corridors with transit and active transportation
    - i. If there is a proposed transit project on widening corridor, consider operational project
  - b. Wasatch Front Central Corridor Study and Point of the Mountain
  - c. TIF, TIP, etc.

## SELECTION CRITERIA (NEED-BASED EVALUATION)

### First Screening



#### Safe, user-friendly streets

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Mitigates safety issues

*Measure*

1. Project is a grade-separation of roadways, railways, etc.
  2. For all other projects, UDOT’s safety index average
- Note: for widening projects where safety is a concern, identify project as ‘widening with safety improvements’ in plan*



#### Manageable and reliable traffic conditions

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Improves traffic conditions through management and reliability

*Measure*

1. Project meets volume thresholds for additional lanes
2. Project improves V/C over no build
3. Project increases connectivity
4. Project is identified as a CMP/TSM project (*if yes, then automatically on draft preferred*)



#### Fiscally efficient communities and infrastructure

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Aligns with existing projects (*if yes to any, then automatically on draft preferred*)

*Measure*

1. Project is on TIP
2. Project is part of a planning/environmental study
3. Efforts underway to preserve the project’s corridor

## Second Screening

Projects that have not met first screening criteria will be screened through the following criteria to further determine need.



### Access to economic and educational opportunities

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Improves access to job and educational opportunities

*Measure*

1. Project improves job and service access
2. Project improves access to GOED strategic cluster
3. Project improves access to major education centers



### Access to economic and educational opportunities

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Enhances freight mobility

*Measure*

1. Project addresses need identified in the freight plan
2. Project addresses area with high commercial vehicle activity

## Impacts Screening

Projects that have either met the first or second screening criteria will be screened for potential impacts.



### Livable and healthy communities

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Supports the Wasatch Choice for 2050 and revitalizes the economy

*Measure* Potential impact on community character not identified



### A sustainable environment including water, agricultural, and other natural resources

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Supports environmental sustainability

*Measure* Potential impact on vulnerable lands (i.e., avoiding wetlands, reducing impacts to agricultural lands) not identified



### Quality transportation choices

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Supports transportation choices

*Measure* Potential impact on existing or planned transit or active transportation, including safety of facility, not identified



### Ample parks, open spaces, and recreational opportunities

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Supports access to parks, open space, and recreation

*Measure* Potential impact on parks, open space, and recreation not identified



### Housing choices and affordable living

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Supports affordable housing and transportation costs

*Measure* Potential impact on vulnerable communities not identified

## Projects Not Used for Project Selection



### Clean air

*2019 – 2050 Proposed Objective* Not evaluated for selection; will be considered for phasing

*Measure* N/A

## MANAGEABLE AND RELIABLE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS THRESHOLDS

### Daily Thresholds for Collector, Major Arterial, and Principal Arterial

Number of Lanes (total)	Average Annual Daily Traffic
3 lanes	Up to 20,000
5 lanes	20,000 to 40,000
7 lanes	40,000+

### Daily Thresholds for Freeway

Number of Lanes (total)	Average Annual Daily Traffic
4 lanes	Up to 71,000
6 lanes	71,000 to 106,000
8 lanes	106,000 to 141,000
10 lanes	141,000+
HOT lanes	¾ of general purpose lane