Comments Received During the June 5, 2013 Presentation of the Draft Growth Scenarios To Representatives From Pleasant View City, North Ogden City, Harrisville City, Plain City and Weber County

| Comment | WFRC Response |
|---|---|
| A Laissez-faire approach to planning results in scattered growth. | WFRC concurs and believes that the Wasatch Choice for 2040 Vision for growth and development is the best opportunity to grow in a coherent, well planned fashion that will preserve our quality of life and maximize the value of |
| The best elements of both approaches (scenarios 1 & 4) are needed | available funding for future infrastructure development. WFRC will take the comment into account as it adopts a preferred growth scenario on which to base the draft |
| Water supply is going to become more of an issue. | 2015-2040 RTP update. WFRC will take this comment into consideration as it develops the 2015-2040 RTP draft preferred scenario. |
| More east / west capacity will be needed, especially west of I-15 | As above |
| Even if the population shifts toward I-15 as in scenario 4, the west side highway will be needed. | As above |
| Implementation of scenario 4 would help preserve the rural character of the west side. | WFRC will take the comment into account as it adopts a preferred growth scenario on which to base the draft 2015-2040 RTP update. |
| We will see more clustered density due to changing demographics and the economy. | As above. |
| It will be difficult to execute a centers based plan. | WFRC is as aware that there will be considerable variability in the implementation of the Wasatch Choice for 2040 Vision as the years progress. Nevertheless, continued emphasis on implementation of the underlying principles will realize the benefits of the Vision |
| People coming to our county (Weber) want more space/land. | The Wasatch Choice for 2040 Vision indentifies those areas in which there will be low density development. These areas will be protected as more development moves to the centers identified in the Vision. |

| Comment | WFRC Response |
|--|---|
| More, smaller centers are preferred. | WFRC will take the comment into |
| | account as it adopts a preferred growth |
| | scenario on which to base the draft |
| | 2015-2040 RTP update. |
| Development west from I-15 will probably | As above. |
| impact Farr West ahead of Plain City | |
| Industrial growth on the far west side will | WFRC will take this comment into |
| necessitate the improvement of 12 th Street | consideration as it develops the 2015- |
| as shown on the current RTP. | 2040 RTP draft preferred scenario. |
| Current city master plans to not | WFRC will take the comment into |
| necessarily reflect anticipated growth. | account as it adopts a preferred growth |
| | scenario on which to base the draft |
| | 2015-2040 RTP update. |
| Shift the northern growth center shown on | As above. |
| the scenario 3 map farther south to the | |
| area around the 2700 North Interchange. | |
| There should be a village center on the | As above. |
| west side of the 2700 North Interchange. | |
| Show more growth near I-15. | As above. |
| Extend FrontRunner to Brigham City. | WFRC will take this comment into |
| | consideration as it develops the 2015- |
| | 2040 RTP draft preferred scenario. |
| Include a village center at the intersection | WFRC will take the comment into |
| of 2700 South and 2100 West in Plain City | account as it adopts a preferred growth |
| / Farr West. | scenario on which to base the draft |
| | 2015-2040 RTP update. |
| In Pleasant View City shift the center from | As above |
| 2700 North and Front Runner to the | |
| southwest around the I-15 / 2700 North | |
| Interchange. | |
| For Marriott-Slaterville, show more growth | As above |
| around the interchange of Pioneer Road | |
| and I-15. | |