Network Design -Ecological Assets

Includes high quality forest lands, wetlands, riparian, scrub/shrub, and desert lands. Also includes protected lands (including public lands and conservation easements), important bird habitat areas, wildlife reserves, and wilderness areas.



Project Goals for the Wasatch Front's Regional Ecological Green Infrastructure:

Protect and enhance the natural landscapes, ecosystems, and biodiversity of the Wasatch Front, providing habitat for the region's plant communities, wildlife and fisheries, including unique ecological communities and rare, threatened or endangered species, and other areas of environmental concern.

EC	COLOGICAL NETWORK CRI	ΓERIA
CORES	SIGNIFICANCE/EXPLANATION	FURTHER RESEARCH
1. Protected lands or public lands with ecological assets within them	Protected lands have a higher likelihood of providing permanent GI services. Inclusion of protect lands is well- documented ¹ .	Need to determine if a minimum size or buffer area is needed for these protected or public lands.
2. High quality wetlands - min. size of 50 m in diameter and not "too" isolated	Based off the American white pelican and black-necked stilt habitats. Based on literature and conversations with UDWR staff. Working with UDWR staff to identify a freshwater wetlands species.	 Need to determine if a minimum size or buffer area is needed for wetlands. For wetlands and the remaining land cover types, future research should incorporate plant species as indicators as well.
3. High quality uplands - lands indicated by UDWR as crucial for the mule deer and potential habitat for the northern goshawk	(northern goshawk in the Uinta-Cache	Future research should incorporate plant species as indicators as well. A suggestion was made to also include Rocky Mountain Elk, as these habitats are often different and elk is an important species to the WF.
4. High quality riparian areas – all streams with documented occurrences of the Bonneville Cutthroat Trout (with a 50 foot buffer), and potential beaver habitat (open water, permanent streams adjacent to woody vegetation).	Recommended by UDWR and used by the Uinta-Cache National Forest as an indicator species. Based on discussions with UDWR and USFS.	Future research should incorporate plant species as indicators as well.
5. High quality scrub/shrub areas - lands indicated as critical or substantial for the Greater Sage Grouse	Based off the greater sage grouse habitat layer provided by UDWR.	Future research should incorporate plant species as indicators as well.
6. Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	A BLM designation – includes the Bonneville Salt Flats and Horseshoe Springs within this study area.	
Exclusion Factors:		
 For priority saline wetlands – remove areas of high human disturbance 	Includes marinas, recreational trails, fishing areas, etc. Conversation with John Neill, UDWR - 9/13/2010	Fishing areas should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis- recommend including this in future research

	Buffer recommendations – 180 m (Odell	
2. Remove areas affected by	and Knight 2001), Bock 1999 - 200 m (see	
development	Lenth 2006 paper for reference)	
	Based on data downloaded from AGRC,	
3. Remove areas affected by	including invasive species and dominant	
invasive species	vegetation shapefiles.	
invasive species		
	These areas serve as barriers to BCT	
4. Remove riparian areas with	migration (pers. comm. with UDWR	
diversions, dams, culverts and de-	2010). As per the USFS Suitability	
watered reaches; For beavers	analysis, beavers will not establish	Culverts should be evaluated on a case-
remove recreational areas and	colonies where significant human	by-case basis – recommend including
mineral developments.	disturbance is located.	this on a more site-specific study.
•		
	Species will be negatively affected by	
5. Exclude major roads.	roads, through mortality or avoidance.	
HUBS	SIGNIFICANCE/EXPLANATION	FURTHER RESEARCH
	American white pelican utilizes these	
1. Reservoirs	*	
	areas.	
	DFFSL completed a planning process in	
2. High priority forest lands	2010 that identified priority forest lands.	
3. For upland habitats – lands	Substantial mule deer habitat based on	
indicated as substantial habitat for	data from UDWR. Based on breeding and	
mule deer and areas with aspen as	foraging requirements (from USFS), aspen	
dominant vegetation cover for	is important for the northern goshawk	
goshawks.	(USFS).	
0		
	As per the Wildlife Action Plan developed	
study area & important wildlife	by UDWR and Ogden Valley important	
areas	wildlife areas	
	Habitat data provided by UDWR was	
	masked up to 1 mile, as per confidentiality	
	reasons, these hub areas would encompass	
5. For scrub/shrub habitat for	all possible habitats. As this species is a	
sagegrouse - all areas of sagebrush	sagebrush-obligate species, hubs should	
	include areas with sagebrush as the	
locations	dominant vegetation species.	
6. Riparian areas - all permanent	<u>o</u>	
streams that have surrounding		
forest land cover.	Beaver criteria reviewed by USFS.	
	Areas identified as important for a suite of	
	bird species throughout the region	
7. Important Bird Areas	(UDWR, pers. comm. 2010)	
Exclusion Factors:		
1. Exclude roads that create barriers	Species will be negatively affected by	
for species travel, e.g. major	roads, through deaths in crossing or	
highways	avoidance.	
	Buffer recommendations – 180 m (Odell	
2. Remove areas affected by	and Knight 2001), Bock 1999 - 200 m (see	
development	Lenth 2006 paper for reference)	

2 THE WASATCH FRONT GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN - ECOLOGICAL NETWORK DESIGN

CORRIDORS	SIGNIFICANCE/EXPLANATION	FURTHER RESEARCH
1. For riparian areas -Least-cost		
path analysis between the core		
areas, using acceptable habitat		
types, e.g. the streams in the		
cores/hubs listed above, with		
preferred connections between	Based off the Bonneville cutthroat trout	
higher quality streams and streams	and Beaver, based on discussions with	
with woody riparian vegetation.	UDWR and USFS.	
2. For shrub/scrub and mule deer		
habitat areas, prioritize connections		Species movement modeling was beyond
	Based on conversations with UDWR staff,	
(e.g., for mule deer and Greater		included in the ecological corridor
	-	modeling. It should be considered in
preferred habitat	connections.	future planning efforts.
3. Least-cost path analyses between		
the core and hub areas, using		
acceptable habitat types.	See least cost path analysis process below.	
4. For wetlands, utilize discharge		
areas, hydric soils and shallow	Emphasize hydrological connections to	
aquifer areas for connections.	support wetland connectivity.	

¹See Utah DFFSL 2010 Statewide Assessment document available at <u>http://www.ffsl.utah.gov/stateassessment.php</u>.

References:

Lenth, B. A., R. L. Knight, and W. C. Gilgert. 2006. Conservation value of clustered housing developments. Conservation Biology 20:1445-1456.

Odell, E. A., and R. L. Knight. 2001. Songbird and medium-sized mammal communities associated with exurban development in Pitkin county, Colorado. Conservation Biology 15:1143-1150.

U.S. Forest Service. (1993). The Northern Goshawk in Utah: Habitat Assessment and Management Recommendations. http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr022.pdf

Experts consulted:

Utah Division of Wildlife Resources – avian biologists, big-game biologists, upland game biologists, Utah Natural Heritage Program Utah State University – wildlife biologists, foresters

Utah Division of Forestry, Fire, and State Lands - GIS staff, urban foresters

National Park Service Rivers, Trails, and Conservation Assistance Program

US Forest Service - wildlife biologists

Ecological Asset Network Criteria – Design Process

Ecological Cores

Species criteria for the design of the core areas:

Upland and Riparian Species:

Beaver – areas with known/documented beaver populations (data from US Forest Service), those permanent streams with woody riparian vegetation with a minimum of 0.5 miles of stream length, perennial ponds, lakes, and reservoirs with dimmable outlet (not Currant Creek, Tibble Fork, Silver Lake Flat, or Strawberry Reservoirs). Appropriate vegetation within 300' of the water body and stream gradient less than 15%. For hubs, include streams with less than 0.5 miles of length when connected to another body of water within 600 feet. Reaches of intermittent streams connected to perennial streams and ponds are considered capable. Remove recreational areas, mineral developments, administrative and development sites, and roads.

Mule deer - areas classified by UDWR as crucial or substantial habitat for this species; and

Northern goshawk – includes areas listed as nesting or post fledgling habitat areas as classified by the USFS, foraging areas will be incorporated as hubs.

<u>Nesting habitat</u> – appropriate forest cover types, e.g., aspen, aspen/conifer, dense conifer, etc.; minimum canopy cover of >70%, minimum patch size of 30 acres.

<u>Post Fledgling Habitat</u> – minimum patch of 450 acres (at least 30 acres of nesting habitat), same covers as for nesting with a canopy cover >50%, must be within .25 miles of another polygon of post fledgling habitat or nesting habitat.

<u>Foraging habitat (hubs)</u> – include aspen, aspen/conifer, other deciduous forest types with large trees, conifer, and oak tree types; minimum patch size of 5400 acres (excluding nest and PF habitat), all foraging habitat within 1.72 miles of nesting habitat, all canopy cover types and must include 30 acres of nesting habitat.

Note: for this study, percent canopy cover data was not available – goshawk habitat areas were based exclusively on appropriate land cover types.

- 1. Create a new toolbox in ArcCatalog for Recreational modeling ~EcologicalAssets
 - A. #1 Core Criteria protected and public lands with ecological assets
 - Select all lands with protection designation BLM Wilderness, US Forest Service Wilderness, National Conservation Association parcels, Division of Natural Resources wildlife reserves, and ecological easements. Merge into one layer→ecolands_protected2
 - ii. Convert to raster → prot_ecoland1
 - iii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_ecoprot1$
 - B. #2 Core Criteria high priority wetlands
 - i. Select those wetlands (from USFWS National Wetland Inventory) greater or equal to .6 acres (as per species habitat information and conversations with UDWR staff)→ NWI_wetlands_over6ac
 - ii. Remove tailings ponds south of the Great Salt Lake (as per conversations with water quality experts)
 - iii. Convert to raster→all_wetlands1
 - iv. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_wetlands4$
 - C. #3 Core Criteria high quality uplands

- i. Select crucial mule deer habitat from data received from UDWR → MuleDeerHabitat_Crucial, convert to raster → mdeer_crucial, reclassify → rc_mdeer_cruc
- Extract vegetation used by the northern goshawk from the SWreGAP data → Goshawk_GAP_veg2, convert to raster→ goshawk1, reclassify → rc_goshawk1
- iii. Use single output map algebra to add the above two layers \rightarrow uplandhab2
- iv. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_uplandhab1$
- D. #4 Core Criteria high quality riparian
 - Buffer by 50 feet streams where Bonneville Cutthroat Trout occur and streams with permanent woody riparian vegetation and merge together → riparianforcore_50ftbuff, convert to raster → riparian50ft, reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis → rc_ripar50ft
 - ii. Extract from SWreGAP data all riparian vegetation types, open water and wet meadow → beaver_water; merge with streams_perm2_pgon → beaver_water2; extract from SWreGAP data all vegetation types useable by the beaver → beaver_usable_veg; select by location all features within beaver_water2 that are within 600 feet of beaver_usable_veg (per USFS beaver habitat criteria) → beaver_habitat; merge this layer with beaver_water2 → beaver_habitat2; convert to raster → beaver_hab1; reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis → rc_beaverhab1
 - iii. Buffer rivers by 50 ft → rivers_50ft_buff, convert to raster → rivers_50ft, reclassify → rc_rivers50ft
 - iv. Use single output map algebra to add the above three layers \rightarrow ripcore, reclassify to 0 and 1 \rightarrow *rc_ripcore3*
- E. #5Core Criteria high quality scrub/ shrub areas
 - i. Merge together sagegrouse brooding and winter habitat from AGRC \rightarrow sagegrouse_habitat
 - ii. Convert to raster \rightarrow sagegrse_hab
 - iii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_sagehab$
- F. #6 Core Criteria areas of environmental concern
 - i. Select BLM Areas of Critical Environmental Concern →BLM_areasenvtalconcernt
 - ii. Convert to raster \rightarrow BLM_AEC
 - iii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_blm_aec2$
- 2. Merge together the core criteria 1-6
 - A. Use single output map algebra to add the above final, reclassified rasters together \rightarrow eco_cores5
 - B. Use the reclassify tool to change any value above 1 to a 1, and then all nodata values to $0 \rightarrow rc_ecocores5$
- 3. Create exclusion layers
 - A. Create exclusion factor #1 areas of high human disturbance marinas (note: marinas to be included with recreational areas, see exclusion factor #4), trails
 - i. Buffer trails and regional trails by 50 meters and merge \rightarrow all_trails_50ftbuff, convert to raster \rightarrow trails_50m, reclassify for analysis \rightarrow *rc_trails50m*
 - B. Create exclusion factor #2 areas affected by development
 - i. Select developed lands from AGRC land cover \rightarrow developed_land_all
 - ii. Buffer by 200 m \rightarrow dev_land_all_200mbuff
 - iii. Convert to raster \rightarrow urbanareas2
 - iv. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_urban6$
 - C. Create exclusion factor #3 areas affected by invasive spp.
 - i. Clip noxious weeds layer from AGRC to project boundary \rightarrow noxious weeds_AGRC

- ii. Select noxious weeds from dominant vegetation shapefile (from AGRC, include cheatgrass, which is not on the noxious weed list but has significant ecological and productivity ramifications)→noxiousweeds_cheatgrass
- iii. Merge the noxious weeds layer \rightarrow noxious weeds_all
- iv. Convert the noxiousweeds_all layer to raster (noxiousweeds) and reclassify for analysis ->rcnoxweeds1
- D. Create exclusion factor #4 riparian barriers and human disturbance
 - i. Buffer dams by 50 feet \rightarrow dams_50ftbuffer, merge buffered dam layer with mining lands \rightarrow dams_mines, and convert to raster \rightarrow dams_mines
 - ii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_mine_dam1$
 - iii. Use reclassified recreation area file from Recreational Core Criteria #5 (includes ski areas, marinas and golf courses) $\rightarrow Rc_recareas1$
 - iv. Conduct single output map algebra to add these two areas $\rightarrow Rc_recmindam1$
- E. Create exclusion factor #5 road creating barriers for species travel
 - i. Select major roads from AGRC Roads shapefile \rightarrow Roads_Major
 - ii. Buffer by 40 m (Forman 1995) → MajorRoads_40mbuff
 - iii. Convert to raster \rightarrow roads_40mbuff
 - iv. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_roads40m1$
- F. Use single output map algebra to multiply the 5 exclusion layers together \rightarrow *ecocoreexfac2*
- 4. Remove exclusion layers
 - A. Use single output map algebra to multiply the final cores layer with the exclusion layer $\rightarrow eco_cores6$ vector file is ecocores7

Ecological Hubs

- 1. Develop hub criteria
 - A. #1 Hub Criteria reservoirs
 - i. Select the reservoirs layer \rightarrow reservoirs_clip
 - ii. Convert to raster \rightarrow reservoirs
 - iii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_reservoir$
 - B. #2 Hub Criteria high priority forest lands
 - i. Select all Tier 1 lands from DFFSL priority areas layer→priority_areas
 - ii. Convert to raster \rightarrow fs_priority
 - iii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_fs_prior1$
 - C. #3 Hub Criteria substantial mule deer habitat and areas dominated by aspen
 - i. Select areas dominated by aspen→aspen_dominant, convert to raster→aspen, reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis→*rc_aspen*
 - ii. Extract substantial mule deer habitat from data received from UDWR →
 MuleDeerHabitat_Substantial, convert to raster → mdeer_subst, reclassify → rc_mdeer_subst
 - iii. Use single output map algebra to add the above two layers \rightarrow upland_hub, reclassify \rightarrow *rc_uplandhub*
 - B. #4 Hub Criteria Wildlife Action Areas and Important Wildlife Areas
 - i. Merge the Wildlife Action Areas as designated by the Wildlife Action Plan with Ogden Valley's Important Wildlife Habitat->wildact_impwild
 - ii. Convert to raster→wildact_imp1

- iii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_wild_imp3$
- D. #5 Hub Criteria shrub habitat
 - i. Select all shrub lands (from GAP data) within 1 mile of masked species locations→sagebrush_adjtomaskedlocations
 - ii. Convert to raster \rightarrow shrub_hub1
 - iii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_shrb_hub2$
- E. #6 Hub Criteria riparian areas
 - iv. Select all permanent streams adjacent to forest lands \rightarrow perm_streams_adjacenttoforests
 - v. Convert to raster \rightarrow stream_forest
 - vi. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_stm_fores2$
- F. #7 Hub Criteria Important Bird Areas
 - i. Convert the ImportantBirdAreas shapefile to raster \rightarrow IBAs1
 - ii. Reclassify to 0 and 1 for analysis $\rightarrow rc_IBAs2$
- 2. Merge together the hub criteria 1-7
 - A. Use single output map algebra to add the above final, reclassified rasters together \rightarrow eco_hubs3
 - B. Use the reclassify tool to change any value above 1 to a 1, and then all nodata values to $0 \rightarrow$

rc_eco_hubs2

- 3. Create exclusion layers
 - A. Use single output map algebra to multiply the hub exclusion factors together (rc_urban4 and rc_roads40m1) → ecohubexfact1
- 4. Remove exclusion layers from hubs
 - A. Use single output map algebra to multiply the final hubs with the hub exclusion layer \rightarrow *ecohubs_excl4*

Ecological Corridors – Least Cost Path Analysis

- 1. Create the cost surface raster
 - a. Convert the ecocores_exc4 raster to vector \rightarrow ecocores5
 - i. Dissolve by value field \rightarrow eco_cores_dissolve
 - ii. Add field label; start editing session add "Cores" to the one attribute field under "label"
 - b. Convert the ecocores_exc4 raster to vector \rightarrow ecohubs1
 - i. Erase the cores from the hubs (erase tool only available with ArcInfo license) \rightarrow ecohubs_erase
 - ii. Dissolve by value field \rightarrow eco_hubs_erase_dissolve
 - iii. Add field label; start editing session add "Hubs" to attribute field under label
 - c. Merge together hydric soils (hydric70pct), shallow groundwater areas (grndh20_0ft) and aquifer recharge zones (aquifer_10km_boundary) → hydric_shallow_recharge
 - i. Dissolve by value field \rightarrow hydshallowrecharge dissolve
 - ii. Add label field; start editing session add "Hydric soils, shallow groundh2o, aquifer recharge" to attribute field
 - d. Buffer all streams by 15 m \rightarrow streams_15mbuff
 - i. Dissolve by buffer distance \rightarrow streams_15mbuff_dissolve
 - ii. Add label field; start editing session add "All Streams" to attribute field
 - e. Select all developed land from land cover layer \rightarrow developed_land_all
 - i. Dissolve by buffer value field \rightarrow developed_land_dissolve
 - ii. Add label field; start editing session add "Developed Land" to attribute field
 - f. Select appropriate habitat land cover types from NLCD layer all forest, shrub, grassland and wetland types → forest_shrub_grass_wetland

- i. Dissolve by value field \rightarrow all_habitat_dissolve
- ii. Add field label; start editing session add "Habitat Landcover" to the one attribute field under "label"
- g. Select permanent streams adjacent to woody vegetation (from Hydrological criteria) \rightarrow
 - permstreams_adjtoforest_50ftbuff
 - i. Dissolve by value field \rightarrow streams_forestadj_dissolve
 - ii. Add field label; start editing session add "Permanent Streams adjacent to Forests" to attribute field
- h. Select parks from Community Criteria \rightarrow all_parks
 - i. Dissolve by value field \rightarrow all_parks_dissolve
 - ii. Add field label; start editing session add "Parks" to attribute field
- i. Select major roads \rightarrow major roads
 - i. Dissolve by value field \rightarrow major_roads_dissolve
 - ii. Add field label; start editing session add "Roads" to attribute field
- j. Select impaired water bodies
 - i. Dissolve by value field \rightarrow impaired_waters_dissolve
 - ii. Add label field; start editing session add "Impaired Waters" to attribute field
- k. Merge eco_cores_dissolve, eco_hubs_erase_dissolve, hydshallowrecharge_dissolve, streams_15mbuff_dissolve, developed_land_dissolve, all_habitat_dissolve, streams_forestadj_dissolve, all_parks_dissolve, major_roads_dissolve, and impaired_waters_dissolve together → eco_corridor_perm (note, this should be the cost surface file – to be renamed in raster classification)
 - i. Under value field, insert the following values (values assigned to dictate which layers will override other layers):

Value	Label
1	Habitat Landcover
2	Hydric soils, shallow groundh20, aquifer recharge
3	Cores
4	Hubs
5	Developed Land
6	Parks
7	Roads
8	Streams
9	Permanent Streams adjacent to Forests
10	Impaired Waters

- ii. Convert file to raster →*eco_costsurf*
- iii. Add PermValue field with the following values:

OBJECTID	Value	Label	PERMVALUE
0	1	Habitat Landcover	0.6
1	2	Hydric soils, shallow groundh2o, aquifer recharge	0.7
2	3	Cores	1.0
3	4	Hubs	0.9
4	5	Developed Land	0.1
5	6	Parks	0.5
6	7	Roads	0.1
7	8	Streams	0.7
8	9	Permanent Streams adjacent to Forests	0.8
9	10	Impaired Waters	0.0005

Ecological Corridors – Design Process

- 1. Create study map with cores, hubs, least cost paths and linear ecological features that could serve as corridors a. Add cores → ecocores5 and hubs → ecohubs erase
 - b. Add least cost paths to map \rightarrow movement_prob
 - i. In Symbology, select "Classified"; compute histogram; exclude data between 0 0.5686 (retains highest value paths)
 - c. Add waterways \rightarrow streams_rivers
- 2. Create new shapefile \rightarrow Eco_Corridors_Existing
 - a. Trace waterways that serve as connecting corridors between core and hub areas
- 3. Create new shapefile \rightarrow Eco_Corridors_Proposed
 - a. Assess areas where connectivity is lacking and draw in corridors using the following criteria

i. Trace existing waterways first, even if they do not completely connect two core patches – such partial corridors are included in the existing corridor shapefile – draw in proposed corridors to complete these connections

ii. Secondly, use least cost paths to draw in corridors where connectivity is still lacking

Final Shapefiles for Agencies & Organizations

Merged Cores	Ecological_Cores
Merged Hubs	Ecological_Hubs
Existing Corridors	Ecological_Corridors_Existing
Proposed Corridors	Ecological_Corridors_Proposed
Note – merged files have been dissolved by layer – data	is extremely simplified.

Core #1 – Protected lands with ecological assets Core #2 – High quality wetlands Core #3 – High quality uplands Core #4 – High quality riparian areas Core #5 – High quality scrub/shrub areas Core #6 – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	Protected_Ecological_Lands Wetlands_Over_6Ac Upland_Core Riparian_Core ShrubSteppe_Core BLM_AreasofEnvironmentalConcern
Core Exclusion #1 – Disturbed saline wetland areas (marinas included in core exclusion #5)	Trails_50ftbuffer
Core Exclusion #2 – Areas affected by development	Developed_Land_200mbuffer
Core Exclusion #3 – Invasive species	Noxious_Weeds
Core Exclusion #4 – Disturbed riparian areas	Disturbed_Areas
Core Exclusion #5 – Major roads	MajorRoads_40mbuffer
Hub #1 – Reservoirs Hub #2 – DFFSL high priority forest lands Hub #3 – Substantial mule deer habitat & aspen-dominated areas	Reservoirs DFFSL_Priority_Forest_Lands Upland_Hub
Hub #2 – DFFSL high priority forest lands Hub #3 – Substantial mule deer habitat & aspen-dominated areas Hub #4 – Wildlife Action Plan areas and Ogden	DFFSL_Priority_Forest_Lands
Hub #2 – DFFSL high priority forest lands Hub #3 – Substantial mule deer habitat & aspen-dominated areas	DFFSL_Priority_Forest_Lands Upland_Hub
Hub #2 – DFFSL high priority forest lands Hub #3 – Substantial mule deer habitat & aspen-dominated areas Hub #4 – Wildlife Action Plan areas and Ogden Valley important wildlife areas Hub #5 – Sagebrush areas with 1mile of masked	DFFSL_Priority_Forest_Lands Upland_Hub WAP_Important_Wildlife_Areas
Hub #2 – DFFSL high priority forest lands Hub #3 – Substantial mule deer habitat & aspen-dominated areas Hub #4 – Wildlife Action Plan areas and Ogden Valley important wildlife areas Hub #5 – Sagebrush areas with 1mile of masked sage grouse locations Hub #6 – Permanent streams with surrounding	DFFSL_Priority_Forest_Lands Upland_Hub WAP_Important_Wildlife_Areas ShrubSteppe_Hub
Hub #2 – DFFSL high priority forest lands Hub #3 – Substantial mule deer habitat & aspen-dominated areas Hub #4 – Wildlife Action Plan areas and Ogden Valley important wildlife areas Hub #5 – Sagebrush areas with 1mile of masked sage grouse locations Hub #6 – Permanent streams with surrounding forest landcover	DFFSL_Priority_Forest_Lands Upland_Hub WAP_Important_Wildlife_Areas ShrubSteppe_Hub Riparian_Hub