Air Quality Memorandum

REPORT NO. 21

DATE June 18, 2007

SUBJECT CONFORMITY ANALYSIS FOR THE WFRC 2030 REGIONAL

TRANSPORTATION PLAN

ABSTRACT The Transportation Equity Act (TEA-21) and the Clean Air Act Amendments

(CAAA) require that all regionally significant highway and transit projects in air quality non-attainment and maintenance areas be derived from a "conforming" Transportation Plan (RTP) and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). A conforming Plan or Program is one that has been analyzed for emissions of controlled air pollutants and found to be within emission limits established in the State Implementation Plan (SIP). This conformity analysis is made by the Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC), as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the region, and submitted to the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration for their concurrence. This conformity analysis is being prepared under the final conformity regulations issued jointly by the EPA and USDOT on November 24, 1993, and

the March 2006 Final Rule.

Based on the analysis presented in this document, the WFRC 2030 RTP conforms to the State Implementation Plan for all pollutants in applicable non-attainment or maintenance areas. Therefore, all the transportation projects in Weber, Davis, and Salt Lake Counties included in the 2030 RTP are found to conform.

Wasatch Front Regional Council

295 North Jimmy Doolittle Road Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
A.	Conformity Requirements	4
	Conformity Process	4
	Latest Planning Assumptions	5
	Latest Emissions Model	5
	Consultation Process	5
	TCM Implementation	5
	Emissions Budget	
	Currently Conforming Plan and TIP	
	Projects from a Conforming Plan and TIP	
	Regionally Significant	
	CO and PM ₁₀ "Hot Spot" Analysis	
	PM ₁₀ Control Measures	
	Other Conformity Requirements	
R.	Transportation Modeling	
٠.	Planning Process	
	Travel Characteristics	
	Lane Miles	
	Peak and Off-Peak Speeds	
	Comparison of Modeled Speeds with Observed Data	
<i>C.</i>	Emission Modeling	
•	I/M Programs	
	VMT Mix	
	Vehicle Weights	
	Post Model Adjustments	
	MOBILE6 Inputs	
Ŋ	Conformity Determination	
D.	Salt Lake City CO Conformity	
	Ogden CO Conformity	
	Ogden PM10 Conformity	
	Salt Lake County PM10 Conformity	
	Salt Lake and Davis County Ozone Conformity	
	Particulate Matter (PM2.5)	
1 n	opendix – 1 Definition of Regionally Significant Projects	
	-	
Ap	ppendix – 2 Highway and Transit Projects	20

Conformity: WFRC 2030 RTP

List of Tables

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1 Wasatch Front Region Non-attainment Designations	4
Table 2 Summary of 2003 HPMS Factors	8
Table 3 Travel Characteristics	10
Table 4 Wasatch Front Lane Miles: 2001 - 2030	11
Table 5 Percent of Trips by Purpose and Time of Day	12
Table 6 Salt Lake County Modeled Speeds Compared to Observed Speeds	12
Table 7 Inputs to Mobile6.2	14
Table 8 Salt Lake City CO Conformity	15
Table 9 Ogden CO Conformity	16
Table 10a Ogden PM10 Conformity – Primary Particulates	
Table 10b Ogden PM10 Conformity – NOx Precursor	17
Table 11 Salt Lake County PM10 Budgets	18
Table 12a Salt Lake County PM10 Conformity – Primary Particulates	
Table 12b Salt Lake County PM ₁₀ Conformity – Nox Precursors	19

A. Conformity Requirements

Conformity Process

Since the commencement of the planning requirements in the late 1960s, further requirements (most recently the 2005 Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users and the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments) have added to the responsibilities and the decision making powers of local governments through the Metropolitan Planning Organization. The Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Salt Lake and Ogden / Layton Urbanized Areas. This report summarizes WFRC's conformity analysis of the RTP with the Division of Air Quality's State Implementation Plan (SIP). This conformity analysis is subject to public and agency review, and requires the concurrence of the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.

In November, 1993, the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Transportation issued rules establishing the procedures to be used to show that transportation Plans and Programs conform to the SIP. The conformity rules establish that transportation projects that use federal funds, as well as "regionally significant" transportation projects sponsored by recipients of other federal funds, may not proceed in areas designated as "non-attainment (or maintenance) with respect to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards" until and unless a regional emissions analysis of the Plan and TIP demonstrates that the projects conform with the SIP.

Salt Lake County, Salt Lake City, and Ogden City are designated as non-attainment (or maintenance) for one or more air pollutants. Specifically, there are three areas in the Wasatch Front region for which the conformity rules apply. These areas are listed in Table 1 below.

Table 1
Wasatch Front Region Non-attainment Designations

Area	Designation	Pollutant
Salt Lake City	Maintenance Area	Carbon Monoxide (CO)
Ogden City	Maintenance Area	Carbon Monoxide (CO)
	Moderate Non-Attainment Area	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)
Salt Lake County	Moderate Non-Attainment	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)

In September 2006 the EPA changed the 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ standard from 65 $\mu g/m^3$ to 35 $\mu g/m^3$. Under this stricter standard, several areas along the Wasatch Front have experienced violations of the new $PM_{2.5}$ standard. The State Division of Air Quality will make recommendations to EPA in December 2007 regarding which areas in Utah should be designated as $PM_{2.5}$ non-attainment areas. EPA will make final non-attainment designations by December 2009 and these designations will be effective in April 2010. The following April (2011) WFRC will be required to make conformity determinations for $PM_{2.5}$.

The CAAA established requirements for conformity. These requirements are outlined in 40 CFR 93.109 and include the following:

- Latest planning assumptions

- Latest emissions model

- Transportation Control Measures (TCM's) - Consultation

- Emissions budget - Currently conforming plan and TIP

- Project from a conforming plan and TIP - CO and PM₁₀ "hot spots"

- PM₁₀ control measures

Each of these requirements will be discussed in the following paragraphs.

Latest Planning Assumptions

Current travel models are based on the latest available (October 2006) socioeconomic data from the Governor's Office of Planning and Budget and the Division of Workforce Services. These socioeconomic data were allocated to traffic analysis zones by WFRC for use in the travel demand model during 2006.

Latest Emissions Model

The conformity analysis presented in this document is based on EPA mobile source emissions models: MOBILE6.2 for tailpipe emissions and AP-42 section 13.2.1 for paved road dust emissions. The application of these models will be discussed in greater detail in the Emissions Model section of this document.

Consultation Process

Section 105 of 40 CFR Part 93 (Conformity Rule) requires, among other things, interagency consultation in the development of conformity determinations. To satisfy this requirement, WFRC, in cooperation with the State Division of Air Quality and several other agencies, drafted a Conformity SIP document to outline the consultation procedures to be used in air quality and transportation planning. The Conformity SIP is in the approval process at the time of this writing and will be submitted to EPA for approval once the public comment process is completed. WFRC will follow the consultation procedures as outlined in the Draft Conformity SIP in the preparation of this conformity analysis. As part of the consultation procedures defined in the Draft Conformity SIP, WFRC will present this report to the Regional Growth Committee and the Transportation Committee for review and comment. Both of these committees include a member of the Utah Air Quality Board as well as representatives of UDOT, UTA, FHWA, and FTA. In addition, management level staff members from the Utah Division of Air Quality are notified of meetings and agendas of the above committees. The Utah Division of Air Quality will also be provided with a copy of this report at the beginning of the public comment period for the RTP.

The RTP and this Conformity Analysis were made available for public inspection and comment from April 6 to May 7, 2007, and were posted on the WFRC website at the beginning of the comment period. Notification of the comment period was sent by mail to interested stakeholders. In addition, open house meetings were held on April 11, May 3, and May 7, 2007 in Davis County, Salt Lake County, and Weber County respectively.

TCM Implementation

A conformity analysis for the 2030 RTP must certify that nothing in the RTP interferes with the implementation of any Transportation Control Measure (TCM) identified in the applicable State Implementation Plan (SIP). There are three TCM's which are part of the non-control strategy SIP's (a non-control strategy SIP does not base attainment or maintenance on quantitative achievement of specific reductions but rather the general implementation of these Transportation Control Measures) applicable to the Wasatch Front region. The three TCM's include rideshare promotion, signal

coordination, and a transit service goal (16 million revenue miles in the UTA service area). All of these TCM's have been implemented at the present time and are not adversely affected by any project or commitment in the 2030 RTP.

Emissions Budget

A comparison of mobile source emission estimates to emission budgets defined in the SIP is outlined in this document in Section D - Conformity Determination.

Currently Conforming Plan and TIP

The existing RTP for the Wasatch Front Area conforms to State air quality goals and objectives as noted in a letter from FHWA and FTA dated January 20, 2004 and this conformity determination is still valid. The existing TIP for the Wasatch Front Area was also found to conform and this was noted in a September 28, 2006 letter from FHWA and FTA.

Projects from a Conforming Plan and TIP

TIP Time Frame - All projects which must be started no later than 2012 in order to achieve the transportation system envisioned by the 2030 RTP are included in the 2007-2012 TIP. The TIP is fiscally constrained, meaning that only those projects with an identified source of funds are included in the TIP. Estimated funding availability is based on current funding levels and reasonable assumptions that these funds will continue to be available.

Regionally Significant

All regionally significant projects, regardless of funding source (federal, state, or local) are included in the RTP. All regionally significant projects are also included in the regional emissions analysis of the RTP. Regionally significant projects are identified as those projects functionally classified as principal arterial or higher, or certain minor arterials as identified through the interagency consultation process (see Appendix 1 for a complete definition of regionally significant projects). The 2005 Utah Department of Transportation Functional Classification map was used to identify principal arterials. Interstate highways, freeways, expressways, principal arterials, light rail, and commuter rail are treated as regionally significant projects.

Because of their relative impact on air quality, all regionally significant projects regardless of funding source must be included in the regional emissions analysis, and any significant change in the design or scope of a regionally significant project must be reflected in the regional emissions analysis. All regionally significant projects have been included in the regional emissions analysis, and the modeling parameters used for these projects are consistent with the design and scope of these projects as defined in the RTP. In order to improve the quality of the travel model, other minor arterials and collectors, as well as local transit service, are also included in the regional travel model (and thus the regional emissions analysis) but these facilities are not considered regionally significant since they do not serve regional transportation needs as defined by EPA.

CO and PM₁₀ "Hot Spot" Analysis

In addition to the regional emissions conformity analysis presented in this document, specific projects within carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$) non-attainment areas are required to prepare a "hot spot" analysis of emissions. The "hot spot" analysis serves to verify whether or not localized emissions from a specific project will meet air quality standards. This requirement is addressed during the NEPA phase of project approval before FHWA or FTA can issue final project approval.

EPA has not identified an approved method for PM_{10} "hot spot" analysis. However, project sponsors are still required to prepare a qualitative analysis of localized PM_{10} impacts for the proposed project as part of their NEPA evaluation. FHWA has issued guidance on qualitative PM_{10} "hot spot" analysis to be used for the NEPA process. No $PM_{2.5}$ designations have been made for the Wasatch Front Region at this time.

PM₁₀ Control Measures

Construction-related Fugitive Dust - Construction related dust is not identified as a contributor to the PM10 non-attainment area, therefore there is no conformity requirement for construction dust. Section 93.122(d) (1) of 40 CFR reads as follows:

"For areas in which the implementation plan does not identify construction-related fugitive PM10 as a contributor to the non-attainment problem, the fugitive PM10 emissions associated with highway and transit project construction are not required to be considered in the regional emissions analysis."

In the Utah PM_{10} SIP, construction-related PM_{10} is not included in the inventory, nor is it included in the attainment demonstration or control strategies. Construction-related PM_{10} emissions are mentioned in qualitative terms in Section IX.A.7 of the SIP as a maintenance measure to preserve attainment of the PM_{10} standard achieved by application of the control strategies identified in the SIP. Section IX.A.7.d of the SIP requires UDOT and local planning agencies to cooperate and review all proposed construction projects for impacts on the PM_{10} standard. This SIP requirement is satisfied through the Utah State Air Quality Rules. R307-309-4 requires that sponsors of any construction activity file a dust control plan with the State Division of Air Quality.

Other Conformity Requirements

Transit Fares - Transit fares have and will increase in response to increases in operating costs. The Plan assumes that transit fare box revenues will cover a constant percentage of all transit operating cost, so future fare increases are consistent with the Plan. With any price increase some market reaction is expected. While there have been some short term fluctuations in transit patronage in response to fare increases, the implementation of light rail service and other transit improvements has restored and increased transit patronage within the levels anticipated by the RTP.

Plans for expanding light rail service, increased bus service, and the addition of commuter rail are moving forward. These transit features are envisioned in the Plan and the steps necessary to achieve these transit goals are moving forward including various voter approved sales tax increases for transit funding.

B. Transportation Modeling

Improvements to the WFRC travel model practice and procedure is an ongoing process. This conformity analysis is based on the latest version (6.0) of the travel model. Details of Version 6.0 of the travel model are documented in "WFRC & MAG Transportation Model Documentation, February, 2007" prepared by Resource Systems Group.

Planning Process

Federal funding for transportation improvements in urban areas requires that these improvements be developed through a comprehensive, coordinated, and continuous planning process involving all affected local governments. The planning process is certified annually by the Regional Council and reported to the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration. Every three years FHWA and FTA conduct a comprehensive certification review. The certification review of 2005 found that the WFRC planning process meets federal requirements. Recommendations were made to improve WFRC's planning process and these are being addressed.

The documentation of the planning process includes, at a minimum, a twenty year Regional Transportation Plan updated at least every four years; and a three-year to five-year Transportation Improvement Program (capital improvement program) updated and adopted at least every four years. The planning process includes the involvement of local elected officials, state agencies, and the general public.

Travel Characteristics

The WFRC travel model is used to estimate and project highway VMT and vehicle speed. The travel model files used for this conformity analysis are available on request on compact disc.

The model VMT for 2004 is factored to match the 2004 VMT reported by UDOT through the HPMS data reporting system. The resulting 2004 HPMS adjustment factor (see Table 2 below) for each area is then applied by functional class to the travel model VMT for future years resulting in the HPMS adjusted future VMT.

Table 2 Summary of 2004 HPMS Factors

	Salt Lake City	Ogden City	Salt Lake County
Freeway/Ramp	0.837	0.919	0.977
Arterial	0.959	0.969	1.011
Local	2.695	3.501	2.395

Table 3 summarizes the weekday vehicle miles traveled (VMT) for each non-attainment area and each horizon year in the regional emissions analysis. The HPMS adjusted average weekday VMT data shown in Table 3 is adjusted further for winter and summer variations as part of the emission projection calculation. The speeds shown in Table 3 are daily average and PM peak period average speeds provided as a means to compare changes in the transportation system over time. The actual 24-hour speed profile used by Mobile6.2 for arterials and freeways is available from WFRC upon request.

The travel characteristics of Table 3 are based on all relevant transportation improvements including the regionally significant projects found in the 2030 Plan for the Wasatch Front Region.

The 1990 travel characteristics for Ogden City are added to Table 3 because 1990 is the basis for conformity for Ogden City PM_{10} emissions in the absence of an approved SIP and budget. Previous modeling efforts for 1990 were based on speed and VMT assumptions that are inconsistent with present modeling methods (congested and free flow conditions versus AM, mid-day, PM and evening conditions). Therefore, the 1996 Ogden model speeds and VMT proportions by facility type (estimated for the October 2000 conformity analysis) are used here to estimate 1990 Ogden emissions.

Table 3
Travel Characteristics

Travel Characteristics						
		Base Year		Horizon	Year	
		2004	2006	2015	2025	2030
		Salt L	ake City			
HPMS Weekday VMT		Ţ				
Freeway		2,315,788	2,508,082	3,087,491	3,574,334	4,016,309
Ramp		77,280	84,551	98,250	110,824	121,168
Arterial & Collector		2,719,261	2,700,463	3,049,062	3,336,377	3,384,335
Local		952,295	949,510	1,108,008	1,254,097	1,318,240
Total		6,064,623	6,242,607	7,342,812	8,275,632	8,840,052
Average Speed						
Freeway - daily		61.6	61.6	59.2	57.6	57.1
Freeway - PM peak		55.9	55.9	50.7	47.8	47.0
Arterial - daily		29.1	29.1	27.0	25.9	25.5
Arterial - PM peak		26.2	26.2	23.6	21.4	21.3
		Ogd	en City			
HPMS Weekday VMT (19	90)	ī				
Freeway	79,724	134,782	128,266	158,795	180,875	185,135
Ramp	8,070	11,016	12,232	13,154	15,749	15,136
Arterial & Collector	773,181	940,504	992,859	1,139,849	1,303,821	1,312,702
Local	246,169	374,869	408,243	463,947	523,571	442,769
Total	1,107,144	1,461,171	1,541,600	1,775,744	2,024,015	1,955,742
Average Speed						
Freeway - daily	64.3	59.2	59.2	66.5	65.9	66.4
Freeway - PM peak	63.3	50.2	50.2	65.7	64.0	65.3
Arterial - daily	33.6	34.2	34.2	33.4	32.9	33.2
Arterial - PM peak	30.8	31.5	31.5	30.1	29.2	29.6
		Salt La	ke County			
HPMS Weekday VMT		,				
Freeway		8,902,999	9,810,788	12,542,626	16,016,686	17,605,194
Ramp		340,293	349,741	437,258	537,836	583,294
Arterial & Collector		11,302,074	11,476,734	13,493,202	14,892,609	15,648,764
Local		3,884,629	3,966,892	4,811,277	5,726,402	5,930,966
Total		24,429,995	25,604,155	31,284,362	37,173,533	39,768,218
Average Speed						
Freeway - daily		62.0	62.0	58.9	58.4	57.7
Freeway - PM peak		55.9	55.9	50.1	49.3	48.4
Arterial - daily		31.6	31.6	29.7	28.8	28.8
Arterial - PM peak		27.9	27.9	25.0	23.7	24.0

Lane Miles

Table 4 below gives a summary of the number of freeway, ramp, and arterial lane miles provided in the highway network in each non-attainment area and for each horizon year. The number of lane miles is a useful indication of the growth of the highway infrastructure.

Table 4
Wasatch Front Lane Miles: 2001 – 2030

	2006	2012	2015	2025	2030
Salt Lake County					
Freeway	732	749	890	999	1,048
Ramp	76	78	89	103	123
Arterial	2,316	2,358	2,377	2,566	2,768
Grand Totals	3,124	3,185	3,356	3,668	3,939
Salt Lake City					
Freeway	236	243	248	258	278
Ramp	29	29	29	29	34
Arterial	667	668	672	677	709
Grand Totals	932	940	949	964	1,021
Ogden					
Freeway	8	15	15	15	15
Ramp	3	3	3	4	4
Arterial	242	237	244	248	261
Grand Totals	253	255	262	267	280

Peak and Off-Peak Speeds

The VMT and resulting speed for each time period depend on the number of vehicle trips assigned by the travel model for that time period. The percentage of trips varies for each time period. The percentages in Table 5 below are based on data from the 1993 Home Interview Survey. Trip purposes "commercial" (COM) and "through" (THRU) are not sampled in the Home Interview Survey. These two trip types are allocated to the four time periods according to the percentages for NHB and IXXI trips respectively (with some rounding as necessary for the COM trips).

Table 5
Percent of Trips by Purpose and Time of Day

	AM		Mid-day		PM		Evening	
Purpose	Purpose From To Home Hom		From Home	To Home	From To Home Home		From Home	To Home
HBW	39%	1%	9%	7%	2%	25%	6%	11%
HBO	15%	2%	13%	13%	10%	16%	12%	20%
NHB	7%	NA	51%	NA	26%	NA	16%	NA
IXXI	20%	NA	29%	NA	26%	NA	25%	NA
COM	6%	NA	53%	NA	26%	NA	15%	NA
THRU	20%	NA	29%	NA	26%	NA	25%	NA

Trip Purpose abbreviations:

HBO - Home Based Other

HBW - Home Based Work

IXXI - Internal/External, External/Internal

THRU - Through

Comparison of Modeled Speeds with Observed Data

WFRC continues to adjust modeled speeds to improve consistency with samples of observed speeds. A review of Salt Lake County modeled speeds and observed speeds is summarized in Table 6. Modeled speeds in Table 6 are within +/- 7% of observed speeds.

Table 6
Salt Lake County Modeled Speeds Compared to Observed Speeds

	Arterial			Freeway		
Functional Class	AM Peak	PM Peak	Off Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak	Off Peak
2006 Modeled Speeds (mph)	31	28	33	61	56	65
2000-2002 Observed Speeds (mph)	31	29	31	58	54	66

C. Emission Modeling

I/M Programs

Assumptions for the input files for EPA's MOBILE6.2 vehicle emissions model include enhanced I/M programs in Salt Lake County starting in 1998, and basic I/M programs in Weber County. Emission rates for re-entrained dust from paved roads are estimated using methods described in EPA's AP-42 document, section 13.2.1.

VMT Mix

The VMT mix describes how much a particular vehicle type is used. The national default VMT mix contained in MOBILE6.2 was used to disaggregate local vehicle type data. The local vehicle type data is collected by UDOT as part of the federal HPMS data collection system and is based on automated counters which classify vehicles based on axle spacing. The UDOT classification is used to calculate control percentages for light duty (LD) vehicles and heavy duty (HD) vehicles for each facility type. The EPA default VMT mix is then applied to disaggregate the UDOT control percentages into percentages for the sixteen vehicle classes used in MOBILE6.2

Vehicle Weights

Facility specific VMT mix data described above was also used to estimate the average vehicle weight on each facility type. Since vehicle weight affects the rate of fugitive dust emissions estimated using the AP-42 method, vehicle weight variations on different facilities will affect the amount of fugitive dust created. The VMT mix for each facility type was used to estimate an average vehicle weight for each facility with the following results:

<u>Facility</u>	Average Vehicle Weight (pounds)
Urban - Freeway	6,500
Urban - Arterial	6,100
Urban - Local	3,900

Post Model Adjustments

For conformity analyses prior to 2000 the Wasatch Front Region applied post model adjustments to vehicle emission estimates. Emission credits for work trips were modeled for reductions in single occupant vehicle rates based primarily on increased investments in transit service and rideshare programs, and the projected increase in telecommuting. Other less significant post model adjustments were also estimated for incident management, pavement re-striping, and signal coordination.

WFRC believes that these programs have a positive effect in reducing vehicle emissions. In practice, however, WFRC has found that documenting the air quality benefits of these programs can be difficult. WFRC will continue to support these emission reduction programs, but credits from these programs have not been included in this conformity analysis.

MOBILE6 Inputs

Through the interagency consultation process the required MOBILE6 inputs reflecting local conditions have been established. These inputs are summarized in Table 7 below.

Table 7
Inputs to Mobile6.2

		Non-Seasonal Values					
1	VMT Fractions (fleet mix)	Facility specific and year specific fleet mix profiles (or VMT mix) are found in					
		the Mobile6 command file. See <u>Conf07.in</u> for details.					
2	VMT hour profile	These profiles are created for each area and each analysis year from data in the					
	VMT speed profile	travel model. These files are available upon request.					
	VMT facility profile						
3	Anti-Tamp Program	84 68 50 22222 2222	22222 2 11 096. 22212222				
4	No Refueling	7	TRUE				
5	I/M Credits	T€	ech12.d				
6	Fuel Program		3				
7	Altitude	2					
		Winter Values	Summer Values				
8	Min Temp	23.0	63.0				
9	Max Temp	45.0	98.0				
10	Fuel RVP	12.1	7.8				
11	Absolute Humidity	20.0	73.6				
12	Oxygenated Fuels	None	None				
13	Diesel Sulfur	Use 330 ppm for year	s up to and including 2006				
		In October 2006 Low Sulfu	ar Diesel fuel becomes available				
		Use 15 ppm for ye	ear 2007 and thereafter				
14	Vehicle age distribution	WEAge05.d	for Weber County				
	_	SLAge05.d for Salt Lake County					
		DAAge05.d for Davis County					
15	I/M Programs	Weber County years 2003-2050: WE03_50.txt					
			2003-2050: WE03_50.txt				
		Salt Lake County year	rs 2003-2050: SL03_50.txt				

D. Conformity Determination

The following conformity findings for the 2030 Regional Transportation Plan for the Wasatch Front are based on the transportation systems and planning assumptions described in this report and the latest vehicle emissions model approved by EPA (Mobiule6.2).

Salt Lake City CO Conformity

The carbon monoxide maintenance plan for Salt Lake City was approved by EPA effective September 30, 2005 as recorded in the Federal Register (Vol. 70, No. 146, August 1, 2005). The maintenance plane defines a motor vehicle emission budget for the years 2005 and 2019 of 278.62 tons/day. Table 8 below demonstrates that projected mobile source emissions are within the emission budget defined in the maintenance plan for the 2019 budget year. The other years listed in Table 8 are in accordance with requirements of the Conformity Rule (40 CFR Part 93) as noted in the table.

From this demonstration it is concluded that the RTP conforms to the applicable controls and goals of the State Implementation Plan (Maintenance Plan) for Carbon Monoxide in Salt Lake City.

Salt Lake City CO
Conformity Determination

Table 8

	b	а	b	С
Year	2012	2019	2025	2030
Budget (tons/day)	278.62	278.62	278.62	278.62
emission rate (grams/mile)	14.33	11.43	10.67	10.48
seasonal VMT	6,811,664	7,557,447	8,106,562	8,662,868
Projection* (tons/day)	107.65	95.25	95.38	100.06
Conformity		_	_	_
(Projection < Budget?)	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

a- budget year, b - 10-year rule, c - last year of Plan, d - no budget 5-year rule

Ogden CO Conformity

The carbon monoxide maintenance plan for Ogden City was approved by EPA effective November 14, 2005 as recorded in the Federal Register (Vol. 70, No. 177, September 14, 2005). The maintenance plane defines a motor vehicle emission budget for the years 2005 and 2021 of 75.36 and 73.02 tons/day respectively. Table 9 below demonstrates that projected mobile source emissions are within the emission budget defined in the maintenance plan for the 2021 budget year. The other years listed in Table 9 are in accordance with requirements of the Conformity Rule (40 CFR Part 93) as noted in the table.

From this demonstration it is concluded that the RTP conforms to the applicable controls and goals of the State Implementation Plan (Maintenance Plan) for Carbon Monoxide in Ogden City.

^{*} Projection = Emission Rate x seasonal VMT, then divide by 453.5 to convert to pounds, then divide by

^{2.000} to convert to tons.

Table 9

Ogden City CO Conformity Determination

	D	а	D	С
Year	2012	2021	2025	2030
Budget (tons/day)	75.36	73.02	73.02	73.02
emission rate (grams/mile)	16.62	12.67	12.15	11.80
seasonal VMT	1,644,076	1,867,640	1,964,000	1,897,577
Projection* (tons/day)	30.13	26.09	26.31	24.69
Conformity				
(Projection < Budget?)	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

a- budget year, b - 10-year rule, c - last year of Plan, d - no budget 5-year rule

Ogden PM10 Conformity

Ogden City was designated a PM_{10} non-attainment area in August of 1995 based on PM_{10} violations in 1993 or earlier. Since a PM_{10} SIP for Ogden has not yet been approved by EPA, it must be demonstrated that Ogden PM_{10} emissions are either less than 1990 emissions or less than "no-build" emissions. The analysis years 2012, 2015, 2025, and 2030 were selected in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Section 93.119(e).

 PM_{10} emissions are present in two varieties referred to as primary and secondary PM_{10} . Primary PM_{10} consists mostly of fugitive road dust but also includes particles from brake wear and tire wear and some "soot" particles emitted directly from the vehicle tailpipe. Secondary PM_{10} consists of gaseous tailpipe emissions that later take on a particulate form through subsequent chemical reactions in the atmosphere. Nitrogen oxides are the main component of secondary PM_{10} emissions with sulfur oxides a distant second.

As summarized in Tables 10a and 10b, emission estimates for the 2030 RTP satisfy the "Build < 1990" test for primary PM_{10} (direct tailpipe particulates and road dust) in Ogden City. The 1990 emission estimates used in the 2003 conformity analysis are used again for this conformity analysis, specifically 4.57 tons/day for the NOx precursor budget, and 2.28 tons/day for the direct PM10 budget. The 1990 primary PM_{10} estimate for Ogden City includes emissions from the unpaved access road to the Ogden landfill which was closed in 1998.

For projections of primary PM_{10} emissions, no credit was taken for a number of programs adopted since Ogden City last violated the PM_{10} standard. These particulate reducing programs include covered load ordinances, increased frequency of street sweeping, and reduced application of deicing and skid resistant materials (salt and sand). Documentation of these programs has been provided by Ogden City but the actual benefits of these programs are not included in the emission projections below. Other areas that have estimated the benefit of these programs have found a silt load reduction of over 30% for effective street sweeping programs and a 5% silt load reduction when limiting the amount of sand and salt applied to the roads. Ogden City has also implemented a number of specific projects that have a positive effect in reducing particulate emissions including park and ride lots, storm water improvements, shoulder widening and edge striping, and addition of curb and gutter on several projects.

^{*} Projection = Emission Rate x seasonal VMT, then divide by 453.5 to convert to pounds, then divide by

^{2.000} to convert to tons.

From this demonstration it is concluded that the RTP conforms under the Emission Reductions Criteria for areas without motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM_{10} in Ogden City.

Table 10a

Ogden City PM10 - NOx Precursor Conformity Determination

	d	b	b	С
Year	2012	2015	2022	2030
Budget-1990 (tons/day)	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57
emission rate (grams/mile)	1.20	0.89	0.51	0.38
seasonal VMT	1,644,076	1,723,100	1,891,730	1,897,577
Projection* (tons/day)	2.18	1.69	1.07	0.79
Conformity				
(Projection < Budget?)	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

a- budget year, b - 10-year rule, c - last year of Plan, d - no budget 5-year rule

Table 10b

Ogden City PM10 - Primary Particulates** Conformity Determination

	d	b	b	С
Year	2012	2015	2025	2030
Budget-1990 (tons/day)	2.28	2.28	2.28	2.28
tailpipe particulate rates (grams/mile	e)			
Gpm (gasoline particulates)	0.0044	0.0041	0.0038	0.0037
Ec (diesel elemental carbon)	0.0049	0.0027	0.0008	0.0006
Oc (diesel organic carbon)	0.0025	0.0014	0.0004	0.0003
Pbr (brake particulates)	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125
Pti (tire wear particulates)	0.0091	0.0091	0.0091	0.0091
road dust particulate rates (grams/n	nile)			
Freeway road dust	0.5400	0.5400	0.5400	0.5400
Ramp Road dust	0.5400	0.5400	0.5400	0.5400
Arterial road dust	0.8400	0.8400	0.8400	0.8400
Local road dust	0.8000	0.8000	0.8000	0.8000
net emission rate				
- average all road & vehicle types -	0.97	0.96	1.00	0.96
seasonal VMT	1,644,076	1,723,100	1,891,730	1,897,577
Tailpipe Particulates (tons/day)	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05
Road Dust Particulates	1.69	1.77	2.02	1.96
Projection* (tons/day)	1.75	1.83	2.08	2.02
Conformity				
(Projection < Budget?)	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

^{**} Includes road dust, elemental carbon, organic carbon, gasoline exhaust particulates, tire wear, and brake wear.

^{*} Projection = Emission Rate x seasonal VMT, then divide by 453.5 to convert to pounds, then divide by 2,000 to convert to tons.

a- budget year, b - 10-year rule, c - last year of Plan, d - no budget 5-year rule

^{*} Projection = Emission Rate x seasonal VMT, then divide by 453.5 to convert to pounds, then divide by 2,000 to convert to tons.

Salt Lake County PM10 Conformity

The PM_{10} SIP does not define a budget beyond the year 2003. Therefore, conformity tests are required only for analysis years which are identified in accordance with 40 CFR 93.118. All analysis years after 2003 must meet the 2003 budgets for primary particulates and secondary particulates (see the discussion above under Ogden PM_{10} Conformity for an explanation of primary and secondary PM_{10} emissions). The State air quality rule R307-310 allows a portion of the surplus primary PM_{10} budget to be applied to the secondary PM_{10} budget for conformity purposes. Table 11 below shows that budget adjustments were unnecessary for analysis years 2015, 2025, and 2030.

Table 11
Salt Lake County PM10 Budgets
Direct (Dust) and Precursor (NOx) PM10 Emissions

(tons/day)

Year	2015	2025	2030
Total PM10 Budget	72.60	72.60	72.60
Direct PM10 Budget	40.30	40.30	40.30
NOx Precursor PM10 Budget	32.30	32.30	32.30
Direct PM10 Budget to be Traded	0.00	0.00	0.00
Resulting Direct PM10 Budget	40.30	40.30	40.30
Populting NOv Proguroer PM10 Pudget	22.20	22.20	22.20
Resulting NOx Precursor PM10 Budget	32.30	32.30	32.30

Table 12a and Table 12b below demonstrate that projected mobile source emissions are within the emission budget defined in the SIP. The years listed in Table 12a and Table 12b are in accordance with requirements of the Conformity Rule (40 CFR Part 93) as noted in the tables.

From this demonstration it is concluded that the RTP conforms to the applicable controls and goals of the State Implementation Plan for PM_{10} in Salt Lake County.

Table 12a
Salt Lake County PM10 - NOx Precursor
Conformity Determination

	b	b	С
Year	2015	2025	2030
Budget (tons/day)	32.30	32.30	32.30
emission rate (grams/mile)	0.77	0.32	0.27
seasonal VMT	30,629,058	36,414,101	38,963,912
Projection* (tons/day)	25.90	12.89	11.43
Conformity			
(Projection < Budget?)	Pass	Pass	Pass

a- budget year, b - 10-year rule, c - last year of Plan, d - no budget 5-year rule

^{*} Projection = Emission Rate x seasonal VMT, then divide by 453.5 to convert to pounds, then divide by 2,000 to convert to tons.

Table 12b

Salt Lake County PM10 - Primary Particulates**

Conformity Determination

	b	b	С
Year	2015	2025	2030
Budget (tons/day)	40.30	40.30	40.30
tailpipe particulate rates (grams/mile)			
Gpm (gasoline particulates)	0.0044	0.0041	0.0038
Ec (diesel elemental carbon)	0.0049	0.0027	0.0008
Oc (diesel organic carbon)	0.0025	0.0014	0.0004
Pbr (brake particulates)	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125
Pti (tire wear particulates)	0.0091	0.0091	0.0091
road dust particulate rates (grams/mile)			
Freeway road dust	0.5400	0.5400	0.5400
Ramp Road dust	0.5400	0.5400	0.5400
Arterial road dust	0.8400	0.8400	0.8400
Local road dust	0.8000	0.8000	0.8000
net emission rate			
- average all road & vehicle types -	0.84	0.82	0.82
seasonal VMT	30,629,058	36,414,101	38,963,912
Tailpipe Particulates (tons/day)	1.01	1.07	1.17
Road Dust Particulates	27.36	31.97	34.02
Projection* (tons/day)	28.37	33.04	35.18
Conformity			
(Projection < Budget?)	Pass	Pass	Pass

^{**} Includes road dust, elemental carbon, organic carbon, gasoline exhaust particulates, tire wear, and brake wear.


Salt Lake and Davis County Ozone Conformity


The 1-hour ozone standard was revoked on June 19, 2005. Therefore, a conformity analysis under the 1-hour ozone standard in Salt Lake and Davis Counties is no longer required.

The Wasatch Front Area is currently in attainment of the new 8-hour ozone standard. Salt Lake and Davis Counties have always shown conformity with past state requirements for ozone related emissions. Projections indicate a steady decrease in mobile source ozone related emissions as shown in the charts below.

a- budget year, b - 10-year rule, c - last year of Plan, d - no budget 5-year rule

^{*} Projection = Emission Rate x seasonal VMT, then divide by 453.5 to convert to pounds, then divide by 2,000 to convert to tons.






^{*}Source: Mobile6.2 vehicle emission rates and projected vehicle miles of travel based on the Wasatch Front 2030 RTP.

Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})

It is anticipated that portions of Weber, Davis, Salt Lake, and Tooele Counties will be designated as non-attainment areas under the new $PM_{2.5}$ standard (35 μ g/m³) that was established in 2006. The previous $PM_{2.5}$ standard was 65 μ g/m³ and the Wasatch Front Region was in attainment of the former standard. Official EPA non-attainment designations under the new stricter standard will be made the end of 2009 and conformity to the new standard will be required beginning in 2011.

By 2013 the State of Utah will be required to submit a new section of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) documenting how the state will meet the new PM_{2.5} standard. Once the PM_{2.5} SIP is approved by EPA, WFRC will be required to make a conformity determination verifying that transportation related emissions are within the limits established in the SIP. During the interim period from 2011 when PM_{2.5} conformity is required and 2013 when emission limits are established in the SIP, WFRC will be required to establish conformity by demonstrating that future PM_{2.5} emissions are lower than 2002 levels.

A conformity determination for $PM_{2.5}$ is not required for this RTP. However, for discussion purposes a projection of $PM_{2.5}$ related emissions is presented in the chart below to illustrate that $PM_{2.5}$ related emissions from on-road mobile sources are expected to continue their declining trend of the last several years thus making $PM_{2.5}$ conformity a reasonable expectation for transportation plans developed after 2011.



^{*}Source: Mobile6.2 vehicle emission rates and projected vehicle miles of travel based on the Wasatch Front 2030 RTP.

$\begin{array}{c} Appendix-1 \\ Definition \ of \ Regionally \ Significant \ Projects \end{array}$

Process for Determining Regionally Significant Facilities for Purposes of Regional Emissions Analysis (see CFR 93.105.2.c.1.ii)

<u>Background</u>: 40 FR 93.101 defines "regionally significant project" and associated facilities for the purpose of transportation conformity. The federal definition does not specifically include minor arterials. The following definitions and processes will be used by the Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) and Mountainlands Association of Governments (MAG) in consultation with DAQ, UDOT, UTA, FHWA, FTA, and EPA to determine which facilities shall be considered regionally significant for purposes of regional emissions analysis. It is the practice of the MPO to include minor arterials and collectors in the travel model for the purpose of accurately modeling regional VMT and associated vehicle emissions. The inclusion of minor arterials and collectors in the travel model, however, does not identify these facilities as regionally significant.

- 1. Any new or existing facility with a functional classification of principal arterial or higher on the latest UDOT Functional Classification Map (currently found at http://www.dot.utah.gov/index.php/m=c/tid=1228) shall be considered regionally significant.
- 2. Any fixed guideway transit service including light rail, commuter rail, or portions of bus rapid transit that involve exclusive right-of-way shall be considered regionally significant.
- 3. As traffic conditions change in the future, the MPO's in consultation with DAQ, UDOT, FHWA, and EPA (and UTA and FTA in cases involving transit facilities) will consider 1) the relative importance of minor arterials serving major activity centers, and 2) the absence of principal arterials in the vicinity to determine if any minor arterials in addition to those listed in Exhibit A should be considered as regionally significant for purposes of regional emissions analysis.

Exhibit A Minor Arterials Determined to be Regionally Significant for Purposes of Regional Emissions Analysis

In consultation with DAQ, UDOT, FHWA, and EPA; and based on inspection and engineering judgment of current traffic conditions; and based on application of the "Process for Determining Regionally Significant Facilities for Purposes of Regional Emissions Analysis" agreed upon by the aforementioned agencies; the WFRC and MAG designate the following minor arterials as regionally significant.

Salt Lake County

300 West/Beck Street: 600 South north to I-15 Redwood Road: 14400 South to Utah County line

U-111: SR-201 to New Bingham Highway New Bingham Highway: U-111 to 9000 South

Davis County

Syracuse Road: I-15 west to Antelope Island

SR-108 (2000 West): Syracuse Road to Weber County line

Weber County

SR-108 (3500 West): Davis County line to Midland Drive SR-108 (Midland Drive): 3500 West to Hinckley Drive

SR-79 (Hinckley Drive): SR-108 to I-15

Utah County

Redwood Road: Salt Lake County line to Highway-73

Process for Determining Significant Change in Design Concept and Scope for Purposes of Regional Emissions Analysis (see CFR 93.105.2.c.1.ii)

Changes to regionally significant projects may or may not necessitate a new regional emissions analysis. The following definitions and processes will be used to determine what changes to project concept and scope are to be considered significant or not for purposes of regional emissions analysis.

- 1. Adding or extending freeway auxiliary lanes or weaving lanes between interchanges is not considered a significant change in concept and scope since these lanes are not normally included in the travel model.
- 2. Adding or extending freeway auxiliary/weaving lanes from one interchange to a point beyond the next interchange is considered a significant change in concept and scope.
- 3. A change to a regionally significant project defined in the Regional Transportation Plan that does not change how the project is defined in the travel model is not considered a significant change in concept and scope. These changes include but are not limited to lane or shoulder widening, cross section (other than the number of through lanes), alignment, interchange configuration, intersection traffic control, turn lanes, continuous or center turn lanes, and storage lanes.
- 4. A change to a regionally significant project defined in the Regional Transportation Plan that does alter the number of through lanes, lane capacity, or speed classification as defined in the travel model is considered a significant change in concept and scope.
- 5. Advancing or delaying the planned implementation of a regionally significant project that does not result in a change in the transportation network described in the travel model for any horizon year (as defined in CFR 93.101) is not considered a significant change in concept and scope.
- 6. Advancing or delaying the planned implementation of a regionally significant project that does result in a change in the transportation network described in the travel model for any horizon year (as defined in CFR 93.101) is considered a significant change in concept and scope.
- 7. Project changes not addressed in the above statements will be decided on a case by case basis through consultation by representatives from DAQ, WFRC, MAG, UDOT, UTA, FHWA, FTA, and EPA.

Appendix-2

Highway and Transit Projects 2030 RTP

Salt Lake and Ogden Areas

2030 RTP HIGHWAY PROJECTS LIST

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPTI	ION	PHASE
Salt Lake	County	, East-West Facilities			
		California Avenue	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2.1 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	4	I-215 to Bangerter Hwy.	ROW: 2006 - 110 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
Salt Lake	5	California Avenue	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	M. Arterial / 0.8 Miles / Local	3
Jan Lake		Bangerter Hwy. to 4800 West	ROW: 2006 - 110 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
Salt Lake	6	California Avenue 4800 West to Mountain View Corridor	Widening - 2 to 6 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 110 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft.	M. Arterial / 1 Miles / Local Bike Class - 2	3
		I-80	Widening - 6 to 8 Lanes	Freeway / 1.8 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	7a	State Street to 1300 East	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
Salt Lake	7ь	I-80	Widening - 6 to 8 Lanes	Freeway / 3.5 Miles / UDOT	3
Suit Luke	"	1300 East to Parleys Canyon	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft.	Bike Class- 0	3
Salt Lake	233	I-80 Interchange East Bound @ I-215 (West Side)	Upgrade - 1 to 2 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft.	Freeway / 0.6 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 0	1
		SR-201	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	Freeway / 3.4 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	9	3200 West to Mountain View Corridor	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 2,3	1
Salt Lake	100	SR-201	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	Freeway / 3.3 Miles / UDOT	3
- Suit Luke	100	Mountain View Corridor to 8400 West	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	3
Salt Lake	234	SR-201 SR-202 to I-80	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Freeway / 3.3 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	1
		SR-201	Upgrade Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	
Salt Lake	10	I-215 Interchange and Auxiliary Lanes	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 0	3
Salt Lake	235	SR-201 Overpass	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	Freeway / UDOT	2
San Lake	233	@ 4800 West	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 2	
Salt Lake	11	SR-201 Interchange	New Construction ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Freeway / UDOT	2
		@ 7200 West SR-201 Interchange	New Construction	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project Freeway / UDOT	
Salt Lake	12	@ 8400 West	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	2
Salt Lake	236	SR-201 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	1
San Lake	230	@ I-80	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	1
Salt Lake	295	Western East / West Study	Study	UDOT	1
		SR-201 to Utah County Line 3100 South	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 0.5 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	13	1400 West to 3300 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 88 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
0.1.7.1	1.	3500 South	Widening - 4 to 6 plus Transit Lanes	P. Arterial / 1.5 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	14	2700 West to 4000 West	ROW: 2006 - 100 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	1
Salt Lake	15	3500 South	Widening – 4/2 to 6 plus Transit Lanes	P. Arterial / 2.3 Miles / UDOT	1
		4000 West to Mountain View Corridor 3500 South	ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project P. Arterial / 3.3 Miles / UDOT/Local	
Salt Lake	16	Mountain View Corridor to 8400 West	Widening - 2 to 4 plus Transit Lanes ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	2
		4100 South	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.8 Miles / Local	_
Salt Lake	237	Mountain View Corridor to 7200 West	ROW: 2006 - 76 ft. / 2030 - 86 ft.	Bike Class - 2,3	2
Salt Lake	18	4500 South	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 2.7 Miles / UDOT	3
		2700 East to 900 East	ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 0	-
Salt Lake	297	4500 South I-215 to 2700 East	Re-stripe - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	P. Arterial / 0.7 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 2	3
		4500 South	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	P. Arterial / 0.7 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	19	I-15 to State Street	ROW: 2006 - 150 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
Salt Lake	20	4500 South/4700 South	Widening - 4 to 6 plus Transit Lanes	P. Arterial / 2.1 Miles / UDOT/Local	2
Suit Lake	20	I-15 to Redwood Road	ROW: 2006 - 150 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 3,0 / Transit Project	2
Salt Lake	238	4700 South	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	P. Arterial / 1.5 Miles / Local Bike Class - 3	1
		2700 West to 4000 West 4700 South	ROW: 2006 - 150 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft. Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 2.3 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	21	4000 West to 6400 West	ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 80-106 ft.	Bike Class - 3	2
Salt Lake	239	5400 South	Widening - 4 to 6 plus Transit Lanes	M. Arterial / 6.8 Miles / UDOT	2
San Lake	239	I-15 to Mountain View Corridor	ROW: 2006 - 86-110 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft.	Bike Class - 0,3 / Transit Project	
Salt Lake	240	5400 South	Widening - 2 to 4 plus Transit Lanes	M. Arterial / 2.4 Miles / UDOT	3
	-	Mountain View Corridor to SR-111 6200 South	ROW: 2006 - 70 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft. Widening/NC - 2/0 to 4 Lanes	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project M. Arterial / 1.8 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	23	5600 West to SR-111	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 3	2
Colt I also	300	7000 South / 7200 South	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2.6 Miles / Local	2
Salt Lake	300	State Street to Redwood Road	ROW: 2006 - 90 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
Salt Lake	24	7000 South	Widening - 3 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.9 Miles / Local	1
		Redwood Road to Bangerter Hwy.	ROW: 2006 - 56 ft. / 2030 - 90 ft.	Bike Class - 2	
Salt Lake	27	7800 South	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2.8 Miles / UDOT/Local	2
I .	I	Bangerter Hwy. to MVC	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 116 ft.	Bike Class - 2	

Salt Lake County, East-West Facilities Continued

Substitute 22 22 23 24 23 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25	COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPTI	ON	PHASE
Self-Lake 35 Nonemark Vew Control on Self-11 ROW, 2006 - 537 fb. 2000 - 10 fb. Bite Close 3	Salt Laka	222		Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.4 Miles / Local	2
Self-Like 35 Septiment Self-Like 34	Sait Lake	222				
Soil Lake 241 900 South 1-15 to Response Heys. 100 Mill 2009 100 M	Salt Lake	25		~		3
Self-Lake 20	Salt Lake	241		Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes		2
Sail Lake 100 Bangerer Hoy, Do Oil Binghan Hoy. BOW. 2006 100 ft. / 2000 100 ft	Suit Euke	2-11				-
Soil Lake 290 9000 South Nov Commercian - 0.0 o Falanes P. Arterial 1.3 Miles Jacob 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Salt Lake	30a		~		2
Soli Lake 24 2000 South South Continue Solid Lake 23 24 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	Salt Lake	30b	9000 South	New Construction - 0 to 6 Lanes		2
Sali Lake 242 Monomina View Cercitor to SR 1-11 ROW, 2006 - 0.11-0.2003 - 1.16 Bac Class - 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						-
Sail Lake 23 1300 Earto Highland Drive ROW, 2006 - 84 ft., 2003 - 84 ft. Bake Class - 1	Salt Lake	242				2
Sail Lake 23 1000 South Pedigliand Drive 1000 Welling - 24 in 1 (1) 2001 - 34 in 1 2001 300	Salt Lake	32				1
Sail Lake 256 1-15 to Rodwood Road ROW. 2006 - 106 ft. / 2009 - 106 ft.			Ü			
Salt Lake 33 Redwood Road to Bangerer Hay. Now. 2006-106 It. 7200-106 ft. Bike Class - 2 1 1400 South 1000 South 1 Nov. 2006-106 It. 7200-106 ft. Bike Class - 2 2 1400 South 1000 South 1 1400 South 1000 South 1 Nov. 2006-107 It. 7200-106 ft. Bike Class - 2 1 1400 South 1 1400 South Redwood Road Widening - 24 to 6 Lanes M. Arctiral / 1 Miles / Local 1 1 1400 South Redwood Road Widening - 24 to 6 Lanes M. Arctiral / 2 Miles / Local 1 1 1400 South South 1 1400 South Redwood Road Widening - 20 of Lanes M. Arctiral / 2 Miles / Local 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Salt Lake	243		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		2
Sail Lake	Salt Lake	33		1		1
Salt Lake 34 Samperer Pay, to SR 111 NOW 2006 - 01 / 2000 - 100 ft.			ŭ ,			
Salt Lake	Salt Lake	34				2
Salt Lake	Salt Lake	37a		_		1
Salt Labe 38 700 West to Redwood Road 8009:2006-200 ft, 2009-106 ft. Bilke Class - 2				i e		
Salt Lake 490	Salt Lake	38		~	Bike Class - 2	1
Salt Lake	Salt Lake	39		~		2
Sail Lake 4th						
Salt Lake 400	Salt Lake	40a	Bangerter Hwy. to 4800 West	~		2
Salt Lake 40c 1100 South So00 West to SR-111 ROW-2006 - 60 ft / 2030 - 50 ft Bis Class - 2 2	Salt Lake	40b				2
Solit Lake 244 12300 South 2000 Sout					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Salt Lake 244 245 2500 Nowth 242 2500 Nowth 2500 Nowth	Salt Lake	40c		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Bike Class - 1	2
Salt Lake 42 12600 South Widening 2 to 4 Lanes P. Arterial 2 Miles / Local 1	Salt Lake	244		~		2
Salt Lake 42 Bangerter Hwy, to 4800 West ROW. 2006 - 66 h. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 2 1				`		
Salt Lake	Salt Lake	42		~		1
Salt Lake	Salt Lake	43				2
Salt Lake 249 13400 South Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes Collector () 9 Miles / Local 1						
Salt Lake 249 Mountain View Corridor to Bangerter Hwy. ROW. 2006 - 60 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 2 / Transit Project 1	Salt Lake	44			1	2
Salt Lake 245a 245a 245a 245ba 246ba 246ba 246ba 246ba 247ba 24	Salt Lake	299		~		1
Salt Lake			· .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Salt Lake	Salt Lake	245a		ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106-120 ft.		3
Salt Lake 247 Bangerter Highway Interchange Redwood Road RoW: 2006 - 150 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft. Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project 2	Salt Lake	246		1.0	1	2
Redwood Road ROW: 2006 - 150 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft. Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	Cale I also	247				
Salt Lake 302	Sait Lake	247				2
Salt Lake	Salt Lake	302			1	2
G 13400 South ROW: 2006 - 150 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft. Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	Cale I also	240				2
Salt Lake 249 3600 West to 4000 West ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 86 ft. Bike Class - 2 2	Salt Lake	248				2
Salt Lake 250 14400 South/15000 South New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes Collector / 0.7 Miles / Local ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 0 2	Salt Lake	249				2
Salt Lake 251 14400 South 15000 South New Construction 0 to 4 Lanes Collector / 2.1 Miles / Local 2	Cale Labor	250				
Salt Lake 251 Mountain View Corridor to 5600 West ROW; 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 0 2	Sait Lake	250	4000 West to Mountain View Corridor			2
Salt Lake	Salt Lake	251				2
Salt Lake 46 Porter Rockwell Road New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes P. Arterial / 3.4 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 0.1	Cole I ol-	A E				2
Salt Lake 46	Sait Lake	45				۷.
Salt Lake 48	Salt Lake	46				3
Salt Lake Salt	6 1-7 1	40				
Salt Lake 84 8400 West SR-201 to 3500 South Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. P. Arterial / 1.5 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 293 SR-111 SR Structure @ 4300 South Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. P. Arterial / 0.3 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 2 1 Salt Lake SR-111 South Facilities Continued Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. P. Arterial / 8.5 Miles / UDOT/Local Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 85 SR-111 South to 11800 South to 11800 South ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. P. Arterial / 8.5 Miles / UDOT/Local Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 252 8000 West 11800 South to 13400 South New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft. Collector / 1.8 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0 3	Sait Lake	48	Over Little Cottonwood Canyon Road @ White	epine Chutes	Bike Class - 2,3	2
Salt Lake 84 SR-201 to 3500 South ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 293 SR-111 RR Structure @ 4300 South Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. P. Arterial / 0.3 Miles / UDOT 1 Salt Lake County, North-South Facilities Continued Salt Lake 85 SR-111 5400 South to 11800 South Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. P. Arterial / 8.5 Miles / UDOT/Local Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 85 SR-111 5400 South to 11800 South ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 252 8000 West 11800 South to 13400 South New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft. Collector / 1.8 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0 3	Salt Lak	e Cour	nty, North-South Facilities			
SR-201 to 3500 South ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 2	Calt Laka	0.4	8400 West	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 1.5 Miles / UDOT	2
Salt Lake 293 RR Structure @ 4300 South ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 2 1 Salt Lake County, North-South Facilities Continued Salt Lake 85 SR-111	Sait Lake	04				4
Salt Lake County, North-South Facilities Continued Salt Lake 85 SR-111 5400 South to 11800 South Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. P. Arterial / 8.5 Miles / UDOT/Local Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 252 8000 West 11800 South to 13400 South New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft. Collector / 1.8 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0 3	Salt Lake	293				1
Salt Lake 85 SR-111 S400 South to 11800 South Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. P. Arterial / 8.5 Miles / UDOT/Local Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 252 8000 West 11800 South to 13400 South ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft. New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft. Collector / 1.8 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0 3			KK Structure @ 4300 South	KOW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	BIKE Class - 2	
Salt Lake 85 5400 South to 11800 South ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 2 2 Salt Lake 252 8000 West 11800 South to 13400 South New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft. Collector / 1.8 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0 3	Salt Lak	e Cour	nty, North-South Facilities Continu	ed		
Salt Lake 252 8000 West 1800 South to 13400 South ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. Bike Class - 2 New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes Collector / 1.8 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0 3 3	Salt Lake	85				2.
Salt Lake 252 11800 South to 13400 South ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft. Bike Class - 0	San Lake	0.5				-
	Salt Lake	252				3
	Salt Lake	255b				3

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPT	TION	PHASE
		12600 South to 13400 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 80 ft.	Bike Class - 1	
Cale I alaa	79	Mountain View Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 4 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 3.1 Miles / UDOT	1
Salt Lake	/9	I-80 to SR-201	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 328 ft.	Bike Class - 1 / Transit Project	3
Salt Lake	80	Mountain View Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 6 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 6.1 Miles / UDOT	1
	00	SR-201 to 6200 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 328 ft.	Bike Class - 1 / Transit Project	
Salt Lake	81	Mountain View Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 6 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 5.4 Miles / UDOT	1
		6200 South to 10800 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 328 ft.	Bike Class - 1 / Transit Project	1
Salt Lake	82a	Mountain View Corridor 10800 South to 12600 South	New Construction - 0 to 6 plus HOV Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 328 ft.	Freeway / 3 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 1 / Transit Project	1
		Mountain View Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 6 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 1.1 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	82b	12600 South to 13400 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 328 ft.	Bike Class - 1 / Transit Project	1
		Mountain View Corridor Interchange	New Construction	Freeway / UDOT	
Salt Lake	303	@ 13400 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 328 ft.	Bike Class - 1 / Transit Project	2
		Mountain View Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 6 Lanes	Freeway / 4 Miles / UDOT	_
Salt Lake	83a	13400 South to Porter Rockwell Road	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 328 ft.	Bike Class - 1	2
C I I I	021	Mountain View Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 6 Lanes	Freeway / 2.8 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	83b	Porter Rockwell Road to Utah Co. Line	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 328 ft.	Bike Class - 1	2
Cale I alaa	256	5600 West	Widening - 2 to 4 plus Transit Lanes	M. Arterial / 3.1 Miles / UDOT	,
Salt Lake	256	I-80 to SR-201	ROW: 2006 - 86 ft. / 2030 - 86 ft.	Bike Class - 2 / Transit Project	1
Salt Lake	77	5600 West	Widening - 2 to 4 plus Transit Lanes	M. Arterial / 3.5 Miles / UDOT	1
Sait Lake	//	4400 South to 7000 South	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2,0 / Transit Project	1
Salt Lake	257	5600 West	New Construction - 0 to 4 plus Transit Lanes	M. Arterial / 2.1 Miles / Local	2
Suit Euce	237	7000 South to New Bingham Hwy.	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 – 106 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	
Salt Lake	258	5600 West	Widening - 2 to 4 plus Transit Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.5 Miles / Local	2
		New Bingham Hwy. to Old Bingham Hwy.	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	
Salt Lake	259	5600 West	New Construction - 0 to 2 plus Transit Lanes	M. Arterial / 3.2 Miles / UDOT	3
		11800 South to 14400 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 86 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	
Salt Lake	260	4800 West	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 1 Miles / Local	3
		California Avenue to SR-201	ROW: 2006 - 50 ft. / 2030 - 86 ft.	Bike Class - 3	
Salt Lake	261	4800 West	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 0.9 Miles / Local	2
		SR-201 to Parkway Blvd. (2700 S.)	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 86 ft.	Bike Class - 2 Collector / 1.1 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	262	4800 West	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Bike Class - 2	2
		Parkway Blvd. (2700 S.) to 3500 South 4800 West	ROW: 2006 - 86 ft. / 2030 - 86 ft. New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 3.5 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	263	9000 South to 11800 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 – 86 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
		Gladiola (3400/3200 W)	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 1.2 Miles / Local	+
Salt Lake	75	500 South to California Avenue	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 – 84 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
		3200 West	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 0.7 Miles / Local	1
Salt Lake	76	California Avenue to 1820 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 2	2
		3200 West	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 1.3 Miles / Local	_
Salt Lake	265	1820 South to 3500 South	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft.	Bike Class - 2	2
Cale I ala	266	2700 West	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 0.3 Miles / Local	,
Salt Lake	266	Overpass over SR-201	ROW: 2006 - 66-110 ft. / 2030 - 66-110 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
Salt Lake	54a	I-215	Widening - 6 to 8 Lanes	Freeway / 4 Miles / UDOT	1
San Lake	344	SR-201 to 4700 South	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
Salt Lake	54b	I-215	Widening - 6 to 8 Lanes	Freeway / 2.8 Miles / UDOT	2
		I-80 (West Side) to SR-201	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 0	
Salt Lake	267	Redwood Road	Widening - 4/2 to 6 Lanes	P. Arterial / 4.5 Miles / UDOT	3
		9000 South to 12600 South	ROW: 2006 - 66-106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 3,2 / Transit Project	
Salt Lake	73	Redwood Road	Widening - 2 to 6 Lanes	P. Arterial / 1.5 Miles / UDOT	2
		12600 South to Bangerter Hwy.	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2 / Transit Project	
Salt Lake	101a	Redwood Road	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 2.3 Miles / UDOT	1
		Bangerter Hwy. to Porter Rockwell Road	ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2 P. Arterial / 2.5 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	101b	Redwood Road Porter Rockwell Road to Utah Co. Line	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 86 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	1
		900 West/Fine St.	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 0.9 Miles / Local	+
Salt Lake	71	3300 South to 700 West	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 – 80 ft.	Bike Class - 2,0	1
		Bingham Junction Blvd.	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2.8 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	70	7000 South to 8400 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	1
	İ.	I-15	Widening - 6 to 6 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 1.1 Miles / UDOT	i
Salt Lake	88	I-215 to Beck Street	ROW: 2006 - 200 ft. / 2030 - 200 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
6.1.7		I-15	Widening - 6 to 6 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 2.9 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	50	Beck Street to 600 North	ROW: 2006 - 200 ft. / 2030 - 200 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
		I-15 Interchange	New Construction	Freeway / UDOT	
Salt Lake	269	@ 100 South (HOV Ramps only)	ROW: 2006 - 200 ft. / 2030 - 200 ft.	Bike Class - 0	2
Salt Lak	e Cou	nty, North-South Facilities Contin	ued		
		I-15 (Northbound)	Widening – 3 plus HOV to 4 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / UDOT	T
Salt Lake	292	@ 10600 Interchange	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
Buit Luke			Widening – 7 plus HOV to 8 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 1.6 Miles / UDOT	1
		I-15			
Salt Lake	221a	I-15 12300 South to Bangerter Hwy.		-	2
Salt Lake		I-15 12300 South to Bangerter Hwy. I-15	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft. Widening - 6/7 plus HOV to 10 plus HOV Lanes	Bike Class - 0 Freeway / 3.9 Miles / UDOT	
	221a 221b	12300 South to Bangerter Hwy.	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft.	Bike Class - 0	2
Salt Lake Salt Lake	221b	12300 South to Bangerter Hwy. I-15	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft. Widening - 6/7 plus HOV to 10 plus HOV Lanes	Bike Class - 0 Freeway / 3.9 Miles / UDOT	2
Salt Lake		12300 South to Bangerter Hwy. I-15 Bangerter Hwy. to Utah County Line	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft. Widening - 6/7 plus HOV to 10 plus HOV Lanes ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft.	Bike Class - 0 Freeway / 3.9 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 0	
Salt Lake Salt Lake	221b	12300 South to Bangerter Hwy. I-15 Bangerter Hwy. to Utah County Line I-15 Interchange	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft. Widening - 6/7 plus HOV to 10 plus HOV Lanes ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft. New Construction	Bike Class - 0 Freeway / 3.9 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 0 Freeway / UDOT	2

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPT	ION	PHASE
Salt Lake	58a	State Street	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	M. Arterial / 3.5 Miles / UDOT	1
San Lake	Joa	6200 South to 9000 South	ROW: 2006 - 100 ft. / 2030 - 100 ft.	Bike Class - 0	
Salt Lake	271	900 East/700 East	Re-stripe - 4 to 6 Lanes	P. Arterial / 3 Miles / UDOT	2
		Fort Union Blvd. to 9400 South	ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	
Salt Lake	59a	700 East	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	P. Arterial / 2.9 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 2	1
		Carnation Dr. (10142 S.) to 12300 South 900 East	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	P. Arterial / 3 Miles / UDOT	
Salt Lake	61	Van Winkle Express to Fort Union Blvd.	ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
		2000 East	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	P. Arterial / 3.1 Miles / Local	_
Salt Lake	63	Fort Union Blvd. to 9400 South	ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
Salt Lake	64	Highland Drive	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 1.2 Miles / Local	1
San Lake	04	9400 South to Sego Lily	ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	•
Salt Lake	65a	Highland Drive	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 0.6 Miles / Local	2
		Sego Lily to 10600 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	
Salt Lake	65b	Highland Drive 10600 South to Draper City Limit	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	P. Arterial / 1.5 Miles / Local Bike Class - 2	2
		Highland Drive	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 5 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	65c	Draper City Limit to Traverse Ridge Road	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	3
		Highland Drive	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 0.8 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	66	Traverse Ridge Road to 14600 South	ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	2
		Highland Drive Connection	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 1.8 Miles / Local	
Salt Lake	65d	Traverse Ridge Road to 13800 South	ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 3	3
Salt Lake	102	Foothill Boulevard	Widening - 4 to 6 plus Transit Lanes	P. Arterial / 2.4 Miles / UDOT	1
San Lake	102	2300 East to I-80	ROW: 2006 - 100 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	1
Salt Lake	67	I-80 to I-215 Ramp (Parley's)	Widening - 1 to 2 Lanes	Freeway / 0.5 Miles / UDOT	3
		I-80 Eastbound to I-215 Southbound	ROW: 2006 - 260 ft. / 2030 - 260 ft.	Bike Class - 0	
Salt Lake	68	Wasatch Boulevard	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 2.2 Miles / UDOT	2
		7000 South to North Little Cottonwood Rd	ROW: 2006 - 100 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 2 / Transit Project	
Salt Lake	69	Wasatch Boulevard N. Little Cottonwood to Little Cottonwood	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 60 ft. / 2030 - 80 ft.	Collector / 1.1 Miles / Local Bike Class - 2 / Transit Project	3
			ROW. 2000 - 00 It. / 2030 - 80 It.	Bike Class - 27 Hallsit Ploject	
Davis Co	ounty,	East-West Facilities			
	201	North Davis East / West Study	Study	UDOT	
Davis	304	Weber County Line to Syracuse Road			1
Davis	128	1800 North	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2 Miles / UDOT	1
Davis	126	Main Street (Sunset) to 2000 West	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 3	1
Davis	129	1800 North (Clinton)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 3 Miles / UDOT	2
		2000 West to 5000 West	ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 3	
Davis	130	200 South/700 South Connection	Widening/NC - 0 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.2 Miles / Local	1
		State Street to 500 West 200 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft.	Bike Class - 2,1 M. Arterial / 1.6 Miles / Local	
Davis	132	500 West (Clearfield) to 2000 West	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0-70 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	1
		200 South (Syracuse)	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.4 Miles / Local	
Davis	133	2000 West to North Legacy Corridor	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	2
ъ.	272	Syracuse Road (SR-108)	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2 Miles / UDOT	
Davis	272	I-15 to Main Street (Clearfield)	ROW: 2006 - 106 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2,3 / Transit Project	3
Davis	135	Syracuse Road (SR-108)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1 Miles / UDOT	1
Davis	133	1000 West to 2000 West	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	1
Davis	139	Antelope Drive	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	M. Arterial / 0.3 Miles / Local	2
		Oak Forest Dr. (2500 East) to US-89	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 2 / Transit Project	
Davis	273	Gordon Avenue (1000 N.)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 0.7 Miles / Local	2
		Fairfield Road to 1600 East	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 0	
Davis	140	Gordon Avenue (1000 N.) 1600 East to US-89	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 – 84 ft.	Collector / 1.3 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0	2
		Hill Field Road Extension	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1 Miles / Local	
Davis	137	2200 West to 3200 West (Layton)	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft.	Bike Class - 1	3
ъ.		700 South / 900 South (Layton)	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 3.1 Miles / Local	
Davis	144	I-15 to 2700 West (Layton)	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 2	2
~ .		200 North (Kaysville)	Re-stripe - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2.1 Miles / Local	
Davis	146	I-15 to North Legacy Corridor	ROW: 2006 - 80-100 ft. / 2030 - 80-100 ft.	Bike Class - 3,0	2
Davis Co	ounty,	East-West Facilities Continued			
D	00	Parrish Lane (Centerville)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 0.3 Miles / Local	
Davis	90a	I-15 to 1250 West	ROW: 2006 - 100 ft. / 2030 - 100 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
Davis	92a	500 South	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.8 Miles / UDOT	1
Davis	<i>1</i> 2d	I-15 to Redwood Road	ROW: 2006 - 66-80 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 2 / Transit Project	1
Davis	274	I-215 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	3
		@ Legacy Parkway	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 0	
Davis	275	I-215 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	3
		@ I-15	ROW: 2006 - 300 ft. / 2030 - 300 ft.	Bike Class - 0	
Davis Co	ounty,	North-South Facilities			
		North Legacy Corridor	ROW Purchase	P. Arterial / 16.3 Miles / UDOT	
Davis	157	Weber County Line to I-15/US-89	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 320 ft.	Bike Class - 1	1
		North Legacy Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	P. Arterial / 16.3 Miles / UDOT	i
	158		ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 320 ft.	Bike Class - 1	2
Davis	150	Weber County Line to I-15/US-89	KOW. 2000 - 0 It. / 2030 - 320 It.	DIKC Class - 1	

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPTI	ION	PHASE
		Weber County Line to I-15/US-89	ROW: 2006 - 320 ft. / 2030 - 320 ft.	Bike Class - 1	
Davis	294	North Legacy Connector Study	Study	P. Arterial / 2.5 Miles / UDOT	1
Davis	274	North Legacy Corridor to Legacy Parkway		Bike Class - 1	1
Davis	155	2000 West (SR-108)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 4.4 Miles / UDOT	1
		Weber Co. Line to Syracuse Road 2700 West (Layton)	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft. New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project M. Arterial / 1.4 Miles / Local	
Davis	156	Hill Field Rd Ext. to North Legacy Corridor	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 1	3
Davis	02-	Redwood Road	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.7 Miles / UDOT	
Davis	93a	500 South (Davis Co.) to 2600 South	ROW: 2006 - 100 ft. / 2030 - 106 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	3
Davis	304	Sheep Road	Study	Collector / 3.1 Miles / Local	1
		Parrish Lane to Glovers Lane	WELL COOL HOUL	Bike Class - 0	_
Davis	147	I-15 Weber County Line to Hill Field Road	Widening - 6 to 6 plus HOV Lanes ROW: 2006 - 240 ft. / 2030 - 240 ft.	Freeway / 6.3 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 0	2
		I-15	Widening - 6 to 6 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 7.5 Miles / UDOT	
Davis	169	Hill Field Road (SR -232) to US-89	ROW: 2006 - 240 ft. / 2030 - 240 ft.	Bike Class - 0	1
Davis	279	I-15 Interchange	New Construction	Freeway / UDOT	2
Davis	217	@ 1800 North	ROW: 2006 - 240 ft. / 2030 - 240 ft.	Bike Class - 0	2
Davis	138	I-15 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	2
		@ Hill Field Road I-15 Interchange	ROW: 2006 - 180 ft. / 2030 - 180 ft. Upgrade	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project Freeway / UDOT	
Davis	148	@ South Layton Interchange	ROW: 2006 - 200 ft. / 2030 - 200 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	1
Davis	06	I-15	Widening - 8 to 8 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 7.1 Miles / UDOT	,
Davis	86	US-89 (Farmington) to 500 S. (Davis Co)	ROW: 2006 - 200 ft. / 2030 - 200 ft.	Bike Class - 0	3
Davis	89	I-15 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	1
		@ Parrish Lane	ROW: 2006 - 200 ft. / 2030 - 200 ft.	Bike Class - 0	-
Davis	87	I-15 500 S. (Davis Co) to I-215	Widening - 8 to 8 plus HOV Lanes ROW: 2006 - 200 ft. / 2030 - 200 ft.	Freeway / 3.5 Miles / UDOT Bike Class - 0	2
		I-15 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	
Davis	290	@ 500 South	ROW: 2006 - 200 ft. / 2030 - 200 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	3
Davis	150	Main Street	Re-stripe - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.5 Miles / Local	
Davis	150	I-15 (Layton)/Fort Lane to 200 North	ROW: 2006 - 100 ft. / 2030 - 100 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	1
Davis	151	Fort Lane (Layton)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 1.6 Miles / Local	1
		Main Street to Gordon Avenue (1000 N.)	ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 80 ft.	Bike Class - 0	
Davis	91	Bountiful Blvd. Eaglewood to Beck Street	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 – 72 ft.	Collector / 3.1 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0	3
		US-89	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	Freeway / 10.6 Miles / UDOT	
Davis	160	I-15 (Farmington) to I-84	ROW: 2006 - 120 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 3	3
Davis	166	US-89 Interchange	New Construction	Freeway / UDOT	2
Davis	100	@ Antelope Drive	ROW: 2006 - 120 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	-
Davis	165	US-89 Interchange	New Construction	Freeway / UDOT	2
		@ Gordon Avenue US-89 Interchange	ROW: 2006 - 120 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft. New Construction	Bike Class - 3 Freeway / UDOT	
Davis	164	@ Oakhills Drive (SR-109)	ROW: 2006 - 120 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 3	2
Davis	163	US-89 Interchange	New Construction	Freeway / UDOT	1
Davis	103	@ 400 North (Fruit Heights)	ROW: 2006 - 120 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 3	1
Weber C	County	, East-West Facilities			
		Western Weber East / West Study	Study	UDOT	
Weber	306	1200 South to Davis County Line	Study	CDOT	1
		Skyline Drive (North)	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	Collector / 5.6 Miles / Local	_
Weber	171	2600 North to US-89	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 80 ft.	Bike Class - 3	1
Weber	174	Pioneer Road (400 North)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 0.9 Miles / Local	3
		I-15 to 1200 West	ROW: 2006 - 80 ft. / 2030 - 80-106 ft.	Bike Class - 2	_
Weber	178	1200 South	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 4.8 Miles / UDOT	2
		I-15 to North Legacy Corridor	ROW: 2006 - 110 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft.	Bike Class - 2,1	
Weber C	county	, East-West Facilities Continued			
		24th Street	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.6 Miles / UDOT	
Weber	180	I-15 to Wall Avenue	ROW: 2006 - 90 ft. / 2030 - 100 ft.	Bike Class - 3	2
*** *	101	Hinckley Drive	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 0.7 Miles / UDOT	_
Weber	186a	1900 West (SR-126) to Midland Drive	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 110 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	1
Weber	184a	40th Street	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1 Miles / Local	1
3001	1074	Adams Avenue to Gramercy Avenue	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 2	•
Weber	185	4000 South (SR-37)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 3.9 Miles / UDOT/Local	3
		1900 West to North Legacy Corridor Midland Drive (SR-108)	ROW: 2006 - 84 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft. Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project M. Arterial / 1.8 Miles / UDOT	
Weber	186b	Hinckley Drive to 3500 West	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 100 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	1
Wales -	200	5600 South	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2 Miles / UDOT	2
Weber	289	1900 West (SR-126) to 3500 West	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 2,3	2
Weber	188	5500 South/5600 South	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 3.1 Miles / UDOT	2
., 5551	100	3500 West to 5900 West (Hooper)	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 84 ft.	Bike Class - 3,0	-
Weber	189	5600 South Connection I-15 to South Weber Drive	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 – 66 ft.	M. Arterial / 1.2 Miles / Local Bike Class - 0	3
			KOW. 2000 - 0 II. / 2000 - 00 II.	DIRC Class - U	
Weber C	County	, North-South Facilities			
Weber	296	North Legacy Corridor	ROW Purchase	P. Arterial / 8.5 Miles / UDOT	2

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIP'	ΓΙΟΝ	PHASE
		1200 South to I-15	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 1	
Weber	298	North Legacy Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	P. Arterial / 8.5 Miles / UDOT	3
weber	298	1200 South to I-15	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 1	3
Weber	212	North Legacy Corridor	ROW Purchase	P. Arterial / 6.5 Miles / UDOT	1
W CDC1	212	Davis County Line to 1200 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 1	1
Weber	170a	North Legacy Corridor	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	P. Arterial / 6.5 Miles / UDOT	2
Webei	170a	Davis County Line to 1200 South	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 1	
Weber	170b	North Legacy Corridor	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	P. Arterial / 0.8 Miles / UDOT	3
Webei	1700	Davis County Line to 5500 South	ROW: 2006 - 220 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 1	3
Weber	200	3500 West (SR-108)	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 1.6 Miles / UDOT	1
Webel	200	Midland Drive to Davis County Line	ROW: 2006 - 66 ft. / 2030 - 100 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	1
Weber	284	1900 West (SR-126)	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	M. Arterial / 0.4 Miles / UDOT	1
W CDC1	204	5600 South to Riverdale Road	ROW: 2006 - 100 ft. / 2030 - 126 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	1
Weber	285	I-15	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	Freeway / 2.2 Miles / UDOT	3
W CDC1	263	Box Elder County Line to 2700 North	ROW: 2006 - 220 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 0	3
Weber	210	I-15	Widening - 6 to 6 plus HOV Lanes	Freeway / 2.8 Miles / UDOT	2
Webel	210	I-84 to Davis Co. Line	ROW: 2006 - 220 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	2
Weber	179	I-15 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	2
W CDC1	1/9	@ 24th Street	ROW: 2006 - 220 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 0	2
Weber	229	I-15 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	2
Webei	weber 229	@ Riverdale Road (SR-26)	ROW: 2006 - 220 ft. / 2030 - 220 ft.	Bike Class - 0 / Transit Project	
Weber	286	1100 West (Pleasant View)	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	Collector / 1 Miles / Local	3
Webel	200	Skyline Drive to 4000 North	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 60 ft.	Bike Class - 3	3
Weber	291	1100 West (Pleasant View)	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	Collector / 0.6 Miles / Local	3
Webel	271	Pleasant View Drive to US-89	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft.	Bike Class - 3	3
Weber	204	Riverdale Road (SR-26)	Widening - 4 to 5/6 Lanes	P. Arterial / 3.7 Miles / UDOT	1
Webei	204	SR-126 to Washington Blvd.	ROW: 2006 - 99 ft. / 2030 - 120 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	1
Weber	201	Wall Avenue	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	Collector / 2.4 Miles / Local	3
Webel	201	2700 North to US-89	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft.	Bike Class - 0	,
Weber	287	Adams Avenue	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 0.6 Miles / Local	1
Webel	207	Washington Terrace City Limits to US-89	ROW: 2006 - 86 ft. / 2030 - 86 ft.	Bike Class - 3	1
Weber	288	450 East/400 East	Widening - 2 to 4 Lanes	Collector / 0.9 Miles / Local	2
Webel	200	3100 North to 2700 North	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 66 ft.	Bike Class - 3	2
Weber	192	Monroe Boulevard	New Construction - 0 to 4 Lanes	M. Arterial / 2 Miles / Local	3
Webei	192	1300 North to 2700 North	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 80 ft.	Bike Class - 3	3
Weber	203	Harrison Blvd.	Widening - 4 to 6 plus Transit Lanes	P. Arterial / 4.8 Miles / UDOT	2
AA CDCI	203	24th Street to US-89	ROW: 2006 - 99 ft. / 2030 - 99 ft.	Bike Class - 3 / Transit Project	4
Weber	226	US-89	Widening - 4 to 6 Lanes	Freeway / 2 Miles / UDOT	2
** CDC1	220	I-84 to Harrison Blvd.	ROW: 2006 - 120 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 2	
Weber	214	US-89 Interchange	Upgrade	Freeway / UDOT	2
AA COCI	214	@ Uintah/I-84	ROW: 2006 - 150 ft. / 2030 - 150 ft.	Bike Class - 2	
*** 1	205	Skyline Drive	New Construction - 0 to 2 Lanes	Collector / 0.2 Miles / Local	
Weber	206a	Ogden City Limits to Eastwood Blvd.	ROW: 2006 - 0 ft. / 2030 - 80 ft.	Bike Class - 3	1

2030 RTP COMMUNITY LEVEL TRANSIT PROJECT LIST

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	PHASE
Salt Lake County				
Salt Lake	SL10	3500 South (Central) Line 3300 South TRAX Station - Valley Fair Mall	Enhanced Bus	2
Salt Lake	SL12	3500 South (Hunter) Line Bangerter Highway – 7200 West	Enhanced Bus	2
Salt Lake	SL22	Sugarhouse Line 2100 South TRAX Station - Highland Drive	Streetcar	3
Salt Lake	SL20	Bangerter Highway / 4000 West Airport TRAX Line - Mid-Jordan TRAX Line	Enhanced Bus	3
Davis County				
Davis	D1	Hill Connector Layton Commuter Rail Station -Hill AFB Transfer Center - Clearfield Commuter Rail Station	Enhanced Bus	1
Davis	D6	North Redwood Line North Temple - Woods Cross Commuter Rail Station - East Bountiful	Enhanced Bus	2
Davis	D8	North Davis / Riverdale Line Farmington - Layton – Roy - Riverdale - Ogden CBD - Ogden Intermodal	Enhanced Bus	3
Weber County				
Weber	W3	West Davis / Weber Line Clearfield - Syracuse - Roy - Riverdale - Ogden	Enhanced Bus	3

2030 RTP REGIONAL LEVEL TRANSIT PROJECT LIST

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	PHASE
Salt Lake County	Core			
Salt Lake	COR1	Airport Line	Light-rail Transit	1
Salt Lake	COR2	Energy Solutions Arena – Salt Lake Internation Airport Draper Line TREAT OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROP	Light-rail Transit	1
Salt Lake	COR4	10000 South TRAX Station to 12400 South Mid-Jordan Line	Light-rail Transit	1
Salt Lake	COR5	6400 South TRAX Station – Daybreak West Valley Line	Light-rail Transit	1
Salt Lake County	Outside I	2100 South TRAX Station - Valley Fair Mall Downtown Salt Lake City		
Salt Lake	SL1	3500 South (Granger) Line Valley Fair Mall - Bangerter Highway	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	1
Salt Lake	SL7	South Temple / Foothill Line Temple Square TRAX Station – University of Utah - Parley's Way	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	2
Salt Lake	SL8	5400 South (West) Line Murray Commuter Rail Transit Station – 5600 West	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II) / Enhanced Bus	3
Salt Lake	SL9	Fort Union Line Murray Commuter Rail Transit Station – 6400 South TRAX Station - Union Park	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	2
Salt Lake	SL13	3900 South Line 3900 South TRAX Station – Wasatch Drive	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II) / Enhanced Bus	2
Salt Lake	SL14	State Street Line State Capitol - Murray Commuter Rail Transit Station	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	2
Salt Lake	SL15	1300 East (South) Line Fort Union - 12400 South	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	2
Salt Lake	SL16	4700 South Line 3900 South TRAX Station – SLCC - Valley Fair Mall	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II) / Enhanced Bus	2
Salt Lake	SL18	Redwood Road Line North Temple - Mid-Jordan TRAX Line	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	3
Salt Lake	SL21	1300 East (North) Line University of Utah - Fort Union	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	3
Salt Lake	SL25	North Utah County Connector Line 12400 South - Utah County Line	Light-rail Transit	3
Downtown Salt L	ake City			
Salt Lake	CBD1	Southwest Downtown Line 9th South TRAX Station – Salt Lake Intermodal Center	Streetcar / Light-rail Transit	3
Salt Lake	CBD2	400 South Direct TRAX Link University TRAX Line @ Main Street – Salt Lake Intermodal Center	Light-rail Transit	3
Davis County				
Davis	D4a	South Davis Line (Centerville) Salt Lake Central Business District - Parrish Lane	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	1
Davis	D4b	South Davis Line (Farmington) Parrish Lane – Lagoon	Enhanced Bus	2
Davis	D4c	South Davis Line Upgrades Salt Lake Central Business District - Parrish Lane	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	3
Weber County				
Weber	W1	Weber State Line Ogden Intermodal Center - Downtown Ogden – WSU - McKay Dee Hospital	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT II)	1
Weber	W2	Washington Boulevard Line North Ogden - Ogden Intermodal Center - Ogden CBD - Newgate Mall - Riverdale - Roy	Enhanced Bus	2

2030 RTP INTER-REGIONAL LEVEL TRANSIT PROJECT LIST

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	PHASE			
Salt Lake County Core							
Salt Lake	COR3	FrontRunner (South) Line Salt Lake Commuter Rail Transit Station - Utah County Line	Commuter Rail Transit	1			
NOTES: - Inter-regional express b	us service is	s not part of the RTP because it does not require major investments					

2030 RTP OTHER TRANSIT PROJECT LIST

COUNTY	ID#	PROJECT	DESCRIPTION	PHASE
alt Lake County	Core			
Salt Lake	CP1	900 South Line 400 West / 700 South – Interstate 215	Corridor Preservation	1
Salt Lake	CP2	Northern West Bench Line Salt Lake International Airport – International Center – 7200 West / Interstate 80	Corridor Preservation	1
Salt Lake	CP3	5600 West Line International Center – Old Bingham Highway and 11400 South – 12600 South	Corridor Preservation	1
Salt Lake	CP 4	5400 South /West Bench Line Mountain View Corridor – West Bench	Corridor Preservation	1
Salt Lake	P&R1	Mountain View Park and Rides 3500 South, 5400 South, 7800 South, Herriman City, and Bangerter Highway / 3600 West	Park and Rides	2
Salt Lake	P&R2	Cottonwood Ski Park and Rides Big Cottonwood, Little Cottonwood, 9400 South / 1300 East	Park and Rides	3
Salt Lake	Hub1	Fort Union Transit Hub Union Park Avenue / Fort Union Boulevard	Transit Hub	3
Davis County				
Davis	TC1	Hill AFB Transfer Center SR-193 / University Avenue in Clearfield	Transfer Center	1
Davis	P&R3	US-89 Park and Ride Antelope Drive	Park and Ride	1
Davis	CP5a	Bamburger Line (Layton) Interstate 15 adjacent to Layton Hills	Corridor Preservation	1
Weber County				
Weber	CP5b	Bamburger Line (HAFB – Wall) West HAFB, Roy, East Ogden Airport – Wall Avenue	Corridor Preservation	1