

Ogden-Layton

Regional Growth Committee | Technical Advisory Committee

December 18, 2019

2:00 PM -3:30 PM Clearfield City Hall

Agenda Item	Discussion	Action
<p>1. Welcome and Introduction</p> <p>Spencer Brimley, Chair of the meeting</p>	<p>Spencer Brimley, Community Development Director of Clearfield City, introduced the meeting and welcomed the attendees. Meeting minutes from July 2019 were approved.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>2. Moderate Income Housing Menu Strategies</p> <p>Scott Hess, WFRC Director of Community and Economic Development</p>	<p>Scott, Director of Community and Economic Development at WFRC, provided some information on what was discussed in this morning's SLC-WV PLANTAC Meeting regarding the Moderate Income Housing (MIH) strategies and reviewing the 23 menu strategies provided. Of the menu strategies identified, many communities determined that they were very helpful, especially for officials to see that communities were already doing most strategies listed.</p> <p>Scott also passed out a survey on the MIH strategies for cities to fill out and report what went well for communities. The survey provides a list of best practices that have been effective in helping communities meet the challenge of housing affordability. The Ken C. Gardner Policy Institute (GPI) is currently working on a housing analysis study and also conducting research on best practices for cities that are creating a positive impact on affordable housing. Scott stated that if your community happens to be working on housing affordability, please provide some input using the survey and return to Scott (Email: shess@wfr.org). All these answers will be compiled and analyzed by the Institute and eventually a toolkit for affordable housing strategies will be created for the Wasatch Front.</p> <p>Scott opened the floor for discussion on affordable housing and SB 34. Most communities stated implementation of ADUs were a top choice in helping with affordability. However, folks also stated that they already had ADUs in place prior to SB 34 and the implementation of ADUs can be costly with all the requirements. Most folks found the menu strategies helpful and some stated that it was good to see their cities doing many of the items suggested. For the housing income update, WFRC typically only gets the annual updates from the Utah Department of Workforce Services, but in some cases WFRC doesn't get any information. Scott will report back completed results of surveys of MIH projects for SB 34 in the Ogden-Layton area. For more information, contact Scott at shess@wfr.org.</p>	<p>None Required</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">3. Wasatch Choice 2050 Debrief and Look Ahead</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ted Knowlton, WFRC Deputy Executive Director</p>	<p>Ted provided an update on the Wasatch Choice 2050. He went through the recent fall implementation workshops that all communities were invited to participate in. Ted shared the results of the dot game from the workshops where communities were able to share their biggest issues. Of all items, NIMBYism was the biggest issue, followed by funding for regional roads, transit, and local transportation.</p> <p>Following this discussion, Ted asked the group what they thought went well in the last four years, what are areas WFRC could improve on, and what can be done in the next update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A TAC member mentioned that it was helpful because communities got to hear their neighboring cities' perspectives, especially when they are neighboring communities. • There could have been more cross-collaboration within the groups with jurisdictions. • Some consistency in geography included in the workshops would be useful in the planning process. • A member brought up whether there were more community education opportunities, especially for communities to educate their citizens more about what WFRC does, and why we are doing a regional plan, maybe some more marketing of the plan. <p>Ted then went over the two big themes WFRC would like to look into some more in the next few years, including 1. Implementation and refinement of plan; and 2. Observing disruptive forces. Ted then opened it up for group conversation.</p> <p>1. Implementation and Refinement. WFRC wants to track and work to understand what are the major planning changes/zoning changes, etc. Comments – would like to do corridor planning, especially on main street. Management is purely highway, detracts our goals, not a lot of hope we'll get rid of gravel trucks, but the way it's managed could calm a lot of traffic especially if we can get people to recognize. Lots of communities where main street is a state route. Moving forward, folks would be interesting process on implementation and refinement.</p> <p>2. Disruptive Forces. For the planning process, WFRC would like to explore impacts and policies both regional and local of disruptive forces; how does freight growth, Uber and Lyft and autonomous vehicles all contribute to these changes; how these disruptive forces may or may not align locally; look at future potentials for exurban development; parking demands; and potential market shifts. A committee member wanted to see if WFRC could add fluctuation of fuel costs to the list of considerations.</p> <p>Ted stated that WFRC will send out more information before February's meeting. Members stated if they could get some more information a week in advance, there can be better input at the next meeting.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
---	---	--------------------------

	<p>Ted wanted to clarify that in the past four years, we've gone through the scenario planning process. Were there any pieces that were missing through this process? What are most useful pieces for locals? WFRC staff will send out an email with more information prior to the next committee meeting to get more input and feedback. For more information, contact Ted at ted@wfrc.org.</p>	
<p>4.TIF/TTIF/AT/FLM Nomination Process</p> <p>Chris Chesnut, UDOT Region 1 Planning Manager</p>	<p>Chris Chestnut, Regional 1 Planning Manager at the Utah Department of Transportation, provided a high-level review of UDOT's Transportation Improvement Fund (TIF), Transit Transportation Improvement Fund (TTIF), Active Transportation (AT), and First-Last Mile (FLM) funding. Funding information is available on the UDOT web page (https://www.udot.utah.gov/main/f?p=100:pg:0:::1:T,V:5323) for the project prioritization process that's digestible for anyone that has questions. For the TIF Highway funding, all the projects considered are pulled from the long-range plans around the state. A new prioritization model was created to help with prioritizing projects.</p> <p>All Active Transportation, First/Last Mile, and Transit TIF nominations are open until January 6. Nomination of projects has to be from a local government or transit district. Chris also noted that for any of the FLM/AT/TTIF projects, a 40% match will be required from locals and if it's a transit (TTIF) specific project, then the local transit district must be in agreement with the nomination. Chris noted that Active Transportation projects don't have to be on state facilities and the matching can be in-kind funds (i.e. community providing ROW). Proposed Active Transportation projects must be paved pedestrian or non-motorized facility. Chris stated that the application is very straightforward and only has 12 questions. Anyone that submits should receive a receipt. For any questions, contact the email listed in the website (projectprioritization@utah.gov) as this is monitored regularly or Chris directly at cchesnut@utah.gov.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>5.Transportation-Land Use Connection Program Project Updates</p> <p>Scott Hess, WFRC Economic Development Director</p>	<p>Scott Hess provided an update on the Transportation Land Use Connection (TLC) program. Applications for 2020 funds were due last week and WFRC will be reviewing them through the Spring and awarding around Summer 2020.</p> <p>Scott also provided a few projects that TLC is working on in the region including the South Davis Active Transportation Plan, North Davis Active Transportation Plan, and a roadway study of 2700 North Corridor between the communities of Pleasant View and Farr West. Scott noted that although the application process for 2020 is closed, the TLC program wants to help communities look at some of their interests in closer detail. This could potentially include looking at how plans fit in with local existing plans. TLC funds can also help communities look at Step 2 of recent plans they've developed through TLC. For example, further design of a bike way, or if there's local interest in understanding potential impacts of emerging or disruptive technology. TLC staff is happy to talk throughout the year with communities interested in applying for future funds. For more information contact Scott at shess@wfrc.org.</p>	<p>None Required</p>

<p>6.UTA Data Portal</p> <p>Sumerset Ellis, Utah Transit Authority GIS Asset Administrator</p>	<p>Sumerset Ellis from UTA provided some general information on the new data portal developed by UTA. UTA modeled this new portal off of other state agencies, WFRC, AGRC, and UDOTs. The Data portal provides UTA service data from ridership information to mode level boardings, averages, monthly counts, and several more. Ridership data is available from 2019 to present so there's some opportunity to see trends on routes. Sumerset shared a few basic videos that go through and show how to acquire data from the portal then opened up questions or comments for improvements to the group. There were lots of questions on the locations of bus stops as this is the major issues most communities and consultants faced with acquiring from UTA. Sumerset acknowledged that this is a challenge as there are differing data sources and hopes to relay back with the UTA team in helping address. For more information, contact Sumerset at SELLis@rideuta.com.</p> <p>Link to the UTA Data portal: https://data-rideuta.opendata.arcgis.com/</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>7.Other Business and Call for Agenda Items</p> <p>Jory Johner, WFRC Long Range Planning Manager</p>	<p>Jory Johner posed a question for the committee members on what they would like to see on the agenda for Ogden-Layton RGC TAC meetings in 2020. WFRC staff is in the process of building a draft of the year-long agenda and will bring this to the next Ogden-Layton RGC TAC committee meeting in February. Jory also mentioned this meeting would be a good space to have discussions on best practices, successes, and lessons learned with other practitioners in the region. Committee members mentioned that it would be good to have treats or food at the meeting, and to have the location listed on the meeting prior. Communities will rotate on bringing food for the meeting. Dave from Farmington will provide treats for next Ogden-Layton RGC TAC meeting.</p> <p>Christopher Chestnut, UDOT stated that he would be willing to present on the STIP and discuss the list of projects with everyone that were successful at the next Ogden-Layton RGC TAC meeting in February.</p>	<p>None Required</p>
<p>8.Next Meeting</p>	<p>Spencer closed the meeting, and provided a reminder that the next Ogden-Layton RGC TAC Meeting will be on February 19, 2020 at Clearfield City Hall.</p>	<p>None Required</p>

Attendance Roll

Name	Representing	Name	Representing
Greg Montgomery	Ogden City	Levi Roberts	UTA
Taylor Walton	South Weber City	Trevan Blaisdell	UTA
Royce Davies	Syracuse City	Daniel Hofer	UTA
Sherrie Llewelyn	North Salt Lake	Julie Bjornstad	WFRC
Paul Larsen	Brigham City	Scott Hess	WFRC
Dave Petersen	Farmington City	Ted Knowlton	WFRC
Elizabeth Felix	Layton City	Jory Johner	WFRC
Shaunna Burbridge	Avenue Consultants	Nikki Navio	WFRC
Craig Brown	Avenue Consultants	Hugh Van Wagenen	WFRC
Chris Chesnut	UDOT	Elden Bingham	UDOT