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How did this concept come about?

- Wasatch Bike Plan
- Network development
- Engaging the health community

Partners
UDOT, WFRC, MAG, Bike Utah, Alta Planning + Design, and Fehr & Peers
Why do we need a set of standards?

- Template for interested communities
- Standard for funding of AT Plans (TAP, TLC, etc.)
- GIS consistency between plans at all levels
- Identifying communities in need of an active transportation nudge
Introduction & Process

This set of standards has been compiled to create a more comprehensive network of active transportation (bicycling and walking) facilities in Utah that can be implemented more quickly and with greater effectiveness. Whether the active transportation plan is being completed internally or by a consultant, it must include the following requirements and may include recommended elements (gray, dotted boxes). In the end, the process is the most important part of a plan. By including a broad representation of the community and appropriate internal and external partners, a community is more likely to have an active transportation plan that:

- Addresses community needs
- Meets the needs of the partners
- Can be implemented successfully
- Is broadly supported
Standards

1. Partner Engagement
Involving internal and external partners in the planning process, as well as identifying and empowering community champions, creates an opportunity for comprehensive input and buy-in. Their unique perspectives will generate support for the plan as many of these partners will be critical to successful implementation.

- Include at least one of the following public officials: City Manager, Planning Commissioner, City Council Member
- Include all of the following municipal departments: Planning, Engineering, Public Works/Streets, Parks
- Identify, engage, and empower "champions", those community members or staff who can and are willing to expend time, energy, and political will in order to implement the pieces of the plan

- Recommended Partners: Transit agency; neighboring cities; UDOT; MPO/RPO/AOG; health department; school district; Department of Public Safety/Utah Highway Patrol; police department; public lands agencies

2. Public Engagement
At least two distinct methods of engagement and data collection must be utilized during all phases of the process in order to gather input from diverse community members:

Choose at least two
- Open houses or charrettes
- Online survey
- Opportunities to comment on plans or maps online or in-person
- Intercept surveys
- Pop-up meetings and attending existing events
- Walk and bicycle audit
3. Set the Vision, Goals, & Objectives
The vision, goals, and objectives of an active transportation plan create the framework and guide all policy, project, and program recommendations.

- Completed during the first stages of the planning process
- Vision expresses aspirations for bicycling and walking, whether it be related to network, culture, programs, or outcomes
- Goals are broader statements describing desired results; objectives are specific, measurable initiatives that bolster the goals

*Recommended: Reflects the vision or purpose of the community’s existing plans*
4. Existing or Current Conditions

Creating a clear image of what the community is now enables a meaningful comparison with what the community wants to be in the future. The analysis should use words, photos, maps, and data to describe:

- Existing on and off-street bicycling and walking network and facility types
- Identification of network barriers and gaps
- Demographics
- Crash and safety data
- Integration with other active transportation plans
- Connections to transit and community destinations (e.g. parks, schools)

Recommended: Existing counts (if available)

Recommended: Geological, hydraulic, or other physical characteristics and constraints
5. Recommendations

This task involves recommending new infrastructure, supportive programs, and policies in order to promote better accommodation of people walking and bicycling.

A. Projects. These most crucial recommendations should encourage active transportation use, regardless of age or ability, by design. Each recommended facility must include (at least):

- Route and facility type identification
- GIS schema consistent with state and regional standards

B. Programs. Education, encouragement, evaluation, enforcement, and equity programs support the effectiveness of infrastructure (engineering) projects (5.A).

- Programming associated with existing and recommended facilities with an emphasis on the 5 Es
- Local context-specific Safe Routes to School programming
  - Recommended: Wayfinding plan compliant with national and local standard
  - Recommended: Maintenance plan

C. Policies. Policies, departmental procedures, design standards and guidelines that promote active transportation usage and safety should be recommended.

- Walking and bicycling friendly design standards and land use policies
  - Recommended: Complete Streets Policy or Ordinance
6. Implementation Strategy
Creating an implementation strategy is a critical step in the active transportation planning process so that momentum and public support do not stall when the plan is finished. It should be detailed, yet easy to use. The plan should include:

- Prioritized list of actions
- Funding opportunities
- Capital and maintenance cost estimates and budget
  - Recommended: Annual work plan calendar
  - Recommended: Agencies or persons responsible for realization of recommendations

7. Performance Measures
Performance measures are effective ways to evaluate progress and the effectiveness of the implementation of recommendations. Measures should at least include:

- Walking and bicycling mode share (% of trips done by walking or bicycling)
- Regular bicycling and walking counts and reporting at several high profile locations
- Crash and safety figures
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Next Steps

• Review of AT and general plans to determine which communities need a plan or an updated plan
• Begin outreach to these communities
• Direct them toward funding mechanisms
Where we need support of the ATC

• Any final revisions to the plan standards
• Review the plan in your own community
• Outreach to communities that need a plan or an updated plan
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Introduction & Process

This set of standards has been compiled to create a more comprehensive network of active transportation (bicycling and walking) facilities in Utah that can be implemented more quickly and with greater effectiveness. Whether the active transportation plan is being completed internally or by a consultant, it must include the following requirements and may include recommended elements (gray, dotted boxes). In the end, the process is the most important part of a plan. By including a broad representation of the community and appropriate internal and external partners, a community is more likely to have an active transportation plan that:

- Addresses community needs
- Meets the needs of the partners
- Can be implemented successfully
- Is broadly supported

Standards

1. Partner Engagement

Involving internal and external partners in the planning process, as well as identifying and empowering community champions, creates an opportunity for comprehensive input and buy-in. Their unique perspectives will generate support for the plan as many of these partners will be critical to successful implementation.

- Include at least one of the following public officials: City Manager, Planning Commissioner, City Council Member
- Include all of the following municipal departments: Planning, Engineering, Public Works/Streets, Parks
- Identify, engage, and empower “champions”, those community members or staff who can and are willing to expend time, energy, and political will in order to implement the pieces of the plan
- Recommended Partners: Transit agency; neighboring cities; UDOT; MPO/RPO/AOG; health department; school district; Department of Public Safety/Utah Highway Patrol; police department; public lands agencies

2. Public Engagement

At least two distinct methods of engagement and data collection must be utilized during all phases of the process in order to gather input from diverse community members:

- Open houses or charrettes
- Online survey
- Opportunities to comment on plans or maps online or in-person
- Intercept surveys
- Pop-up meetings and attending existing events
- Walk and bicycle audit

3. Set the Vision, Goals, & Objectives

The vision, goals, and objectives of an active transportation plan create the framework and guide all policy, project, and program recommendations.

- Completed during the first stages of the planning process
- Vision expresses aspirations for bicycling and walking, whether it be related to network, culture, programs, or outcomes
- Goals are broader statements describing desired results; objectives are specific, measurable initiatives that bolster the goals

Recommended: Reflects the vision or purpose of the community’s existing plans
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4. Existing or Current Conditions
Creating a clear image of what the community is now enables a meaningful comparison with what the community wants to be in the future. The analysis should use words, photos, maps, and data to describe:

- Existing on and off-street bicycling and walking network and facility types
- Identification of network barriers and gaps
- Demographics
- Crash and safety data
- Integration with other active transportation plans
- Connections to transit and community destinations (e.g. parks, schools)
- Recommended: Existing counts (if available)
- Recommended: Geological, hydraulic, or other physical characteristics and constraints

5. Recommendations
This task involves recommending new infrastructure, supportive programs, and policies in order to promote better accommodation of people walking and bicycling.

A. Projects. These most crucial recommendations should encourage active transportation use, regardless of age or ability, by design. Each recommended facility must include (at least):

- Route and facility type identification
- GIS schema consistent with state and regional standards

B. Programs. Education, encouragement, evaluation, enforcement, and equity programs support the effectiveness of infrastructure (engineering) projects [5.A].

- Programming associated with existing and recommended facilities with an emphasis on the 5 Es
- Local context-specific Safe Routes to School programming
  - Recommended: Wayfinding plan compliant with national and local standard
  - Recommended: Maintenance plan

C. Policies. Policies, departmental procedures, design standards and guidelines that promote active transportation usage and safety should be recommended.

- Walking and bicycling friendly design standards and land use policies
  - Recommended: Complete Streets Policy or Ordinance

6. Implementation Strategy
Creating an implementation strategy is a critical step in the active transportation planning process so that momentum and public support do not stall when the plan is finished. It should be detailed, yet easy to use. The plan should include:

- Prioritized list of actions
- Funding opportunities
- Capital and maintenance cost estimates and budget
  - Recommended: Annual work plan calendar
  - Recommended: Agencies or persons responsible for realization of recommendations

7. Performance Measures
Performance measures are effective ways to evaluate progress and the effectiveness of the implementation of recommendations. Measures should at least include:

- Walking and bicycling mode share (% of trips done by walking or bicycling)
- Regular bicycling and walking counts and reporting at several high profile locations
- Crash and safety figures

Questions? Contact Heidi Goedhart, UDOT Active Transportation Manager (hgoedhart@utah.gov) or Phil Sarnoff, Bike Utah Executive Director (phil@bikeutah.org)
Contributing Communities

Box Elder County
Brigham City
Perry City
South Willard (unincorporated)
Willard City

Project Partners

Bear River Association of Governments
Bear River Health Department
Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge
Bear River Water Conservancy District
Bike Utah
Pine View Water Systems
Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest
Utah Department of Transportation, Region 1
Utah Division of Water Resources
Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
Utah Forestry, Fire & State Lands
Utah Transit Authority
Wasatch Front Regional Council
Weber Pathways
Willard Bay State Park

Southeastern Box Elder County Active Transportation Concept Plan | 2017

Box Elder County Planning
1 South Main St
Brigham City, Utah 84302
(435) 734-2634

Corridor Plan prepared by:
Vision

To create a regional non-motorized active transportation system connecting the communities of southeastern Box Elder County and adjacent counties to provide safe opportunities for recreation, education, public health, alternative transportation, economic development, and resource sharing.
Goals

• Provide local and regional connectivity
• Create partnerships with public and private landowners, agencies, and organizations
• Provide connections to economic centers and tourism destinations
• Provide information on education, health, and recreation
• Provide recreational amenities for residents and visitors
Plan Sections

• Introduction
• Regional Setting and Background
• Proposed Active Transportation Corridors
• Active Transportation Facility Design Guide
• Implementation
• Corridor Regulation and Management
• Reference
• Appendix
Planning Background

- 2011 – Willard City begins planning for a multi-use pathway along the Utah-Idaho Central rail corridor
- 2013 – Willard begins construction of 1.5 miles of the pathway
- 2014 – Local Box Elder communities awarded NPS RTCA grant to create a coordinated plan
- 2016 – Staff changes at RTCA, BRAG asked to facilitate the planning process
- 2017 – Final draft of the plan submitted April/May for adoption
Passing through the iconic orchards and farmlands of southeastern Box Elder County, the Historic Orchard Pathway, or HOP, follows the former Utah-Idaho Central Railway north from Weber County, linking South Willard, Willard, Perry and Brigham City.

RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR TYPE: Paved shared-use path (separated)

RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR USES: Pedestrian, bicycle, equestrian (off trail)

LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY: Easy

CORRIDOR CONNECTIONS: Community center, civic buildings, agricultural areas, commercial and manufacturing districts, existing parks

APPROXIMATE CORRIDOR LENGTH:
- South Willard/Brigham County: 6.0 miles
- Willard: 3.5 miles
- Perry: 5.0 miles
- Brigham City: 4.0 miles
- Brigham City connector: 3.0 miles
- TOTAL: 21.5 miles

RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES:
- Box Elder County
- Brigham City
- Perry City
- Willard City

EXISTING CONDITIONS:
- Paved shared-use: 1.5 miles
- Unpaved shoulder: 2.5 miles
- Funded sections: 1.75 miles

PROPOSED TIMELINE: 2015-2025
The FrontRunner Trail follows the Union Pacific railway from Willard to Reese Pioneer Park in Brigham City. The corridor connects western portions of Willard, Perry and Brigham City with few road crossings.

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR TYPE:** Paved shared-use path

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR USES:** Pedestrian, bicycle

**LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY:** Easy

**CORRIDOR CONNECTIONS:** FrontRunner stops, future development

**APPROXIMATE CORRIDOR LENGTH:**
- Willard: 4.0 miles
- Perry: 3.0 miles
- Brigham City: 2.0 miles
- TOTAL: 9.0 miles

**RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES:**
- Box Elder County
- Brigham City
- Perry City
- Utah Transit Authority (UTA)
- Union Pacific
- Willard City

**PROPOSED TIMELINE:** 2017-2040
The Bay Trail travels through Willard Bay State Park along the dike and a section of paved road. The trail leaves the park and follows an unimproved frontage road adjacent to farmlands and wetlands eventually connecting to the Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service).

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR TYPE:** Single Track, Unimproved Road or Jeep Trail

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR USES:** Pedestrian, bicycle, equestrian

**LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY:** Medium

**CORRIDOR CONNECTIONS:** Willard Bay State Park, Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge

**APPROXIMATE CORRIDOR LENGTH:**
- Willard Bay State Park: 6.0 miles
- Private lands: 4.5 miles
- Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge: 2.0 miles
- TOTAL: 12.5 miles

**RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES:**
- Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge (USFWS)
- Brigham City
- Perry City
- Utah Forestry, Fire & State Lands
- Willard City
- Willard Bay State Park

**EXISTING CONDITIONS:**
- Unimproved road: 4.5 miles

**PROPOSED TIMELINE:** 2017-2022
The Bonneville Shoreline Trail (BST) follows the terraces formed by ancient Lake Bonneville 15,000-10,000 years ago. The trail connects to public lands and provides stunning views of the Great Salt Lake and Willard Bay as well as the entire southeastern Box Elder County area.

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR TYPE:** Single Track

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR USES:** Pedestrian, bicycle

**LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY:** Medium to Difficult

**CORRIDOR CONNECTIONS:** Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Brigham Face Wildlife Management Area, Box Elder Canyon, Weber County BST

**APPROXIMATE CORRIDOR LENGTH:**
- Private lands: 12.0 miles
- Utah Division of Wildlife Resources: 8.0 miles
- Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest: 1.0 miles
- **TOTAL:** 21.0 miles

**RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES:**
- Box Elder County
- Perry City
- Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
- Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest
- Willard City

**EXISTING CONDITIONS:**
- Unofficial Single Track: 13.5 miles

**PROPOSED TIMELINE:** 2017-2025
The Lower Bench Trail follows existing canal right-of-ways from Highway 89/91 to Weber County. The trail provides access to the lower foothills created by Lake Bonneville.

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR TYPE:** Unimproved Road or Jeep Trail

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR USES:** Pedestrian, bicycle, equestrian

**LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY:** Medium

**CORRIDOR CONNECTIONS:** Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Brigham City Wildlife Management Area, Box Elder Canyon, Weber County, Perry Canyon, Willard Canyon, White Rock

**APPROXIMATE CORRIDOR LENGTH:**
- Private Lands: 9.6 miles
- Utah Division of Wildlife Resources: 1.0 miles
- Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest: 0.5 miles
- **TOTAL:** 10.5 miles

**RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES:**
- Box Elder County
- Perry City
- Pine View Water Systems
- Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
- Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest
- Willard City

**EXISTING CONDITIONS:**
- Unimproved Road or Jeep Trail - Unofficial: 10.5 miles

**PROPOSED TIMELINE:** 2017-2025

**Recommended Corridor Type:** Unimproved Road or Jeep Trail

**Recommended Corridor Uses:** Pedestrian, bicycle, equestrian

**Level of Difficulty:** Medium

**Corridor Connections:** Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Brigham Face Wildlife Management Area, Box Elder Canyon, Perry Canyon

**Approximate Corridor Length:**
- Private lands: 1.5 miles
- Utah Division of Wildlife Resources: 6.5 miles
- Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest: 1.0 miles
- **Total:** 9.0 miles

**Responsible Entities:**
- Box Elder County
- Perry City
- Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
- Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest
- Willard City

**Existing Conditions:**
- Unimproved Road or Jeep Trail - Unofficial: 9.0 miles

**Proposed Timeline:** 2017-2022
EAGLE MOUNTAIN TRAIL

The Eagle Mountain Trail runs along an abandoned railroad spur along the north side of the 1100 South corridor and continues towards the mouth of Box Elder Canyon. After crossing 200 South the trail follows a utility easement on the north side of Box Elder Canyon connecting to Mantua.

**RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR TYPE:** Shared-use path, unimproved road or jeep trail

**LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY:** Easy to Medium

**CORRIDOR CONNECTIONS:** 1100 South business district, Box Elder Canyon, Bonneville Shoreline Trail

**APPROXIMATE CORRIDOR LENGTH:**
- Private lands: 3.5+ miles
- Utah Dept. of Transportation: 3.0 miles
- **TOTAL:** 6.5+ miles

**PROPOSED TIMELINE:** 2017-2025

**EXISTING FACILITIES & FEATURES**
- Municipal Parks
- Federal & State Public Lands
- Municipal Boundaries
- Water
- Roads

**RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES:**
- Brigham City
- Mantua
- Utah Department of Transportation

**EXISTING CONDITIONS:**
- Unimproved Road or Jeep Trail - Unofficial: 3.5+ miles

Figure 3-20: The Eagle Mountain Trail would connect to other corridors along 1100 South.

Figure 3-23: Recommended dimensions for the shared-use Eagle Mountain Trail.

Figure 3-24: The Eagle Mountain Trail would follow an abandoned railroad bed adjacent to 1100 South in Brigham City.
Several key east-west corridors for each city/town were also evaluated and included in the plan.
Implementation, Funding, Regulations, and Management

5 | IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation of this plan will help to preserve active transportation and trail corridors for generations to come. To be truly effective, the plan should be adopted by local governments, and the adopted corridors integrated into communities’ existing planning documents and general plans. As such, the concept plan will become a guiding document for future active corridor decisions. As this plan is implemented, active transportation corridors will align correctly from community to community, and be connected seamlessly, creating a succinct and effective network of pathways and trails.

Partnerships & Coordination

In order for communities to be able to create connected and seamless active transportation corridors, it is crucial they continue to coordinate efforts across jurisdictional boundaries and between various public and private lands. As project partners, reaching out to adjacent property managers and land owners will help tremendously to create more effective and useful corridors. Likewise, reaching out to the public is also encouraged to make sure planners and officials are representing their constituent’s viewpoints and providing amenities which benefit the community as a whole. Below is a list of current project partners. This list is merely a starting point, and should grow as the need for active transportation corridors grows in the area.

Contributing Communities
- Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge
- Bear River Water Conservancy District
- Bike Utah
- Plane View Water Systems
- Utah-Wasatch-Cache National Forest
- Utah Department of Transportation, Region 1
- Utah Division of Water Resources
- Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
- Utah Forestry, Fire & State Lands
- Utah Transit Authority
- Wasatch Front Regional Council
- Weber Pathways
- Willard Bay State Park

Marketing & Tourism

Box Elder County will advertise the active transportation concept plan through various media including the county and other websites, social media, printed materials, newspapers, and, of course, word of mouth. Local cities and towns are also encouraged to promote the plan and planned corridors, marketing for their corridor sections and the regional systems as a whole.

Access & Easements

57-14-101 of the Utah State Code was written to project landowners who allow public access on their property. The purpose of the chapter states the following:

“The purpose of this chapter is to limit the liability of public and private landowners toward a person entering his land as a trespasser or for recreational purposes, whether by permission or by operation of Title 73, Chapter 29, Public Waters Access Act.”

6 | CORRIDOR REGULATIONS & MANAGEMENT

Administration

While Box Elder County will act as the central coordinating agency for this plan and related planning process, each participating community, agency, and organization is responsible for the coordination and implementation of active transportation facilities in their respective jurisdictions and/or properties. However, since these are regional corridors, stakeholders will need to work together to implement trail sections and coordinate efforts related to pathway and trail alignments that cross jurisdictional and/or property lines. Project implementation will be most effective as multiple partners coordinate efforts.

Box Elder County Trails Committee

It is recommended that a Box Elder County Trails Committee be established to coordinate and advance the planning, construction, and maintenance of the regional active transportation networks in the county. In other areas, committees, such as Weber Pathways, have been an effective way of promoting, protecting, and coordinating active transportation and trail corridors.

Rules & Regulations

Rules and regulations should be established on a community-by-community basis and align with local codes, ordinances, and standards. When creating regulations it is important to work with various stakeholders, including public to properly balance the needs and expectations of all potential beneficiaries. This will help reduce future conflict.

Providing adequate signage related to rules and regulations, and educating community members through local websites, social media, newsletters, or open houses can help prevent issues and conflicts with the public. Likewise, enforcement can also help limit behaviors by providing clear and concise consequences for breaking rules.

Willard City’s trail ordinance, found in appendix C, provides a good example of rules and regulations to consider in your own community or organization.

Maintenance & Repair

Appropriate repair and maintenance activities increase the safety and security of these corridors for users. It will reduce incidents of litter, graffiti, and vandalism and provide a quality experience for users and local neighborhoods. Liability will also be reduced.

Maintenance, repair, and management of individual pathway and trail segments will be the responsibility of the jurisdiction, organization, or agency that manages or has responsibility.

Maintenance costs can be lowered through community partnerships and volunteer groups. Tasks, such as brush clearing, litter clean-up or weed removal can be performed by volunteer groups and help promote stewardship. Memorandums of understanding (MOUs) can be signed between jurisdictions to share resources or responsibilities.

Preventative maintenance will also reduce future repair costs by not letting minor repairs develop into major projects. Regular maintenance activities include:

- Mowing
- Weed control
- Litter and waste collection
- Snow removal
- Trail rescuffing or grooming
- Re-planting
- Replacement of signage

The U.S. Department of Transportation (2013) provides a detailed guide on maintaining pedestrian facilities for enhanced safety.
Questions?
Contact Information

Scott Lyons
Box Elder County
Community Development Director
slyons@boxeldercounty.org
435.734.3316

Zac Covington
Sr. Regional Planner
Bear River Association of Governments
zacc@brag.utah.gov
435.713.1423

Scott McComb
Planner
Bear River Association of Governments
scottm@brag.utah.gov
435.713.1427
Surface Transportation Program (STP)  
Congestion Mitigation/ Air Quality (CMAQ)  
Transportation Alternative Program (TAP) 

Project Recommendations for the  
2018 - 2023 TIP 

Active Transportation Committee Meeting 

April 20, 2017
Process for New Projects & The Draft TIP

- **SEPT / OCT**: Letters of Intent
- **NOV / DEC / JAN**: Project Evaluation Concept Reports
- **FEB / MAR**: Project Reviews and Evaluations
- **APR / MAY**: Draft Projects/Draft Programs
- **JUNE**: Draft TIP
- **JULY**: Public Comment
- **AUG**: Review and Approve TIP
- **SEPT**: FHWA and FTA Review / Approve TIP
- **OCT**: TIP / STIP Approved
### Ogden/ Layton Urban Area

#### Funding Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>STP</th>
<th>CMAQ</th>
<th>TAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requested</td>
<td>$83,567,301</td>
<td>$12,008,232</td>
<td>$8,214,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available</td>
<td>$12,800,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$767,602</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**50 - Total Projects' Estimated Cost**

$233,549,040
Salt Lake/ West Valley Urban Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$90,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>STP</th>
<th>CMAQ</th>
<th>TAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requested</td>
<td>$94,415,934</td>
<td>$19,383,554</td>
<td>$1,834,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available</td>
<td>$24,984,679</td>
<td>$5,300,000</td>
<td>$1,048,911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50 - Total Projects' Estimated Cost $ 183,784,182
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project City/County</th>
<th>Project Location</th>
<th>Project Phase</th>
<th>Type of Improvement</th>
<th>Capital Improvement</th>
<th>Capital Improvement 3-5 Min.</th>
<th>Capital Improvement &gt; 5 Min.</th>
<th>Recommended Funding</th>
<th>Project Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Frontage Road Bike Share Project</td>
<td>Ogden/City of</td>
<td>Ogden/City of</td>
<td>0-5 Min. Walkway</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>$560,000</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ogden City Bike Share Project</td>
<td>Ogden/City of</td>
<td>Ogden/City of</td>
<td>0-5 Min. Walkway</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>$560,000</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Orchard Drive bike share</td>
<td>Salt Lake County</td>
<td>Salt Lake County</td>
<td>0-5 Min. Walkway</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>$2,271,672</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>River Parkway Trail</td>
<td>Weber County</td>
<td>Weber County</td>
<td>0-5 Min. Walkway</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Millcreek Bike Trail</td>
<td>Salt Lake County</td>
<td>Salt Lake County</td>
<td>0-5 Min. Walkway</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>Bike Share</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects Submitted for FY 2019 Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Funds

Projects Recommended for Funding are Highlighted with a Dollar Value in the Far Left Column
Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ogden/ Layton</th>
<th>Salt Lake/ West Valley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available</td>
<td>$767,602</td>
<td>$1,048,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programmed</td>
<td>$766,672</td>
<td>$1,048,911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Projects

6 Projects
North Salt Lake – Orchard Drive Sidewalk – South Segment
Project Type – Capital Improvement
183 South (eastside) to 83 South (eastside)

Project Cost – $ 301,400
Funds Request – $ 271,672

This segment of Orchard Dr. does not have safe pedestrian/bike facilities. To the south, there is a mixed-use development with a future transit station. To the north, there is an elementary school and a developing Town Center. This segment of sidewalk is desperately needed to safely connect those areas.

Recommended Funding $ 271,672
The proposed project, from 1800 South to 2200 South, will fill a gap and connect the final phase of the trail (1800 South to the Weber River) to the existing Denver and Rio Grande Western Rail Trail. This will complete a trail that will connect the Weber River to the Jordan River Trail in Salt Lake. The city commits to contribute $91,441 to complete the trail.

West Haven – River Parkway Trail
Project Type – Capital Improvement
1800 South to 2200 South

Recommended Funding $ 200,000

Project Cost – $ 530,800
Funds Request – $ 436,130
This request is for new bike racks on 10 of UTA’s 16 FrontRunner bike cars. There is a bike car on each FrontRunner train consist. Current racks have capacity for 9 bikes each while the new configuration has room for 15. While riders appreciate bike accommodation, they are concerned about capacity, usefulness, and safety.
As cycling continues to grow along the Wasatch Front it becomes imperative that we start tracking the numbers of riders using existing facilities. The count data will help government agencies understand how many people are using existing facilities, what those patterns look like, and be able to make educated, data driven decisions on future bike facilities.
The UT&SL Canal Bike Trail exists from 4000 W - 5600 W, with a new tie to MVC Trail being built late 2017. By 2019 the MVC trail will extend from Bluffdale to Calif Ave. This proposed project extends the UTSL Canal Trail to 6400 West, improving access to the regional trail network.
Wayfinding Signage for Kearns Metro Boundaries

Project Cost – $80,000
Funds Request – $60,000

Provide wayfinding and bike route direction with pavement markings, signing and striping for the Kearns Metro township bike network. This project will implement needs as identified by the WFRC and Salt Lake County metro area bicycle network master plans to assist tourists, local and regional cyclists find their way in and through Kearns to adjacent city bike ways, rapid transit bus stops, schools, industrial parks, trails, and parks.
Salt Lake City Transportation – SLC Bike Share
Project Type – Capital Improvement

The bike share program provides an option for commuters to link local destinations with regional transit via bicycle and reduce short car trips in the downtown area. GREENbike works to improve the community's health and reduce carbon emissions and vehicle miles traveled by providing alternatives to automobile trips. This funding will provide 7 stations, 170 docks, 7 kiosk, and other infrastructure necessary to maintain and grow a robust bike share system. This TAP money will be used to get closer to the goal of 75 stations in 2019 as outlined in the GREENbike Strategic Implementation Plan.

Recommended Funding $150,000

Project Cost – $373,973
Funds Request – $350,260
Salt Lake City – Transit Stop Improvements
Project Type – Capital Improvement

City Wide

Approximately 83% of bus stops in Salt Lake City are unimproved, making them challenging for people with disabilities to access and lacking a bench or shelter for all riders waiting for the bus. This project would include concrete pads, ADA accessibility, and amenities at the busiest and highest need stops. Ridership on 200 South saw an 18% increase in ridership after such improvements. UTA funds that were previously used for these improvements are now programmed for TIGER first/last mile projects, and both UTA and the City have been working to fill this funding gap.

Recommended Funding $ 186,000
UTA – Update Bike Cars on FrontRunner
Project Type – Capital Improvement

North Temple to Draper

Recommended Funding $181,612

This request is for new bike racks on 10 of UTA’s 16 FrontRunner bike cars. There is a bike car on each FrontRunner train consist. Current racks have capacity for 9 bikes each while the new configuration has room for 15. While riders appreciate bike accommodation, they are concerned about capacity, usefulness, and safety.

Project Cost – $194,800

Funds Request – $181,612
Salt Lake County – Bicycle Counters
Project Type – Capital Improvement

Ridership data is lacking in Utah. Planning and network expansion are improving, but agencies are asking questions about ridership that we do not currently have the ability to answer. This project will place automatic counters at strategic locations around Salt Lake County to begin collecting this vital data.

Project Cost – $299,900
Funds Request – $279,597

Recommended Funding $200,000
Surface Transportation Program (STP) Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ogden/Layton</th>
<th>Salt Lake/West Valley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available</td>
<td>$12,708,490</td>
<td>$24,984,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programmed</td>
<td>$12,662,868</td>
<td>$24,992,278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bar Chart:**
- Ogden/Layton: 6 Projects
- Salt Lake/West Valley: 11 Projects
This project will widen 3600 West between 2600 North and 1975 North, add shoulders, and reconstruct the existing asphalt street section. This project includes safety improvements by improving access conditions and adding a center turn-lane at intersections for improved mobility.
Sandy City – Sego Lily Drive Intersection
Project Type – Intersections & Signals
Sego Lily Drive and State Street Intersection

Project Cost – $1,591,000
Funds Request – $1,389,127

State St. and 10000 S. is a choke point for bicycle traffic. This project will allow for bike lanes on 10000 South by widening the north side of the intersection. A right turn pocket will also be added for WB traffic. 10000 South is identified by UCATS, WFRC, Salt Lake County, and Sandy City as a priority bicycle route.
Congestion Mitigation/ Air Quality (CMAQ) Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ogden/ Layton</th>
<th>Salt Lake/ West Valley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$5,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programmed</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$5,315,929</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Projects

7 Projects
Ogden City – Ogden City Bike Share Phase II
Project Type – Capital Improvement
Various Areas throughout the Central Business District

After investigating SLC "Greenbike" and completion of the Ogden Bicycle Master Plan, Ogden believes our City is ready for a bikeshare program. Ogden bikeshare would be an option to resolve the "first/last mile" transit quandary while bolstering economic activity in the CBD area of the City. A bikeshare program will also promote physical activity all while offering a zero emission transportation option to visitors and residents.

Recommended Funding $ 150,000

Project Cost – $ 401,500
Funds Request – $ 345,193
Salt Lake City – Bike Share Program
Project Type – Bicycle

900 West to 1100 East – 600 North to 1100 South

Project Cost – $800,800
Funds Request – $746,586

The bike share program provides an option for commuters to link local destinations with regional transit via bicycle and reduce short car trips in the downtown area. GREENbike works to improve the community's health and reduce carbon emissions and vehicle miles traveled by providing alternatives to automobile trips. This funding will provide 9 stations, 200+ docks, 10 kiosks, and other infrastructure necessary to maintain and grow a robust bike share system.

Recommended Funding $ 300,000
# 2050 Wasatch Choice and 2019 Regional Transportation Plan

## Key Pad Polling Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Scenario Workshops</th>
<th>ATC Members</th>
<th>Online Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multi-use paths or trails separated from traffic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-street bicycle routes with greater separation from traffic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-street bicycle lanes adjacent to traffic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 (tie)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle connections to transit stops and stations</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wider multi-use sidewalks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5 (tie)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete missing sidewalk connections</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>