

SUMMARY: UTP/RTP PROCESS, ASSUMPTIONS & FINDINGS

PROCESS

Every four years the Utah Department of Transportation ("UDOT"), the metropolitan planning organizations ("MPOs"), and the Utah Transit Authority ("UTA") update the statewide Unified Transportation Plan ("UTP") as well as the individual Regional Transportation Plans ("RTP"). Lewis Young Robertson & Burningham, Inc. ("LYRB") was contracted by the various parties to complete the Unified Transportation Plan Funding Model Update in 2015. This process was a cooperative effort among all parties to develop federal, state, and local revenue projections for current and future sources based upon agreed-upon assumptions. Expenditure estimates were generated for operations, preservation, and new capacity projects and separated into three phases (Phase 1: 2015-2024; Phase 2: 2025-2034; Phase 3: 2035-2040). These projects were then financial constrained based upon the revenue estimates including the use of debt. The results from this process provide a roadmap for future transportation and transit planning for the state.

ASSUMPTIONS

Expenditure assumptions are based upon uniform costing of projects by each MPO, UDOT, and UTA. Revenue projections are based upon assumptions agreed upon by the parties for each major revenue stream from federal, state and local revenues. The parties involved met on several occasions to review and finalize the following assumptions. The major discussion points focused on the growth assumptions from the previous update, information from state agencies including the consensus committee at state level, and other long-range forecasting methods developed by the group. The following table provides a summary of the major assumptions used to generate revenue projections along with the assumptions used in the 2011 update and the source and/or methodology used to generate the projections.

	GROWTH RATES	Previous Unified Plan (2011)	REVISED RATES	REVISED RATES SOURCE	
% UDOT REVENUES	UDOT REVENUE ASSUMPTIONS				
20.47%	Federal Revenues	2.00%	1.50%	Congressional Budget Office Testimony, "The Highway Trust Fund and Paying for Highways"	
27.30%	Motor Fuel	2.50%	2014: -1.4%	Consensus	
			2015: 0.90%	Consensus	
			2016 - 2018: 1.50%	Governor's Office of Planning & Budget estimate	
			2019 – 2040: 1.71%	Historic Consumption AAGR 1992 - 2012	
8.19%	Special Fuel	5.00%	2014: -2.30%	Consensus	
			2015: 1.10%	Consensus	
			2016 - 2018: 1.50%	Governor's Office of Planning & Budget estimate	
			2019 – 2040: 4.32%	Historic Consumption AAGR 1992 – 2012	
6.80%	Registration Fees & Permits	1.96%	4.04%	Historic weighted AAGR for Registrations & Permits from 1992 – 2012	
		Mixed (combo of Motor		Historic weighted AAGR for Motor Fuel, Special	
	B&C Road Funds	Fuel, Special Fuel,	2.09%	Fuel, and Registrations & Permits from 1992 –	
	D	Registration Fees, etc.)		2012	
6.17%	Registration Increases (TIF)	1.96%	4.04%	See above "Registrations & Permits"	
31.08%	Sales Tax (TIF)	5.00%	2014: 3.00%	Consensus	

PERFECTION					
			2015: 4.66%	Consensus	
100.00%			2016 - 2018: 4.00%		ffice of Planning & Budget estimate
			2019 – 2040: 5.00%	1978 – 201	ng various historic growth rates since 3, we recommend a conservative % that matches UTA's rate
	MPO REVENUE ASSUMPTION	DNS			
	Highway Quarter Cent Sales Tax (County Revenues)*	Salt Lake County: 5.00% Davis County: 5.00% Weber County: 5.00% Utah County: 5.50% Cache County: none Washington County: 1.96%	Salt Lake County: 4.28% Davis County: 5.47% Weber County: 4.17% Utah County: 5.96% Cache County: 5.05% Washington County: 5.96%	WFRC: 4.42% MAG: 5.96% Cache: 5.05% Dixie: 5.96%	Historic AAGR from 1993 – 2013 for WFRC, MAG, and Cache. Historic AAGR from 1998 – 2013 for Dixie.
	Registration Fees (County Revenues)		4.04%	See "Registra	ations & Permits" above
	OTHER REVENUE ASSUMPT	TIONS		·	
	UTA Sales Tax		2014: 4.20% 2015: 4.75% 2016: 4.88% 2017 – 2040: 5%	projections may differ slightly from UDOT's in the	
	OTHER EXPENSE ASSUMPTIONS				
	Roadway Preservation Needs		2018 – 2040: 4.5%		UDOT and represents construction and the addition of lane miles to the

^{*}Quarter cent sales tax growth rates will also be used for new transit revenue.

The parties also agreed upon the general assumptions behind the use of debt financing to pay for certain amounts of capital. The general impact of bonding is that upfront capital is funded upfront and then paid over time. The efficiency of this borrowing is based upon future projections of bonding rates and inflation rates. The assumptions for debt were heavily influenced by the State's historic use of debt which has been limited to 15 years. This analysis assumed 15 year debt with a 3% rate for Phase I and a 4% rate for Phases 2 & 3. Inflation as outlined above was assumed to be 4.5%. If this scenario is accurate, it is cheaper to bond and lock in inflation at 3% or 4% by borrowing rather than pay the extra costs of inflation. However, the future is not that clear. The borrowing limit was constrained by the traditional historic bond amounts and statutory debt limit set by the State (which is below the Constitutional limit). With this in mind, each MPO received an allocation of debt based upon pro rata population. A summary of the bonding capacity is provided in the chart below.

system.

	Phase I	Phase 2	Phase 3
Bonding Capacity	2015-2024	2025-2034	2035-2040
Total Bonding Capacity Future Value	\$3,000,000,000	\$4,440,732,855	\$6,573,369,429
WFRC	\$1,719,458,158	\$2,432,200,102	\$3,444,127,325
MAG	\$580,499,829	\$916,636,999	\$1,433,008,393
Cache	\$124,368,331	\$189,079,797	\$283,001,634
Dixie	\$163,865,840	\$290,723,765	\$502,811,218
Rural	\$411,807,842	\$612,092,192	\$910,420,860



REVENUE GENERATION FINDINGS

Based upon the assumptions above, discussions with the parties, and several iterations with modeling, revenue streams were estimated for each phase. This included both revenues from currently authorized revenue streams as well as reasonable assumptions of new revenues to be implemented in future years. Revenue summaries provider herein will be on a net present value basis.

STATE LEVEL

The following table provides a summary of the total highway and transit revenues available by phase at the state level. This table represents all revenues available or generated at all levels of government in the state.

TOTAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT TOTAL (NPV) 2025-2034 2015-2024 2035-2040 2015-2040 **Existing Revenues** 22,376,002,987 24,419,035,817 14,674,444,382 61,469,483,186 New Revenues 2,573,056,359 5,380,783,301 4,434,013,000 12,387,852,660 24,949,059,347 29,799,819,117 19,108,457,382 73,857,335,846 Total

WFRC

The following table breaks down the revenues available for highways and transit within WFRC. This includes revenues generated or allocated at all levels and available for expenditure by UDOT, UTA, County and local governments within the geographic boundaries of WRFC.

TOTAL HIGHWAT AND TRANSIT						
TOTAL (NPV)						
	2015-2024	2025-2034	2035-2040	2015-2040		
Existing Revenues	12,602,548,501	13,677,555,916	8,364,155,453	34,644,259,870		
New Revenues	1,841,077,558	3,745,559,633	2,866,911,880	8,453,549,072		
Total	14,443,626,059	17,423,115,549	11,231,067,333	43,097,808,942		

TOTAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT

MAG

The following table breaks down the revenues available for highways and transit within MAG. This includes revenues generated or allocated at all levels and available for expenditure by UDOT, UTA, County and local governments within the geographic boundaries of MAG.

TOTAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT						
TOTAL (NPV)						
	2015-2024	2025-2034	2035-2040	2015-2040		
Existing Revenues	4,084,198,924	4,712,127,800	2,862,019,287	11,658,346,011		
New Revenues	222,003,765	640,396,941	755,316,747	1,617,717,453		
Total	4,306,202,689	5,352,524,741	3,617,336,034	13,276,063,464		



CACHE

The following table breaks down the revenues available for highways and transit within MAG. This includes revenues generated or allocated at all levels and available for expenditure by UDOT, UTA, County and local governments within the geographic boundaries of Cache.

TOTAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT

TOTAL (NPV)						
2015-2024 2025-2034 2035-2040 2015-2040						
Existing Revenues	647,967,756	788,112,557	465,856,391	1,901,936,704		
New Revenues	43,264,417	100,897,226	74,674,365	218,836,007		
Total	691,232,173	889,009,782	540,530,756	2,120,772,711		

DIXIE

The following table breaks down the revenues available for highways and transit within the Dixie MPO. This includes revenues generated or allocated at all levels and available for expenditure by UDOT, UTA, County and local governments within the geographic boundaries of Dixie MPO.

TOTAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT

TOTAL (NPV)						
2015-2024 2025-2034 2035-2040 2015-2040						
Existing Revenues	1,010,577,459	1,261,038,009	813,343,815	3,084,959,282		
New Revenues	199,354,117	391,471,792	319,010,658	909,836,567		
Total	1,209,931,576	1,652,509,801	1,132,354,473	3,994,795,850		

RURAL

The following table breaks down the revenues available for highways and transit within the rural parts of Utah outside an MPO as planned by UDOT. This includes revenues generated or allocated at all levels and available for expenditure by UDOT, UTA, County and local governments within the geographic boundaries of outside of an MPO.

TOTAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT

TOTAL (NPV)						
2015-2024 2025-2034 2035-2040 2015-2040						
Existing Revenues	4,030,710,347	3,980,201,535	2,169,069,436	10,179,981,319		
New Revenues	267,356,502	502,457,708	418,099,350	1,187,913,560		
Total	4,298,066,849	4,482,659,244	2,587,168,786	11,367,894,879		