41 N. Rio Grande Street, Suite 103 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 (801) 363-4250 www.wfrc.org

Jeff Silvestrini, Chair Mayor, Millcreek

Jeff Scott, Vice Chair Commissioner, Box Elder County

Mark Allen

Mayor, Washington Terrace

Len Arave

Mayor, North Salt Lake

Ron Bigelow Mayor, West Valley

Mike Caldwell Mayor, Ogden Robert Dahle

Mayor, Holladay Jim Harvey

Commissioner, Weber County

Scott Jenkins

Commissioner, Weber County

Randy Lewis Mayor, Bountiful

Erin Mendenhall Mayor, Salt Lake City

Mike Newton

Councilmember, Morgan County

Mark Shepherd Mayor, Clearfield Bob Stevenson

Commissioner, Davis County

Derk Timothy Mayor, Bluffdale

Troy Walker

Mayor, Draper Scott Wardle

Councilmember, Tooele County

Jenny Wilson

Mayor, Salt Lake County

Aimee Winder-Newton Councilmember, Salt Lake County

Senator Wayne Harper Utah State Senate

Representative Mike Schultz Utah House of Representatives

Carlton Christensen Utah Transit Authority

Carlos Braceras

Utah Department of Transportation

Dawn Ramsey

Utah League of Cities & Towns

Lorene Kamalu Utah Association of Counties

Ari Bruening Envision Utah

Laura Hanson State Planning Coordinator

Andrew Gruber Executive Director



WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL October 28, 2021 AGENDA

A meeting of the WFRC Council will be held on **Thursday, October 28, 2021 at 2:00pm via Zoom.** https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82200188219?pwd=aUlsTjhpTDRPSURaZW1PYmNXdTdVZz09 Meeting ID: 822 0018 8219 Passcode: 872374 One tap mobile +13462487799,82200188219#

The agenda, with approximate times, will be as follows:

- 1. Consent Agenda (2:00)
 - a. **ACTION**: Minutes of the WFRC meeting held August 26, 2021 and Financial Statements for July, and August 2021 and current Budget/Expenditure Report
- 2. Chair Report (2:05)
 - Legislative preview and Federal update
 - b. 2021 Federal Quadrennial Certification Review
- 3. Public Comment (2:15)
- 4. Regional Growth Committee (RGC) (2:20)
 - a. Wasatch Choice Vision: draft 2023-2050 transportation scenario
- 5. Transportation Committee (Trans Com) (2:30)
 - a. ACTION: Board modifications to the 2022-2027 TIP
 - b. Highway and transit system report
- 6. WFRC Budget Committee (2:45)
 - a. PUBLIC HEARING and ACTION: Approve FY22 Budget Amendments
 - b. ACTION: Acceptance of WFRC Audit Report for FY21
- 6. Reports (3:00)
 - a. Active Transportation Committee (ATC) update
 - b. Wasatch Front Economic Development District (WFEDD) update
 - c. Executive Director's report
- 7. Other Business and Adjournment (3:10)

Next meeting: January 27, 2022 - see WFRC 2022 Meeting Schedule, more details forthcoming

Upcoming events:

- Wasatch Choice Workshops October and November
- UDOT Annual Conference October 26-28
- UAC Annual Conference November 9-12

Informational materials can be located on WFRC's website at www.wfrc.org.

Wasatch Front Regional Council is an Equal Opportunity program. Public participation is solicited without regard to age, sex, disability, race, color or national origin. Auxiliary aids or translation services are available upon request by contacting WFRC's Title VI Administrator. Call 801-363-4250 (hearing impaired individuals may use Relay Utah by dialing 711) or email appearson@wfrc.org at least 72 hours in advance.

Wasatch Front Regional Council is choosing to continue holding all public meetings electronically, without an anchor location, until it is deemed safe enough to hold public meetings in person.

Wasatch Front Regional Council es una organización de Oportunidad Igual. Se solicita la participación del público, sin importar la edád, el sexo, la discapacidad, la raza, colór o nacionalidad. Personas que requieren servicios de traducción deben contactar al Administrador de Título VI de WFRC por teléfono a 801-363-4250 (personas con discapacidad auditiva pueden llamar a Spanish Relay Utah - 1-888-346-3162) o por correo electrónico apearson@wfrc.org, por lo menos 72 horas antes de la reunión.

Wasatch Front Regional Council ha elegido seguir manteniendo todas las juntas públicas electrónicamente, sin un lugar de anclaje, hasta que sea considerado lo suficientemente seguro para tener juntas públicas en persona.

Page 1

DRAFT



MINUTES Wasatch Front Regional Council

August 26, 2021

A meeting was held on Thursday, August 26, 2021, via Zoom connection, due to the safety restrictions put in place by the Utah Governor's Office, in response to continuing COVID-19 concerns. The following were present:

WFRC COUNCIL MEMBERS - 2021			
BOX ELDER COUNTY	Yes/No	Alternates / Others in attendance:	
Member - Jeff Scott	yes	Senator Mitt Romney	
Alternate – Tyler Vincent	no	Kelsey Berg, Romney staff	
DAVIS COUNTY MEMBERS		Gage Froerer, Weber Commissioner	
Len Arave	no	Kristie Overson, Taylorsville Mayor	
Mark Shepherd	no	Robert Hale, Midvale City Mayor	
Randy Lewis	no	Karen Lang, West Valley City Council	
Bob Stevenson	yes	John Larsen, Salt Lake City Corp	
MORGAN COUNTY		Clint Smith, Herriman City	
Member - Mike Newton	no	Kevin Osborn, FHWA	
Alternate - Blaine Fackrell	yes	Shule Bishop, UTA	
SALT LAKE COUNTY MEMBERS		Jennifer Elskin, FHWA	
Jenny Wilson	no	Russell Weeks, SL City Council staff	
Erin Mendenhall	no	Debbie Winn, Tooele City Mayor	
Troy Walker	no	Steve Call, FHWA	
Amiee Winder-Newton	no	Ivan Marrero, FHWA	
Jeff Silvestrini	yes	Michelle Larsen, UTA	
Robert Dahle	yes	Ryan Leavitt, Barker & Leavitt	
Ron Bigelow	yes	Kevin Cromar, NYU Edu	
Derk Timothy	yes	Kelly Lund, FHWA	
TOOELE COUNTY		Ben Huot, UDOT	
Member - Scott Wardle	yes	Bret Millburn, Draper City	
Alternate – Brent Marshall	no	Nick Tarbet	
WEBER COUNTY MEMBERS		Kyler Brower	
Scott Jenkins	yes	Ross Watkins	
Jim Harvey	yes	Tessa Stitzer	
Mark Allen	yes	WFRC: Andrew Gruber, Ted Knowlton,	
Mike Caldwell	no	Megan Townsend, Nicole Mendelsohn,	
UDOT & UTA		Matthew Silski, Miranda Jones Cox,	
Member - Carlos Braceras, UDOT	yes	Mikala Jordan, Marcia White,	
Alternate - Teri Newell, UDOT	yes	Suzie Swim, Rosie Hernandez,	
Member - Carlton Christensen, UTA Board of Trustees	yes	Bert Granberg, Bill Hereth, Nikki Navio,	
Alternate - Beth Holbrook, UTA Board of Trustees	yes	Wayne Bennion, Ben Wuthrich,	
Jeff Acerson, UTA Board of Trustees	yes	Josh Reynolds, Julie Bjornstad,	

Page 2 DRAFT

NON-VOTING MEMBERS		Lauren Victor, Chad Worthen,
Lorene Kamalu - Utah Association of Counties	yes	Amber Gonzales, Kurt Mower,
Dawn Ramsey - Utah League of Cities and Towns	yes	Jory Johner, Hugh Van Wagenen,
Ari Bruening - Envision Utah	yes	Ned Hacker, Kip Billings, Andrea Pearson
Wayne Harper - State Senate	yes	Jeannie Lambert, WFRC/Penna Powers
Mike Schultz - State House of Representatives	no	Justin Smart, WFRC/Penna Powers
Laura Hanson – State Planning Coordinator	no	Rob Jolley, WFRC/RRJ Consulting

Welcome [00:00:04]

At 2pm, Council Chair Mayor Jeff Silvestrini welcomed the Wasatch Front Regional Council members and guests and introductions were made via roll call.

1. Consent Agenda [00:01:53]

1a. ACTION: Minutes and Financial Statements

Commissioner Jeff Scott made a motion to approve the minutes of the WFRC meeting held May 27, 2021, the Financial Statements for May and June 2021, and the current Budget/Expenditure Report. UTA Trustee Carlton Christensen seconded the motion. The minutes and financial statements were accepted unanimously.

2. Chair Report [00:03:20]

2b. WFRC FY21 Activities and Accomplishments Report

WFRC Executive Director Andrew Gruber presented the FY21 Activities & Accomplishments Report. In August 2020, the Wasatch Front Regional Council adopted goals and priorities for FY21 that provided direction for the agency regarding areas of focus for the upcoming year. The FY21 Activities & Accomplishments Report provides an overview of how WFRC met those goals.

4. Regional Growth Committee (RGC) [00:15:40]

Mayor Dawn Ramsey, RGC Chair, briefly reviewed the outcomes of the Regional Growth Committee (RGC) meeting held on August 19, 2021.

4a. Statewide Policy Conversations and Wasatch Choice

Mayor Ramsey discussed the policy concepts being considered by two state commissions - the Economic Opportunity Commission and the Commission on Housing Affordability. While the two commissions are working on different core issues, they both are exploring how improvements can be made via coordination with other planning elements such as infrastructure, housing, economic development, and open space. The alignment between these issues has been at the heart of the work of WFRC and is embodied in the Wasatch Choice Regional Vision. [00:21:11] Commissioner Gage Froerer provided some further information on the Economic Opportunity Commission. [00:23:27] Ted Knowlton, WFRC, presented results of a survey that identified policy ideas that would have the most beneficial impact on these planning elements.

2a. Federal infrastructure legislation: Senator Mitt Romney [00:32:05]

Mayor Silvestrini welcomed US Senator Mitt Romney to the Council meeting. Senator Romney provided an overview of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), the path forward for the final passage of the bill, and what the IIJA would mean for transportation funding in Utah.HR 3684, the *Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act* (IIJA), formerly known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Framework (BIF), originated out of month-long negotiations between the White House and a bipartisan group of Senators, including Senator Romney. It passed out of the Senate last week with a vote of 69-30 and now awaits action from the US House of Representatives. The \$1.2 trillion bill provides over \$550 billion in new spending for core infrastructure programs and is anchored with the five-year reauthorization of surface transportation programs as it includes the transportation reauthorization bills that were

Page 3 DRAFT

previously developed and adopted by the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee and Commerce Committee, along with the Energy Infrastructure Act and the Drinking Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Acts. If ultimately adopted, this legislation will provide significant and stable infrastructure funding over the next five years, to Utah and the nation.

3. Public Comment [00:49:27]

Mayor Silvestrini opened the meeting for public comment. There were no comments.

4. Regional Growth Committee (RGC)

4b. Wasatch Choice fall outreach [00:49:50]

Given that Mayor Ramsey was unable to remain for the rest of this meeting, Mayor Silvestrini introduced Julie Bjornstad, WFRC, who outlined this fall's planned Wasatch Choice workshops. These workshops are the next step in development of the Regional Transportation Plan. The key objective for these events is developing the "preferred scenario" of transportation projects in the 2023-2050 Regional Transportation Plan. WFRC, the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), and the Utah Transit Authority (UTA) are hosting the workshops to update the Wasatch Choice Regional Vision, a collaborative effort of communities and many other partners over the past few years. These workshops are specifically designed for local government leaders - mayors/commissioners, council members, planning commissions, and key staff and all feedback is welcome.

Key workshop discussions are anticipated to include:

- Feedback and input on road, transit, and active transportation projects needed now or in the coming decades;
- Changes to communities and transportation behavior that may arise from new technologies, the legacy of the pandemic, and other disruptive forces; and
- How transportation projects, land uses, and economic development can be more responsive to these disruptive forces.

4c. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) amendments [00:55:14]

i. ACTION: RTP amendment process update

Mayor Silvestrini turned the time to Jory Johner, WFRC, who reviewed two proposed modifications to the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) amendment process. In summary, two changes are proposed:

- 1. When WFRC amends the RTP, WFRC staff consults with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) about the amendment. However, the written RTP Amendment Process does not reflect that consultation. The proposed modification to the process would codify that the consultation is required to occur.
- 2. Changes to Wasatch Choice "Centers" are currently listed as "Level 2" amendments, requiring action by RGC. The proposed modification to the process would provide more flexibility by making changes to these centers "Level 1" amendments, made by staff in consultation with the affected communities.

[01:00:14] Mayor Silvestrini asked if there were questions or comments regarding the changes to the amendment process. There were none. The Mayor entertained a motion to approve the modified process for amending the Regional Transportation Plan. Commissioner Jim Harvey made the motion and Commissioner Bob Stevenson seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

ii. ACTION: Amendment #3 to the 2019-2050 RTP [01:00:52]

Mr. Johner then presented the proposed Amendment Number 3 to the 2019-2050 RTP. These proposed amendments were presented to and approved by the Regional Growth Committee in May, and subsequently released for public comment and review. They are now back before

Page 4 DRAFT

RGC for final approval. No changes have been made to the proposed amendments from what RGC reviewed in May (with the exception of a modification to one "Level 1" amendment that does not require RGC action, as explained below). The projects within this amendment have received the following review:

- Technical Advisory Committees (TACs) on April 28, 2021,
- RGC meeting on May 20, 2021,
- Box Elder, Weber, Davis and Salt Lake County Councils of Governments (COGs) in May and June 2021, and
- A formal 30-day public review and comment period was held from June 26 through July 31, 2021 with two public open houses.

The only modification from what RGC reviewed in May was changing the extent (length) of the "3 Gate Rail Trail" near Hill AFB. The action requested today is to make a recommendation to the Regional Council to adopt the "Level 3 - Full Amendment" projects into the 2019-2050 RTP. Amendment 3 includes a total of 22 projects:

- 13 Level 3 (full amendment of regionally significant projects) requests, 11 from UDOT and two from UTA;
- Eight Level 2 (board modifications of non-regionally significant projects) requests, one each from Brigham City, Draper, Sandy, and Murray, and two each from South Weber and West Jordan; and
- One Level 1 (staff modification) request from the Military Installation Development Authority (MIDA).

[01:06:33] Mayor Silvestrini asked if there were questions or comments regarding amendment #3. There was one comment and some discussion. The Mayor entertained a motion to approve the Level 3 - Full Amendment projects and the air quality conformity determination as found in Draft Air Quality Memorandum 40 for Amendment Number 3 to the 2019-2050 RTP.Commissioner Bob Stevenson made the motion and Commissioner Lorene Kamalu seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Transportation Coordinating Committee (Trans Com) [01:12:50]

Commissioner Jim Harvey, Trans Com Vice-chair, informed the Council of the items that were discussed in the Trans Com meeting held on June 17, 2021.

5a. Report on Board Modifications to the 2021-2026 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Ben Wuthrich, WFRC, presented information regarding requests to modify the current 2021-2026 TIP. The modification required action from Trans Com (since WFRC was not meeting) and the UDOT Transportation Commission but did not require a new air quality conformity analysis or a 30-day public comment period. The modification and approved resolution have been included in the meeting materials.

5b. ACTION: Board Modifications to 2021-2026 TIP [01:16:55]

Mr. Wuthrich reported that WFRC has received several requests to modify the current 2021-2026 TIP with the list of projects included in the meeting materials. Mr. Wuthrich briefly discussed each project. **[01:17:48]** Commissioner Jim Harvey made a motion to approve the resolution to modify the 2021-2026 TIP as requested. Councilmember Clint Smith seconded the motion and the voting was unanimous in the affirmative.

5c. ACTION: Approve 2022-2027 TIP [01:18:30]

Mr. Wuthrich presented information regarding the 2022-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for approval. A draft 2022-2027 TIP was reviewed by Trans Com in June (as delegated by the Regional Council) and made available for public review. An analysis of the TIP completed by WFRC staff shows that the 2022-2027 TIP conforms to the state air quality plans.

Page 5 DRAFT

The public comment period extended from June 26 through July 31, 2021. A list of all the comments and respective responses has been compiled, and a summary of the comments and responses is included in the meeting materials. **[01:22:22]** Commissioner Jim Harvey moved to approve the 2022-2027 TIP as requested. Commissioner Bob Stevenson seconded the motion and the voting was unanimous in the affirmative.

5d. ACTION: Self-Certification of Planning Process [01:26:46]

Commissioner Harvey introduced Wayne Bennion, WFRC. Mr. Bennion directed attention to the draft Resolution for the Urban Transportation Planning Process Certification exhibit information, and stated that each year when the Regional Council approves the Transportation Improvement Program, WFRC and UDOT also need to certify that the transportation planning process meets all federal planning requirements. The staff at WFRC, UDOT, and UTA have worked together to confirm that the federal requirements are being met.

Mr. Bennion also mentioned that this year a federal team also conducted a review of WFRC's joint planning process. Such a review occurs at four-year intervals for each metropolitan planning organization across the country. The final report for this review will be provided to the Council in October. [01:02:57] Commissioner Jim Harvey made a motion that the resolution be approved as presented. Councilmember Clint Smith seconded the motion and the voting was unanimous in the affirmative.

6. Reports [01:38.17]

6a. WFRC Funding Opportunities for Local Governments

The Wasatch Front Regional Council administers six programs that provide resources for local governments, totaling approximately \$40 million annually. These programs include:

- Surface Transportation Program (STP)
- Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ)
- Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)
- Transportation and Land Use Connection (TLC)
- Wasatch Front Economic Development District (WFEDD)
- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

WFRC staff (Wayne Bennion, Ben Wuthrich, Megan Townsend, and Marcia White) briefly described these programs, to increase awareness of funding and resource opportunities, as well as to encourage efficiency and coordination among the programs for applicants.

6d. Executive Director's Report [01:52:20]

Andrew Gruber, WFRC, discussed the WFRC Statement of Principles on Equity and Access to Opportunities. A little over a year ago WFRC made a "statement on racial justice, equity, and access to opportunities." In May, the WFRC Council adopted a goal to "promote inclusive engagement in transportation planning processes and equitable access to affordable and reliable transportation options." In furtherance of WFRC's ongoing commitment to that goal, we have prepared this draft statement. WFRC would appreciate your review and any feedback. There were no questions or comments. **[01:56:15]** Mr. Gruber also recognized Matthew Silski, GIS Analyst, for 5 years of service at WFRC.

6b. Wasatch Front Economic Development District (WFEDD) update [01:58:34]

Mayor Silvestrini stated that since Commissioner Gage Froerer, WFEDD Chair, provided his report earlier in the meeting, as part of the Regional Growth Committee report, this Item number was addressed earlier.

6c. Active Transportation Committee (ATC) update [01:58:00]

Mayor Silvestrini turned the time to Mayor Jo Sjoblom for an update on Active Transportation. Mayor Sjoblom provided a video of her report. It was informative and amusing.

Page 6 DRAFT

7. Other Business [02:04:00]

Mayor Silvestrini reminded the group that the next Council meeting will be on October 28, 2021 and asked if there were any other items to discuss. There were no other items brought forward.

8. Adjournment [02:04:15]

Mayor Silvestrini made a motion to adjourn the Council meeting and UTA Trustee Carlton Christensen seconded the motion. The affirmative vote was unanimous, and the meeting adjourned at 4:05pm.

A recording of this meeting, as well as meeting packet materials, may be found on the WFRC website at www.wfrc.org

Wasatch Front Regional Council

BANK RECONCILIATION - WELLS FARGO July 2021

BEGINNING BALANCE		182,084.49
DEPOSITS: ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE NON-A/R DEPOSITS	463,494.37 317.30	
OLIFOXO.		463,811.67
CHECKS: A/P - WFC EFT A/P - WFC GENERAL URS EFT	389,401.67 0.00 98,572.45	487,974.12
ADDITIONS:	400 000 00	,
PTIF INTEREST	400,000.00 0.00	
DEDUCTIONS:		400,000.00
BANK CHARGES PAYROLL	163.11 216,380.41	
PTIF PAYROLL TAXES	0.00 50,155.63	
PAYCHEX	0.00	000 000 45
		266,699.15
BALANCE PER GL		342,055.93
BALANCE PER BANK STATEMENT		342,695.58
DEPOSITS IN TRANSIT:		0.00
OUTSTANDING CHECKS/ACH:		639.65
BALANCE	=======================================	342,055.93
PTIF INTEREST - SPECIAL PROJECTS PTIF BALANCE - SPECIAL PROJECTS PTIF INTEREST - BUILDING FUND PTIF BALANCE - BUILDING FUND		452.34 1,337,119.04 355.92 1,163,787.06

I certify that this reconciliation is correct to the best of my knowledge.

Kut Mower

OUTSTANDING CHECKS July 2021

USRS 15th transfer	0.00
USRS EOM transfer	0.00
Payroll ACH Return	639.65

Total 639.65

Commercial Checking Acct Public Funds

Account number: 1890008079 ■ July 1, 2021 - July 31, 2021 ■ Page 1 of 2



Ending balance

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL/WASATCH FRONT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 41 N RIO GRANDE ST STE 103 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84101-1385

Questions?

Call your Customer Service Officer or Client Services

1-800-AT WELLS (1-800-289-3557)

5:00 AM TO 6:00 PM Pacific Time Monday - Friday

Online: wellsfargo.com

Write: Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. (119)

Gib - Local Government (Utah)

P.O. Box 6995

Portland, OR 97228-6995

Total debits

Account summary

Account number

Commercial Checking Acct Public Funds

Beginning balance

1890008079		\$229,697.99	\$920,050.18	-\$807,052.59	\$342,695.58
Credits					
Deposits					
Effective	Posted				
date	date	Amount	Transaction detail		
	07/28	317.30	Deposit		
		\$317.30	Total deposits		
Electroni Effective	c deposits/ban Posted	k credits			
date	date	Amount	Transaction detail		
	07/01	18,934.96	Desktop Check Deposit		
	07/08	54,811.57	Desktop Check Deposit		
	07/09	8,344.30	Desktop Check Deposit		
	07/12	400,000.00	Utah State Treas Mixed 210709	0533 Wasatch Front Regiona	l
	07/12	639.65	ACH Returns - Wasatch Front Ro	e - File 7878782339 Coid 187	0285777
	07/13	4,765.82	ACH Returns - Wasatch Front Ro	e - File 7878782339 Coid 187	0285777
	07/15	36,993.52	Desktop Check Deposit		
	07/26	13,500.00	ACH Returns - Wasatch Front Re	e - File 7878782339 Coid 187	0285777
	07/27	344,410.02	Desktop Check Deposit		
	07/27	37,333.04	ACH Returns - Wasatch Front Re	e - File 7878782339 Coid 187	0285777

Total electronic deposits/bank credits

Total credits

\$920,050.18 Total credits

\$919,732.88



Debits

Electronic debits/bank debits

07/12	163.11	Client Analysis Srvc Chrg 210709 Svc Chge 0621 000001890008079
07/13	89,127.77	ACH Prep Origintn - Wasatch Front Re - File 7878782339 Coid 1870285777
07/15	23,491.21	< Business to Business ACH Debit - IRS Usataxpymt 071521 270159602685345 Wasatch Front Regional
07/16	53,503.65	Utah State Retir Urs Paymnt U999500733312 Wasatch Front Reg Coun
07/19	8,007.21	Utah801/297-7703 Tax Paymnt 1452053248 Wasatch Front Regional
07/21	306,976.24	ACH Prep Origintn - Wasatch Front Re - File 7878782339 Coid 1870285777
07/28	162,496.25	ACH Prep Origintn - Wasatch Front Re - File 7878782339 Coid 1870285777
07/29	13,882.86	< Business to Business ACH Debit - IRS Usataxpymt 072921 270161035520141 Wasatch Front Regional
07/30	45,068.80	Utah State Retir Urs Paymnt U999500733313 Wasatch Front Reg Coun
07/30	4,774.35	Utah801/297-7703 Tax Paymnt xxxxx7360 Wasatch Front Regional
 	\$807,052.59	Total electronic debits/bank debits
	\$807.052.59	Total debits

< Business to Business ACH: If this is a business account, this transaction has a return time frame of one business day from post date. This time frame does not apply to consumer accounts.

Daily ledger balance summary

Date	Balance	Date	Balance	Date	Balance
06/30	229,697.99	07/13	528,342.27	07/26	186,857.48
07/01	201,019.45	07/15	541,844.58	07/27	568,600.54
07/07	149,071.81	07/16	488,340.93	07/28	406,421.59
07/08	203,883.38	07/19	480,333.72	07/29	392,538.73
07/09	212,227.68	07/21	173,357.48	07/30	342,695.58
07/12	612,704.22				

Average daily ledger balance \$320,621.78

Board Payment Listing

Wasatch Front Regional Council

Check Date from 7/1/2021 to 7/31/2021

Run Date: 9/29/2021 **Run Time:** 3:10:33 pm

Page 1 of 2

Check#	Check Date	Vendor Name	Check Amount	
DD-35	07/30/2021	Barker Leavitt, PLLC	8,000.00	
DD-34	07/30/2021	Fielding Group, LLC	36,087.49	
DD-33	07/30/2021	PEHP FLEX Benefits	824.50	
DD-32	07/30/2021	Hannah Boettcher	1,054.00	
DD-31		Intermountain Healthcare EAP	500.00	
DD-30		Mountainland Association of Governme	1,245.55	
DD-29	07/30/2021		15,297.00	
DD-28		Utah Foundation	3,500.00	
			10,000.00	
DD-27	07/30/2021	Utah State Division of Finance	3,609.70	
			1,090.37	
			1,216.82	
DD-26	07/23/2021	Avenue Consultants	15,177.58	
			27,992.42	
DD-25	07/23/2021	Barker Leavitt, PLLC	6,000.00	
DD-24		Design Workshop, Inc	9,685.00	
DD-23		Environmental Planning Group, LLC	12,240.00	
DD-22		Fehr & Peers	2,420.15	
	, ,		1,281.80	
			2,940.60	
DD-21	07/23/2021	Fielding Group, LLC	36,087.49	
	07/27/2021	3 17	(36,087.49)	
DD-20		PEHP FLEX Benefits	824.50	
DD-19	07/23/2021		19,054.85	
	- , -, -		2,529.00	
			4,970.00	
			17,239.50	
DD-18	07/23/2021	Hannah Boettcher	1,249.50	
DD-17		High Street Consulting Group, LLC	2,955.00	
	- , -, -	8	862.85	
DD-16	07/23/2021	Intelitechs	4,434.38	
	- , -, -		4,600.00	
DD-15	07/23/2021	Kimley Horn	3,600.00	
DD-14		Landmark Design	1,972.26	
DD-13		Layton Construction, LLC	9,756.03	
DD-12		Mountainland Association of Governme	1,245.55	
	07/27/2021		(1,245.55)	
DD-11		Public Employees Health Program	39,326.98	
DD-10		Penna Powers	8,827.21	
DD-9		Resource Systems Group, Inc	1,139.97	
DD-8		RRJ Consulting	2,000.00	
DD-7		Seven Canyons Trust	23,227.14	
DD-6		Utah Local Governments Trust	469.54	
DD-5		UNUM Life Insurance Co.	137.85	
DD-4		Utah Dept of Technology Services	3,674.09	
DD-3		Utah Foundation	3,500.00	
	,,		10,000.00	
	07/26/2021		(3,500.00)	
	0., 20, 2021		(10,000.00)	
DD-2	07/23/2021	VODA Landscape & Planning	8,650.00	
	,,		14,250.00	
DD-1	07/23/2021	Zions Bank Public Finance	2,655.00	
		Direct Deposits Total	338,568.63	

Board Payment Listing

Wasatch Front Regional Council Check Date from 7/1/2021 to 7/31/2021 Run Date: 9/29/2021 Run Time:

3:10:34 pm

Page 2 of 2

Check# **Check Date Vendor Name Check Amount**

Report Total

338,568.63

Wasatch Front Regional Council

BANK RECONCILIATION - WELLS FARGO August 2021

BEGINNING BALANCE		342,055.93
DEPOSITS: ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE NON-A/R DEPOSITS	661,343.01 0.00	
		661,343.01
CHECKS: A/P - WFC EFT A/P - WFC GENERAL	197,629.39 0.00	40= 000 00
ADDITIONS:		197,629.39
PTIF INTEREST	600,000.00 0.00	000 000 00
DEDUCTIONS:		600,000.00
BANK CHARGES PAYROLL PTIF PAYROLL TAXES USRS EFT PAYCHEX	239.35 162,840.08 600,000.00 39,096.73 88,778.23 14.00	
		890,968.39
BALANCE PER GL		514,801.16
BALANCE PER BANK STATEMENT		514,801.16
DEPOSITS IN TRANSIT:		0.00
OUTSTANDING CHECKS:		0.00
BALANCE		514,801.16
PTIF INTEREST - SPECIAL PROJECTS PTIF BALANCE - SPECIAL PROJECTS PTIF INTEREST - BUILDING FUND PTIF BALANCE - BUILDING FUND		450.26 1,337,569.30 324.55 1,164,111.61

I certify that this reconciliation is correct to the best of my knowledge.

Lut J. Mower

OUTSTANDING CHECKS August 2021

USRS 15th transfer	0.00
USRS EOM transfer	0.00

Commercial Checking Acct Public Funds

Account number: 1890008079 ■ August 1, 2021 - August 31, 2021 ■ Page 1 of 2



WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL/WASATCH FRONT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 41 N RIO GRANDE ST STE 103 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84101-1385

Questions?

Call your Customer Service Officer or Client Services

1-800-AT WELLS (1-800-289-3557)

5:00 AM TO 6:00 PM Pacific Time Monday - Friday

Online: wellsfargo.com

Write: Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. (119)

Gib - Local Government (Utah)

P.O. Box 6995

Portland, OR 97228-6995

Account summary

Commercial Checking Acct Public Funds

Account number	Beginning balance	Total credits	Total debits	Ending balance
1890008079	\$342,695.58	\$1,261,343.01	-\$1,089,237.43	\$514,801.16

Credits

Electronic deposits/bank credits

		\$1,261,343.01	Total credits
		\$1,261,343.01	Total electronic deposits/bank credits
	08/30	400,000.00	Utah State Treas Mixed 210827 0533 Wasatch Front Regional
	08/20	73,502.00	Desktop Check Deposit
	08/13	200,000.00	Utah State Treas Mixed 210812 0533 Wasatch Front Regional
	08/10	14,773.13	Desktop Check Deposit
	08/09	0.16	Paychex Tps Taxes 080621 93624800005134x Wasatch Front Regional
	08/05	480,567.72	Desktop Check Deposit
	08/05	75,000.00	Salt Lake County Net Pay 210803 0000003582 Wasatch Front Regional
			001\Ref*Zz*11302\SE*13*0000584\GE*1*0000584\lea*1
	08/04	17,500.00	Asap Grant Pay 210804 080421E0000248
date	date	Amount	Transaction detail
Effective	Posted		

Debits

Electronic debits/bank debits

Effective	Posted			
date	date	Amount		Transaction detail
	08/10	600,000.00	<	Business to Business ACH Debit - Utah State Treas Mixed 210809 0533
				Wasatch Front Regional
	08/10	14.00	<	Business to Business ACH Debit - Paychex Eib Invoice 210810
				x93526400006073 Wasatch Front Regional
	08/11	239.35		Client Analysis Srvc Chrg 210810 Svc Chge 0721 000001890008079
	08/11	79,226.48		ACH Prep Origintn - Wasatch Front Re - File 7878782339 Coid 1870285777

(119) Sheet Seq = 0005180 Sheet 00001 of 00002



Electroni	c debits/bank	debits (continued)	
Effective	Posted		
date	date	Amount	Transaction detail
	08/13	13,478.26	< Business to Business ACH Debit - IRS Usataxpymt 081321 270162515791919 Wasatch Front Regional
	08/16	42,565.25	Utah State Retir Urs Paymnt U999500733314 Wasatch Front Reg Coun
	08/17	198,269.04	ACH Prep Origintn - Wasatch Front Re - File 7878782339 Coid 1870285777
	08/17	4,671.25	Utah801/297-7703 Tax Paymnt 66048768 Wasatch Front Regional
	08/27	83,613.60	ACH Prep Origintn - Wasatch Front Re - File 7878782339 Coid 1870285777
	08/27	15,898.46	< Business to Business ACH Debit - IRS Usataxpymt 082721 270163931275876 Wasatch Front Regional
	08/30	46,212.98	Utah State Retir Urs Paymnt U999500733315 Wasatch Front Reg Coun
	08/30	5,048.76	Utah801/297-7703 Tax Paymnt 1908257536 Wasatch Front Regional
		\$1,089,237.43	Total electronic debits/bank debits
		\$1,089,237.43	Total debits

< Business to Business ACH: If this is a business account, this transaction has a return time frame of one business day from post date. This time frame does not apply to consumer accounts.

Daily ledger balance summary

Date	Balance	Date	Balance	Date	Balance
07/31	342,695.58	08/10	330,522.59	08/17	192,072.96
08/04	360,195.58	08/11	251,056.76	08/20	265,574.96
08/05	915,763.30	08/13	437,578.50	08/27	166,062.90
08/09	915,763.46	08/16	395,013.25	08/30	514,801.16

Average daily ledger balance \$402,274.78

Board Payment Listing

Wasatch Front Regional Council Check Date from 8/1/2021 to 8/31/2021

Run Date: 9/29/2021 **Run Time:** 3:15:22 pm

Page 1 of 1

Check#	Check Date	Vendor Name	Check Amount	
DD-47	08/19/2021	Avenue Consultants	3,491.56	
			12.00	
DD-46	08/19/2021	FFKR Architects	5,550.00	
DD-45	08/19/2021	Fielding Group, LLC	36,807.49	
DD-44	08/19/2021	PEHP FLEX Benefits	824.50	
DD-43	08/19/2021	GSBS PC	9,980.15	
DD-42	08/19/2021	Lincoln National Life Ins Co	2,488.13	
DD-41	08/19/2021	Public Employees Health Program	39,326.98	
DD-40	08/19/2021	Psomas	20,905.50	
			21,278.35	
			26,839.75	
DD-39	08/19/2021	Resource Systems Group, Inc	7,056.36	
			2,199.08	
DD-38	08/19/2021	Utah Local Governments Trust	469.54	
DD-37	08/19/2021	VODA Landscape & Planning	7,250.00	
			13,150.00	
DD-36	08/06/2021	Utah Local Governments Trust	469.54	
DD-6	08/06/2021	Utah Local Governments Trust	(469.54)	
		Direct Deposits Total	197,629.39	
		Report Total	197,629.39	

Wasatch Front Regional Council FY 2022 Budget/Expense Report

Expenditure by Function

Expenditure	Budget As approved May 27, 2021	Expended 8/31/2021	Balance Unspent	% Expended
Salaries/Employee Benefits	4,623,250	698,331	3,924,919	15%
Contractual	4,009,623	202,219	3,807,404	5%
Audit and Accounting	26,250	416	25,834	2%
Equipment Depreciation	114,000	18,287	95,713	16%
Equipment Maintenance	55,000	8,900	46,100	16%
Dues and Subscriptions	26,475	1,420	25,055	5%
Insurance	13,000	1,874	11,126	14%
Legal	10,000	0	10,000	0%
Printing & Publication	10,950	0	10,950	0%
Rent	435,000	72,895	362,105	17%
Supplies/Software/Sponsoships	132,250	14,960	117,290	11%
Telephone/Data	35,000	3,586	31,414	10%
Travel	66,000	4,429	61,571	7%
Training	79,760	2,354	77,406	3%
Amounts expected to carry forward into next FY	113,607	0	113,607	0%
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	9,750,164	1,029,671	8,720,495	11%
Excluding Carry Forward	9,636,558	1,029,671	8,606,888	11%

% Time Expended 17%

Expenditure by Program

Program	Budget As approved May 27, 2021	Expended 8/31/2021	Balance Unspent	% Expended
Consolidated Transportation Planning Grant	5,270,867	721,661	4,549,206	14%
Household Travel Survey	1,362,896	0	1,362,896	0%
Tooele Valley RPO	10,000	324	9,676	
Local Government Service	110,225	466	109,759	0%
Community Impact Board \$2K	2,000	0	2,000	0%
Mobility Management	10,000	195	9,806	2%
Economic Development	140,000	32,081	107,919	23%
CDBG - Tooele	50,000	9,787	40,213	20%
Transportation and Land Use Connection	2,155,601	212,618	1,942,983	10%
Morgan RPO	10,000	1,821	8,179	18%
Legislative Consulting	146,000	20,000	126,000	14%
Model Development	134,845	14,927	119,918	11%
CDBG CARES Business Assistance	2,300	495	1,805	22%
Davis County Prop 1 Assistance	10,000	0	10,000	0%
EDA Economic Recovery	335,431	15,297	320,134	5%
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	9,750,164	1,029,671	8,720,494	11%

Notes to the Budget/Expense Report August 2021

In this report 17% of the fiscal year (time) has passed. Of the total amount budgeted for the year 11% was expended through the end of August 2021. The budget in this report is the budget that was adopted by the Council on May 27, 2021.

The information contained in this report is useful in that it shows rates of expenditure by line-item and by program and allows the Council and staff to analyze rates of expenditure and progress of the various programs and address any areas of concern. It is not unusual for budget spent versus time to show variations. Some expenses such as accounting/audit fees, software licenses and dues are paid at the beginning of the fiscal year causing the percentage of budget spent versus time expended to go up. However, as the year progresses that percentage drops and the line item and program will finish the year within budget.

Variances in the rate of expenditure by program are considered normal and include some programs that are expected to carry forward into the next fiscal year.

DATE: October 28, 2021

AGENDA ITEM: 2a

SUBJECT: Legislative Preview and Federal Update

PREPARED BY: Miranda Jones Cox

At the Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) meeting, staff will provide a brief preview of the 2022 general legislative session, with input from WFRC Council members Senator Wayne Harper and Representative Mike Schultz.

Additionally, the Council will be briefed on the status of the final passage of the federal infrastructure bill.

BACKGROUND:

HR 3684, the *Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act* (IIJA), formerly known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Bill (BIB), originated out of month-long negotiations between the White House and a bipartisan group of Senators. It passed out of the Senate with a vote of 69-30 and now awaits action from the US House of Representatives. The bill is on hold in the House as Democratic leadership has acceded to demands from progressives that the House passage of the BIB is contingent on a budget reconciliation agreement of up to \$3.5 trillion in social infrastructure spending. With a delayed September vote, the House is now planning to take up the legislation by the end of October.

The \$1.2 trillion bill provides over \$550 billion in new spending for core infrastructure programs and is anchored with the five-year reauthorization of surface transportation programs as it includes the transportation reauthorization bills that were previously developed and adopted by the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee and Commerce Committee, along with the Energy Infrastructure Act and the Drinking Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Acts. If ultimately adopted, this legislation will provide significant and stable infrastructure funding over the next five years, to Utah and the nation.

RECOMMENDATION:

This item is for information only.

CONTACT PERSON:

Miranda Jones Cox, 436-691-3043, miranda@wfrc.org

DATE: October 21, 2021

AGENDA ITEM: 2b

SUBJECT: 2021 Federal Quadrennial Certification Review

PREPARED BY: Wayne Bennion

BACKGROUND:

This August, the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration conducted a review of the regional transportation planning process for the Wasatch Front Regional Council area. Such a review occurs at four-year intervals for each metropolitan planning organization across the country. The purpose of the review is to determine how well the planning process is complying with federal requirements and how effective it is in serving the region. As noted at the August Council meeting, FHWA and FTA have been preparing a report of this review, and a representative from FHWA will share some highlights of the report at the October Council meeting. The report includes both commendations regarding planning process elements and recommendations for improvements to the process.

RECOMMENDATION:

This item is for information only.

CONTACT PERSON:

Wayne Bennion (801) 363-4230 x 1112, wbennion@wfrc.org

DATE: October 21, 2021

AGENDA ITEM: 4a

SUBJECT: Wasatch Choice Vision: draft 2023-2050 transportation scenario

PREPARED BY: Ted Knowlton

At the Council meeting, WFRC staff will provide a mid-point update on the four-year development process for the 2023-2050 Regional Transportation Plan, the transportation element of the <u>Wasatch Choice Vision</u> and part of Utah's Unified Transportation Plan. This updated Regional Transportation Plan is on track for adoption in May 2023. A key opportunity to provide feedback on the transportation projects in the Plan is through this fall's Wasatch Choice Vision workshops. Staff will review progress, discuss current activities including the in-progress Wasatch Choice workshops, and will highlight the critical plan development activities that will occur next year.

BACKGROUND:

The Wasatch Choice Vision workshops are the next big step in development of the 2023-2050 Regional Transportation Plan (the transportation element of the Wasatch Choice Regional Vision). The key objective for these events is refining a "preferred scenario" of transportation projects in the 2023-2050 Regional Transportation Plan.

WFRC, the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), and the Utah Transit Authority (UTA) are co-hosting the workshops which are specifically designed for local government leaders - mayors/commissioners, council members, planning commissions, and key staff.

The dates for the Wasatch Choice workshops are:

- Tuesday, October 12 Box Elder County
- Monday, October 18 Southern Weber County
- Tuesday, October 19 Northern Weber County
- Monday, October 25 Southwestern Salt Lake County
- Monday, November 1 Northern Salt Lake County
- Monday, November 15 Northern Davis County
- Wednesday, November 17 Southeastern Salt Lake County
- Monday, November 22 Southern Davis County
- Thursday, December 16 Tooele and Morgan Counties

RECOMMENDATION:

This item is for discussion only.

CONTACT PERSON:

Ted Knowlton, WFRC, 801-425-3534, ted@wfrc.org

DATE: October 18, 2021

AGENDA ITEM: 5a

SUBJECT: ACTION: Board Modifications to the 2022-2027 TIP

PREPARED BY: Ben Wuthrich

BACKGROUND:

The Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) has received requests to modify the current 2022-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) with the attached list of projects. This modification requires action from the Regional Council and the Transportation Commission but does not require an air quality conformity analysis or 30-day public comment period. The requested modification is listed with the attached resolution.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

WFRC staff recommends that the Regional Council make a motion "to approve the attached resolution to modify the 2022-2027 TIP as requested."

CONTACT PERSON:

Ben Wuthrich (801) 363-4230 ext 1121, bwuthrich@wfrc.org

EXHIBITS:

Resolution adopting Amendment One to the 2022-2027 TIP

RESOLUTION OF THE WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL AMENDING THE 2022 - 2027 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

- WHEREAS, the Wasatch Front Regional Council is the officially designated Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Salt Lake/ West Valley and the Ogden/ Layton Urbanized Areas and, as such, has the responsibility for developing a Transportation Improvement Program, and
- WHEREAS, a Transportation Improvement Program is to include all federally funded highway and transit projects scheduled for the next six years, and
- WHEREAS, the Utah Department of Transportation, the State Transportation Commission, the Utah Transit Authority, and the Wasatch Front Regional Council and its local jurisdictions desire to amend the 2022-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to include and / or modify the projects on the attached project description list, and
- WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of Transportation Metropolitan Planning Regulations Paragraph 450.326(a) requires that "the TIP . . . be updated at least every four years, and be approved by the MPO and the Governor," and
- WHEREAS, the Statewide Air Quality Implementation Plan includes traffic control measures for reducing air pollutant emissions for the Salt Lake/ West Valley and the Ogden/ Layton Urbanized Areas and budgets for mobile source emissions, and
- WHEREAS, the projects included in the proposed Transportation Improvement Program amendment are either included in Phase 1 of the Regional Transportation Plan or are not regionally significant, or are included in the 2022-2027 TIP or are exempt projects that do not need to be reviewed for air quality conformity and consistency with the State Implementation Plan according to 40 CFR Part 93.126 Table 2 Exempt Projects, and
- WHEREAS, the proposed Transportation Improvement Program amendment had a public review and comment opportunity at the Regional Council meeting on October 28, 2021, and all comments were carefully considered,

NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the Wasatch Front Regional Council

- (1) Approves Amendment One to the 2022-2027 Transportation Improvement Program as attached,
- (2) Finds that the TIP conforms to and is consistent with the State Implementation Plan for Salt Lake, Davis, western Weber, and southern Box Elder Counties, and
- (3) Finds that the development of the Transportation Improvement Program is based on a currently certified transportation planning process.

Mayor Jeff Silvestrini Chair Wasatch Front Regional Council Andrew S. Gruber Executive Director Wasatch Front Regional Council

Date: October 28, 2021

2022-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) (Amendment One) Board Modification

Projects Removed

Ogden/ Layton Urban Area

County	Sponsor	Facility	PIN	Project Location	Concept/ Type of Improvement	Funding Source	Project Estimated Cost	Currently Funded Amount	Action	Funding Amount	Year
Davis	UDOT	I-15	15678	I-15; Pages Lane to Lagoon	Pavement Reconstruction	NHPP_IM (National Highway Performance Program - Interstate Maintenance)	\$0	\$17,000,000	Remove Project	\$17,000,000	2022

The purpose of the I-15 Pages Lane to Lagoon project was to reconstruct the pavement through this segment of I-15. This reconstruction is expected to take place with a future I-15 project currently funded in FY 2026-2028. The proposal is to abandon the current project and reutilize the funds on the next Pavement Reconstruction priority.

Weber	UDOT	SR-97	13132	5600 South (SR-97); Hill Field Air Force Base to 2050 West	Intersection/ Operational Improvements	CMAQ_WFRC (Congestion Mitigation\ Air Quality Program - WFRC)	\$0	\$750,000	Remove Project	\$750,000	2022
-------	------	-------	-------	---	---	---	-----	-----------	-------------------	-----------	------

The purpose of the project was to modify and improve operations of the 5600 South and 1900 West intersection as well as some of the adjacent intersections between the north gate of HAFB and 2050 West. Now, the improvements are included in the future I-15; SR-97 Interchange (5600 South) project, scheduled to begin construction in 2023. The new project is funded with State funds and any federal funds would require additional requirements and increase project delivery time and project cost. The federal CMAQ funds will be returned to the WFRC CMAQ program to be re-programmed in this next programming process.

Salt Lake/ West Valley Urban Area

County	Sponsor	Facility	PIN	Project Location	Concept/ Type of Improvement	Funding Source	Project Estimated Cost	Currently Funded Amount	Action	Funding Amount	Year
Salt Lake	Cottonwood Heights	Highland Dr	15907	Creek Road & Highland Drive Intersection	Intersection Improvements	STP_URB_SL (Surface Transportation Program- Urban Area Salt Lake (WFRC))	\$0	\$2,403,497	Remove Project	\$2,403,497	2022

Cottonwood Heights is requesting to remove the Creek Rd & Highland Dr Intersection project from the current STIP. The scope of the project was to add additional turn lanes on the north and south legs of the intersection. The City has decided the project is no longer necessary and would like to focus on other funded WFRC projects. The STP funds will be returned to the WFRC STP program to be re-programmed in this next programming process.

New Project

Ogden/ Layton Urban Area

County	Sponsor	Facility	PIN	Project Location	Concept/ Type of Improvement	Funding Source	Project Estimated Cost	Currently Funded Amount	Action	Funding Amount	Year
Davis	UDOT	I-15	19819	I-15; Pages Lane to Lagoon	Panel Replacement	NHPP_IM (National Highway Performance Program - Interstate Maintenance)	\$6,000,000	\$0	New Project	\$6,000,000	2022

In a recent pavement assessment, it was determined that this segment of I-15 needed to receive preservation treatment prior to a future I-15 project currently funded in FY 2026-2028. The funds are available from the Region One High Volume Road Funds used for pavement preservation projects.

DATE: October 28, 2021

AGENDA ITEM: 5b

SUBJECT: Highway and Transit System Report

PREPARED BY: Kip Billings

BACKGROUND:

In its work, WFRC utilizes a performance-based planning approach. One aspect of this approach is to assess current system performance and recent trends, which facilitates more effective planning for transportation system improvements in the region. WFRC regularly undertakes such assessments. The information in this report is intended to provide support as elected and appointed officials make decisions about transportation investments. Several factors are considered as WFRC selects performance measures, such as the Wasatch Choice Vision goals adopted by the Regional Council, federal requirements, data availability, and coordination with UDOT and UTA.

The Highway and Transit System Report examines performance measures that have been selected to concisely describe the trends in highway and transit operations. WFRC staff selected the performance measures in consultation with UDOT and UTA officials.

Highway performance measures include vehicle miles of travel (VMT), VMT/capita, and peak hour speed as a percentage of free-flow speed. Transit performance measures include annual and daily passengers by mode, and annual passengers per capita.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

This item is for information only.

CONTACT PERSON:

Kip Billings, (WFRC), (801) 363-4230 Ext 1115, kip@wfrc.org

DATE: October 21, 2021

AGENDA ITEM: 6a

SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTION: Amend FY22 Budget

PREPARED BY: Kurt Mower

BACKGROUND:

Each October, WFRC closes the financial books on the previous fiscal year; this includes verifying the final expenditures and determining what expenditures and revenues will carry forward from the previous fiscal year (FY21) into the current fiscal year (FY22). WFRC routinely carries forward funding for projects that are multi-year in nature; i.e., a project may be fully budgeted in the first year but the work is conducted over two or more years, and the associated expenditures and revenues are therefore carried forward into the next fiscal year. This requires an amendment to the current fiscal year (FY22) budget.

As WFRC completed FY21 and we reviewed the final expenditures, actual spending came in lower than budgeted by \$4,456,260. This resulted principally from spending on some contractual items that were budgeted in FY21 carrying over into FY22, the majority from Transportation and Land Use Connection (TLC) Program projects that are multi-year in nature. In fact, the FY22 budget amendments are almost entirely in the contractual line item, reflecting this carryforward. The COVID19 pandemic also caused us to delay or reduce other expenditures in FY21. For example, the decennial Household Travel Survey, which was budgeted for approximately \$1,000,000 in FY21, was deferred to FY22 due to the travel disruptions from the pandemic. Furthermore, several budgeted administrative costs were reduced or deferred in FY21, including personnel costs, as vacancies were filled later in the year than expected and salary adjustments were deferred due to fiscal uncertainties. Other costs were also reduced, such as travel.

As a result of the reduced expenditures in FY21, the amount of funds that carried forward from FY21 into FY22 was higher than was budgeted, resulting in an increase in the FY22 budget as some spending shifts from FY21 to FY22.

The adjustments described above, along with a minor change in estimated expenditures for depreciation, are reflected in the line items on the Expenditure by Function page. The adjustments also impact the projected carry-forward into FY23, again reflecting on-going programs or multi-year projects that bridge the FY21 and FY22 years.

The footnotes that accompany this proposed amended budget provide more detail on the changes to funding sources, expenditures by function, expenditures by program, and changes to the fund balances.

RECOMMENDATION:

The WFRC Budget Committee and staff recommend that the Council conduct a public hearing and approve a motion "to amend the WFRC FY22 Budget as proposed."

CONTACT PERSON:

Kurt Mower (801) 455-0647, kmower@wfrc.org

EXHIBITS: Draft Amended Budget FY22 with Footnotes

October 21, 2021 Draft Amended Wasatch Front Regional Council FY 2022 Budget

Source of Funds

	FY 2022	FY 2022	FY 2022	
	Approved 5/27/21	Proposed	Difference	
Federal Sources:				
Federal Highway Administration - PL	3,128,554	3,521,461	392,907	13% 1
Federal Highway Administration - STP	2,807,443	4,356,815	1,549,372	55% 2
Federal Transit Administration	740,214	686,216	-53,998	-7% 3
Dept. of Housing and Urban Development	52,300	52,300	0	0%
Economic Development Administration	405,431	445,540	40,109	10% 4
Total Federal Sources	7,133,942	9,062,332	1,928,390	27%
State Sources:				
Utah GOPB (CPG match)	140,000	140,000	0	0%
Community Impact Board	2,000	2,000	0	0%
UDOT - TLC	307,200	623,108	315,908	103% 5
UDOT - Joint Planning Projects	0	40,973	40,973	100% 6
UDOT - Model Development	92,597	92,903	306	0%
Total State Sources	541,797	898,984	357,187	66%
Local Sources:				
Dedicated Project Funds	1,378,145	1,597,177	219,032	16% 7
MAG - Joint Projects	0	42,361	42,361	100% 6
UTA - TLC	187,500	295,319	107,819	58% 5
UTA - Joint Projects	0	33,347	33,347	100% 6
UTA - Transit Sales Tax	182,554	219,198	36,644	20% 8
Local Contribution	326,225	326,225	0	0%
Total Local Sources	2,074,424	2,513,628	439,204	21%
TOTAL COURCES	0.750.464	40 474 044	0.704.704	_
TOTAL SOURCES	9,750,164	12,474,944	2,724,781	28%

Expenditure by Function

Expenditure	FY 2022 Approved 5/27/21	FY 2022 Proposed	FY 2022 Difference	
Salaries/Employee Benefits	4,623,250	4,623,250	0	0%
Contractual	4,009,622	6,729,444	2,719,822	68% 9
Audit and Accounting	26,250	26,250	0	0%
Dues & Subscriptions	26,475	26,475	0	0%
Equipment Depreciation	114,000	119,000	5,000	4% 10
Equipment Maintenance	55,000	55,000	0	0%
Insurance	13,000	13,000	0	0%
Legal	10,000	10,000	0	0%
Printing and Publication	10,950	10,950	0	0%
Rent	435,000	435,000	0	0%
Supplies/Software/Sponsorships	132,250	132,250	0	0%
Telephone/Data	35,000	35,000	0	0%
Training	66,000	66,000	0	0%
Travel	79,760	79,760	0	0%
Total Expenditures	9,636,557	12,361,379	2,724,822	28%
Amounts expected to carry into next FY	113,607	113,565	-42	0%
TOTAL BUDGET	9,750,164	12,474,944	2,724,780	28%

Expenditure by Program

Program	FY 2022	FY 2022	FY 2022	
	Approved 5/27/21	Proposed	Difference	
Consolidated Transportation Planning Grant	5,270,867	5,646,420	375,553 7% 1	11
Transportation & Land Use Connection	2,155,600	4,352,220	2,196,620 102%	5
Household Travel Survey	1,362,896	1,362,896	0 0%	
Economic Development	140,000	140,000	0 0%	
Local Government Service	110,225	110,225	0 0%	
Model Development	134,845	135,264	419 0%	
Joint Planning Projects	0	112,079	112,079 100%	6
Legislative Consulting	146,000	146,000	0 0%	
CDBG - Tooele	50,000	50,000	0 0%	
Mobility Management	10,000	10,000	0 0%	
Tooele Valley RPO	10,000	10,000	0 0%	
Morgan RPO	10,000	10,000	0 0%	
Community Impact Board	2,000	2,000	0 0%	
Davis County Prop 1 Assistance	10,000	10,000	0 0%	
CDBG CV Business Assistance	2,300	2,300	0 0%	
EDA Economic Recovery	335,431	375,540	40,109 100%	4
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	9,750,164	12,474,944	2,724,780 -78%	

Local Contributions

County	FY 2022 Approved 5/27/21	FY 2022 Proposed	FY 2022 Difference
Box Elder, 1 voting member	13,406	13,406	0 0% 12
Davis, 4 voting members	71,502	71,502	O 0%
Morgan, 1 voting member	13,406	13,406	O 0%
Salt Lake, 8 voting members	143,001	143,001	O 0%
Tooele, 1 voting member	13,406	13,406	O 0%
Weber, 4 voting members	71,502	71,502	O 0%
TOTAL	326,224	326,224	0 0%

General Fund

	FY 2022 Approved 5/27/21	FY 2022 Proposed	FY 2022 Difference
Revenue			
Local Sources	0	0	0 0%
Other	1,600	1,600	0 0%
Interest	0	0	0 0%
Total revenue	1,600	1,600	0 0%
Expenditures			
Operating expenses	1,600	1,600	0 0%
Total expenditures	1,600	1,600	0 0%
Excess of revenue over expenditures (usage of fund balance)	0	0	0
Other sources			
Transfers in	0	0	0
Transfers out	0	0	0
Net change in fund balance	0	0	0

Special Projects Fund

	FY 2022 Approved 5/27/21	FY 2022 Proposed	FY 2022 Difference	
Revenue				
Federal Sources	7,133,942	9,062,332	1,928,390	27% 13
State Sources	541,797	898,984	357,187	66% 13
Local Sources	2,074,424	2,513,628	439,204	21% 13
Interest Income	12,500	12,500	0	0%
Total revenue	9,762,663	12,487,444	2,724,781	
Expenditures				
Planning	9,750,164	12,474,944	2,724,780	28% 13
Capital Outlay	45,000	66,743	21,743	48% 14
Total expenditures	9,795,164	12,541,687	2,724,780	
Excess of revenue over expenditures (usage of fund balance)	-32,501	-54,243	2	
Other sources				
Transfers in	0	0	0	
Transfers out	0	0	0	
Net change in fund balance	-32,500	-54,243	2	

Building Fund

	FY 2022 Approved 5/27/21	FY 2022 Proposed	FY 2022 Difference
Revenue Interest Income Total revenue	<u>12,000</u> 12,000	<u>12,000</u> 12,000	<u>0</u> 0%
Expenditures Capital Outlay Total expenditures	0	0	0
Excess of revenue over expenditures (usage of fund balance)	12,000	12,000	0
Other sources Transfers in	0	0	0
Transfers out	0	0	0
Net change in fund balance	12,000	12,000	0

FY22 Amended Budget - October 21, 2021

Footnotes

- 1. The increase in FHWA PL is for consulting contracts that were budgeted in FY21 but were not spent before the end of the fiscal year. These contracts are ongoing and carried forward to FY22.
- 2. The increase in FHWA STP funds is for consulting contracts under the Transportation and Land Use Connection Program (TLC) for projects budgeted in previous years but not completed prior to the end of FY21. These contracts are carried forward into FY22.
- 3. The decrease in Federal Transit Administration funds reflects the actual amount of FTA 5303 funds apportioned to WFRC. This amount was unknown but estimated in the FY22 budget approved May 27, 2021. Utah Transit Authority provides matching funds for these FTA 5303 funds and that match is shown in the Local Sources section of the Sources of Funds page.
- 4. WFRC received a grant from the Economic Development Administration to assist local governments with economic recovery due to the COVID19 pandemic. The total grant of \$400,000 covers a two-year period and includes \$60,000 for WFRC staff expenses for administration over that same two-year period. The increase shown here reflects projects from FY21 that were not completed prior to fiscal year end and are being carried forward into FY22.
- 5. The increases in UDOT-TLC and UTA—TLC are funds that were included in the FY21 budget for TLC projects that were not completed prior to the end of FY21. These projects are ongoing, and are carried forward into FY22.
- 6. WFRC, in partnership with the Utah Department of Transportation, Utah Transit Authority, and Mountainland Association of Governments, enters into contracts for joint planning projects or studies. The amounts shown here were included in the FY21 budget but were not spent prior to fiscal year-end. These projects are ongoing and are carried forward into FY22. These joint projects are expected to be completed in FY22. Partner funds for joint planning projects include:
 - \$38,129 for mode choice model update
 - \$73,950 for Utah parking modernization initiative
- 7. The change to Dedicated Project Funds includes funds for projects budgeted in FY21 that are ongoing and expected to be completed in FY22 and FY23, and are therefore carried forward into FY22. The funding shown here includes:
 - \$10,000 for Tooele Valley RPO
 - \$10,000 for Davis County Prop 1 assistance
 - \$10,000 for Morgan RPO
 - \$705,897 for Household Travel Survey
 - \$37,759 for Joint Projects
 - \$823,521 for Transportation and Land Use Connection Program (includes Salt Lake County contributions and local match for TLC projects)

- 8. The increase in Transit Sales Tax is for projects budgeted in FY21, but not completed before the end of the fiscal year and carried forward to FY22.
- 9. The increase in contractual expenditures results from projects budgeted in FY21 that are ongoing and expected to be completed in FY22 and FY23, and are therefore carried forward into FY22 or FY23. It also includes contractual amounts that will pass through WFRC to consultants for the EDA COVID19 recovery efforts. Anticipated contractual expenditures include:
 - \$1,362,896 for Household Travel Survey
 - \$32,000 for model development
 - \$25,000 for web app development
 - \$23,496 for GIS technical assistance
 - \$25,000 for estimating tool for bike facilities
 - \$90,026 for Utah parking modernization initiative
 - \$50,000 for regional freight study
 - \$35,000 for highway and transit public subsidy analysis
 - \$30,000 for Unified Plan Financial Model update
 - \$25,000 for human resource consulting
 - \$15,000 for internal auditing consulting
 - \$16,489 for salary survey
 - \$146,000 for State and Federal governmental affairs consulting
 - \$75,000 for communications and public outreach
 - \$76,258 for mode choice model update
 - \$50,000 for WC Vision/SB34 communications tool
 - \$35,000 for web interactive capabilities
 - \$50,000 for RTP uncertainty planning/enviro linkage
 - \$178,335 for planning studies undesignated
 - \$15,500 for fiscal policy analysis
 - \$79,384 for ATO methodology
 - \$25,000 for Our Communities After COVID-19 initiative
 - \$50,000 for Wasatch Bikeways initiative
 - \$50,000 for multimodal grid
 - \$50,000 for regional street connectivity
 - \$25,000 for bike counter procurement, construction, maintenance program study
 - \$49,283 for streetlight data subscription
 - \$4,990 for Ebus monitor study
 - \$3,699,787 for Transportation and Land Use Connection projects
 - \$340,000 for EDA COVID19 recovery projects
- 10. The increase in Equipment Depreciation is for additional depreciation on leasehold improvements included in last year's capital outlay budget. At the time the FY22 budget was adopted, the amount for depreciation for the project was unknown. This budget amendment includes additional depreciation for this project.

- 11. The increase in the Consolidated Transportation Planning Grants is for consulting contracts in process but not completed before the end of FY21. These contracts are carried forward to FY22.
- 12. There was no change to annual county dues.
- 13. The changes in the special projects fund reflect the amendments described in the footnotes above.
- 14. The increase in capital outlay reflects the boardroom acoustic remediation project that was budgeted in FY21 but not completed prior to fiscal year end. The remaining amount due for the project is carried forward into FY22.

DATE: October 21, 2021

AGENDA ITEM: 6b

SUBJECT: ACTION: Acceptance of the FY21 Audit Report

PREPARED BY: Loveit Baumgardner

BACKGROUND:

An annual audit of the Council's financial records was performed and a final draft of the audit report is included in the packets.

The auditors have issued an *unqualified opinion*. There were no findings or questioned costs. A summary of the audit results may be found on page 47 of the report. Tim Rees and Steve Capson from the auditing firm of Karren Hendrix Stagg & Allen will be present at the Budget Committee and Council meeting to review the final draft of the audit report and answer any questions.

The final audit report will be submitted to those agencies requiring a copy of the report within 180 days of the close of the fiscal year.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Council take action "to accept the WFRC FY21 Audit Report as presented."

CONTACT PERSON:

Loveit Baumgardner (801) 363-4250 ext. 1102, loveit@wfrc.org Kurt Mower (801) 455-0647, kmower@wfrc.org

EXHIBITS:

Wasatch Front Regional Council Financial Statements with Independent Auditors' Report for the Year Ended June 30, 2021.

Financial Statements With Independent Auditors' Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2021



Mission Statement

The Wasatch Front Regional Council builds consensus and enhances quality of life by developing and implementing visions and plans for a well-functioning multi-modal transportation system, livable communities, a strong economy, and a healthy environment.



WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

Financial Section	
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-Wide Fund Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	
Statement of Activities	10
Government Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	11
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet	
Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position	12
Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Government Funds	13
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes	
in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	14
Notes to the Financial Statements	
Required Supplementary Information: Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund	20
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Special Projects Fund	
Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	
	50
Supplementary Information: Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Building Fund	38
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Controls Over	
Compliance in Accordance with the State Compliance Audit Guide	39
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and	
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	41
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and on	
Internal Control Over Compliance Required by Uniform Guidance Requirements	43
Schedule of Financial Federal Financial Assistance	
Notes to Federal Awards	
Findings and Questioned Costs for the Year Ended June 30, 2021	
Findings and Questioned Costs - Prior Year	
Schedule of Revenue and Expenditures by Program	
For the Vear Ended June 30, 2021	10



Certified Public Accountants

111 East Broadway • Suite 250 • Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Phone 801.521.7620 • Fax 801.521.7641
Website www.khsa.biz

Rebecca M. Allred, NCG
Robin W. Bastar, CPA
Lois M. Brandriet, PhD
APRN, GCNS-BC, NCG
Stephen R. Capson, CPA
Douglas G. Carlson, CPA
Scott J. Hanni, CPA
Danny L. Hendrix, CPA
Tim C. Rees, CPA
Jeffrey N. Ririe, CPA
G. John Runia, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Members of the Council and Andrew Gruber Wasatch Front Regional Council Salt Lake City, Utah

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund, of the Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Council's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah, as of June 30, 2021, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information, and pension schedules on pages 3 through 8 and 32 through 36 and the schedule of Revenue and Expenditures by Program 49-52, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Wasatch Front Regional Council's basic financial statements. The schedule of revenue and expenditures by program on pages 47 through 50 are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The schedule of revenue and expenditures by program are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The schedule of revenue and expenditures by program have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them. The schedule of expenditures of federal financial awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal financial awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 30, 2021, on our consideration of the Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Karren, Hendris, Stagg, Allen & Company
Karren, Hendrix, Stagg, Allen & Company


Salt Lake City, Utah September 30, 2021

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

As management of the Wasatch Front Regional Council (the Council), we offer readers of the Council's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021.

History and Background

The Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) was organized as a volunteer association of local governments in March 1969, among Davis, Salt Lake, and Weber Counties and the cities within, for the purpose of establishing a review agency to comply with requirements to obtain federal grants and loans, and to address the solutions to regional problems. In June 1969, Tooele County and the municipalities within, and, in 1972 Morgan County and the municipalities within, joined the Regional Council. In June 2014, those portions of Box Elder County that were included in the Ogden/Layton urbanized area for transportation planning as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau joined the Regional Council. The WFRC was designated by the governor of Utah as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Salt Lake and Ogden metropolitan areas in 1971. MPOs are agencies responsible for transportation planning in urbanized areas throughout the United States. Transportation planning in the region is a cooperative effort of state and local agencies, and as the MPO, the WFRC is responsible for coordinating this transportation planning process. In addition to the transportation planning process, the WFRC provides assistance to small communities with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) applications, participates in developing comprehensive economic development strategies for the region, and provides a forum for local governments to cooperate in resolving problems and developing plans that are common to two or more counties or are regional in nature.



The Council consists of a governing board of twenty-one voting members, 19 elected officials representing local governments from Box Elder, Davis, Morgan, Salt Lake, Tooele and Weber counties, and one representative each from the Utah Department of Transportation and the Utah Transit Authority. The Council also includes six non-voting members representing the Utah State Senate, the Utah House of Representatives, the Utah State Planning Director, the Utah League of Cities and Towns, the Utah Association of Counties, and Envision Utah.


Transportation planning in the Salt Lake Area has been a continuing effort for over four decades. In the 1960's UDOT developed the first Long Range Plan for the area. Since 1973, the WFRC has developed Regional Transportation Plans and has updated them regularly. The process is comprehensive in nature, addressing all modes of transportation, including highways, transit, and active transportation.

Transportation plans are also part of the comprehensive planning for the overall development of the region.

Two main products are developed through the transportation planning process. The first is a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), which recommends improvements to highways, transit, and other modes, to meet the transportation needs of the area with a minimum 20-year planning horizon. The second is a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The TIP is a six-year capital improvement program for highway and transit and other transportation projects contained in the RTP. The RTP is updated every four years, while the TIP is approved annually.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, Wasatch Front Regional Council received funding from the following sources:

\$ 4,879,915	71.6%
56,986	0.8%
63,480	0.9%
335,573	4.9%
1,481,910	21.7%
\$ 6,817,864	100.0%
	56,986 63,480 335,573 1,481,910



Financial Highlights

The following table summarizes changes in the Council's assets, liabilities, deferred outflows, and deferred inflows:

	 2021	2020
Current assets Capital assets	\$ 4,021,225 1,957,999	\$ 3,542,827 273,889
Total assets	\$ 5,979,224	\$ 3,816,716
Deferred outflows	\$ 384,853	\$ 425,549
Current liabilities Non-current liabilities	 1,990,559 2,259,051	1,368,733 1,145,989
Total liabilities	\$ 4,249,610	\$ 2,514,722
Deferred Inflows	\$ 799,291	\$ 411,662
Net Position Net investment in capital assets Restricted net position Unrestricted net position	(34,077) - 1,349,253	273,889 - 1,041,992
Total Net Position	\$ 1,315,176	\$ 1,315,881

Management considers the fluctuation in cash, receivables, prepaid expenses, and accrued payroll liabilities to be normal for this organization. Unearned revenue is advance payments received from local government and other sources for projects in process. Those projects are anticipated to be completed in subsequent fiscal years. Throughout the year, the Council invested funds not immediately needed for operations with the Utah State Public Treasurer's Investment Fund (PTIF). Proceeds from those invested funds were \$11,827 for the year.

The bulk of changes from year to year is due to projects awarded under the Transportation and Land Use Connection program that were not completed during the year and are ongoing. Management considers this to be normal for this organization. From time to time the Council enters into agreements with other agencies to conduct various transportation and other studies and support. For this fiscal year those included work on Transit Support, Community Impact Board, Economic Development planning, CDBG Small Cities support, Transportation and Land Use Connection Program, Tooele Valley Rural Planning Organization, Morgan Rural Planning Organization, Mobility Management, Transportation Model Development, and several joint planning projects.

Overview of the Financial Statements

The discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to Wasatch Front Regional Council's basic financial statements. This report is similar to the last fiscal year's and follows Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34. In addition to the Management's Discussion and Analysis, the report consists of government-wide financial statements, fund financial statements, and notes to the financial statements. The first several statements are highly condensed and present a government-wide view of the Council's finances. The governmental activities of the Wasatch Front Regional Council include transportation planning, providing technical assistance to workshops for small communities for CDBG applications, Economic Development planning, and other planning.

Government-wide Financial Statements. The *government-wide financial* statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the Council's finances in a manner similar to private-sector business reporting.

The *statement of net position*, a component of the government-wide financial statements, presents information on all of the Council's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as *net position*. The Council's capital assets are included in this statement and reported net of their accumulated depreciation. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Council is improving or deteriorating. In evaluating the government's overall condition, however, additional non-financial factors should be considered such as the Council's economic outlook, changes in its demographics, and the condition of its capital assets.

The *statement of activities* presents revenue and expense information showing how the Council's net position changed during the fiscal year. To understand the basis of how these numbers are determined, it is important to note that changes in net position are reported whenever an event occurs that requires a revenue or expense to be recognized, regardless of when the related cash is received or disbursed (the accrual basis of accounting). For example, assessment revenue is reported when the assessments are billed, even though they may not be collected for some time after that date; and an obligation to pay a supplier is reported as an expense when the goods or services are received, even though the bill may not be paid until sometime later.

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 9 and 10 of this report.

Fund Financial Statements. A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts (revenue, expenses, assets, and liabilities) that is used to control resources that have been segregated for specific activities. The Wasatch Front Regional Council, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds utilized by the Council are accounted for in three governmental funds: the general fund, the building fund, and the special projects fund.


Governmental Funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as *governmental activities* in the *government-wide financial statements*. However, for accounting and reporting purposes, government fund numbers are determined with a different approach. At the fund level, the focus is on changes in short-term spendable resources and the balance available to spend, rather than the long-term focus used for determining government-wide numbers. Because the focus is so different between fund statements and government-wide statements, reconciliation between the two types is necessary to understand how the numbers differ. Such reconciliation is provided on pages 12 and 14 of this report. The Council has three major funds which are the General Fund, Special Projects Fund and the Building Fund. The General Fund is used for operating activities of the Council. The Special Projects Fund is used to account for regional planning activities. The Building Fund is used to fund future capital projects. To demonstrate legal compliance, statements comparing budget-to-actual numbers for these funds are included in the financial statements.

Financial Analysis

The Council's fund balance may serve over time, as a useful indicator of an organization's financial position. In the case of the Council, assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,315,176 at the close of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. Net assets are comprised of current assets and capital assets (property and equipment). Currently, the Council's capital assets net of related debt and depreciation is \$(34,077). The Council records depreciation using a straight-line method over the lives of the assets. The Council uses these capital assets for day to day operations; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending.

The Council's net position increased by \$217,126 during the fiscal year.

Revenue and Program Expenditures



Key elements of the increase in net assets are as follows:

	2021	2020
Revenue		
Federal sources	\$ 5,000,381	\$ 4,631,658
State sources	335,573	455,137
Local sources	1,481,910	1,358,329
Other	1,606	1,255
Sell capital assets	-	-
Interest income	11,827	43,731
Total revenue	\$ 6,831,297	\$ 6,490,110
Expenses		
Administration	\$ 1,496	\$ 1,064
Planning	6,560,258	6,476,794
Capital outlay	52,417	29,832
Total expenses	\$ 6,614,171	\$ 6,507,690
(Decrease) increase in net position	\$ 217,126	\$ (17,580)
Net position beginning	1,315,881	1,333,461
Prior period adjustment	(217,831)	-
Net position, ending	\$ 1,315,176	\$ 1,315,881

The Council has three funds that are deemed major funds. The general fund is the fund that pays for the operations of the Council and activities not accounted for in the special projects fund. The special projects fund accounts for the major projects of the Council. The building fund finances capital projects. At the end of June 2021, the general fund showed an increase of \$110, the special projects fund showed an increase of \$80,235, and the building fund showed an increase of \$5,697.

Use of Reserved Funds

The Council has funds with various restrictions. When an expense is incurred which meets the requirements to release the restriction, such restricted funds are first used to satisfy the expense followed by any unrestricted funds needed to satisfy the expense. The Council has reserved a portion of its cash for compensated absences.

Cash unrestricted	\$ 1,777,260
Cash restricted	1,305,240

Budgetary Highlights

The Council approved its fiscal year 2021 budget on May 26, 2020. During the year the 2021 budget was amended to include \$1,957,661 in additional funding for projects such as GPI demographic data support, Oquirrh connection feasibility study, Transportation and Land Use Connection Program, and to account for funds carried forward from the previous year that were estimated at the time the budget was adopted. Several of these projects are multi-year in nature and are anticipated to be completed within the next two years.

Capital Assets

The Council's investment in property and equipment as of June 30, 2021, amounts to \$273,889 net of accumulated depreciation. This investment includes, furniture, equipment, and related improvements The increase in right to use assets is implementing GASB 87.

Property and Equipment

(Net of Depreciation)

	2021	2020
Right to use assets	1,731,301	
Furniture and equipment	226,698	273,889
Total	\$ 1,957,999	\$ 273,889
	+ 1,000,000	

Long-Term Debt

	Beginning			Ending
Description	Balance	Additions	Deletions	Balance
Pendion Liability	\$ 780,735		\$(676,458)	\$ 104,277
Compensated Absences	365,254	36,270	(9,357)	392,167
Leases (right to use		2,202,493	(210,416)	1,992,077
Total	\$1,145,989	\$2,238,763	\$(896,231)	\$2,488,521

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Council's finances for all those with an interest. Questions regarding any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to:

Wasatch Front Regional Council 41 N Rio Grande St, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 Attention: Loveit Baumgardner, Chief Financial Officer

Statement of Net Position June 30, 2021

<u>ASSETS</u>	
CURRENT ASSETS Cash Cash - restricted Accounts receivable Prepaid expenses Total current assets	\$ 1,777,260 1,305,240 914,214 24,511 4,021,225
NON-CURRENT ASSETS (Net of depreciation) Capital assets Leasehold improvements Right to use assets Total capital assets	226,698 1,731,301 1,957,999
Total assets	5,979,224
Deferred outflows of resources Deferred outflows relating to pensions	384,853
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 6,364,077
<u>LIABILITIES</u>	
CURRENT LIABILITIES Accounts payable Current portion right to use property Unearned revenue Total current liabilities	\$ 455,850 229,469 1,305,240 1,990,559
Noncurrent Liabilities Liability right to use property Pension Liability Compensated absences (due after one year) Total noncurrent liabilities	1,762,607 104,277 392,167 2,259,051
Total liabilities	4,249,610
Deferred Inflows of resources Deferred inflows relating to pensions	799,291
Total deferred inflows of resources	799,291
NET POSITION	
Investment in capital assets, net of related debt Restricted net position	(34,077)
Unrestricted net position	1,349,253
Total net position	1,315,176
Total liabilities, deferred inflows, and net position	\$ 6,364,077

Statement of Activities
For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position

	_	Program R	Primary Government	
Functions and Programs	Expenses	Operating Other Grants and Grants and Contributions Contributions		Government <u>Activities</u>
PRIMARY GOVERNMENT Government activities				
General government	\$ 6,614,171	\$ 6,819,470	\$ -	\$ 205,299
Total government activities	6,614,171	6,819,470		205,299
Total primary government	\$ 6,614,171	\$ 6,819,470	\$ -	\$ 205,299
General revenue Investment earnings Total general revenue				11,827 11,827
Changes in net assets				217,126
NET POSITION, JULY 1 Prior period adjustment NET POSITION, JUNE 30				1,315,881 (217,831) \$ 1,315,176

Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2021

		General Special Fund Projects		3				•	Gov	Total vernmental Funds
ASSETS										
ASSETS										
Cash	\$	5,302	\$	608,527	\$	1,163,431	\$	1,777,260		
Cash - restricted		-		1,305,240		-		1,305,240		
Accounts receivable		-		914,215		-		914,215		
Prepaid expenses				24,511				24,511		
Total assets	\$	5,302	\$	2,852,493	\$	1,163,431	\$	4,021,226		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES LIABILITIES Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	455,849	\$	_	\$	455,849		
Unearned revenue				1,305,240				1,305,240		
Total liabilities				1,761,089		-		1,761,089		
FUND BALANCES										
Assigned - compensated absences		-		392,169		-		392,169		
Assigned - special projects		-		699,235		-		699,235		
Assigned - capital assets		-		-		1,163,431		1,163,431		
Unassigned		5,302		-				5,302		
Total fund balances		5,302		1,091,404		1,163,431		2,260,137		
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	5,302	\$	2,852,493	\$	1,163,431	\$	4,021,226		

Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position June 30, 2021

Total fund balance		\$ 2,260,137
Total net assets reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because: Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources, and therefore, are not reported in the funds. Those assets consist of:		
Property and equipment	3,162,532	
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,204,533)	
Total capital assets	1,957,999	1,957,999
Liability for compensated absences is not recognized at the fund level but is recognized for the government wide statement of net assets.		(392,169)
Pension liability is not recognized on the funds statement but is recorded on the government wide statement.		(104,277)
Deferred outflows is not recognized on the funds statement but is recorded on the government wide statement.		384,853
Deferred inflows is not recognized on the funds statement but is recorded on the government wide statement.		(799,291)
Long term debt is not recognized in the fund statement		(1,992,076)
Total not assets of governmental activities		\$ 1,315,176
Total net assets of governmental activities		φ 1,313,176

Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Ge	neral	Special Projects	I	Building Fund		Totals
REVENUE Federal sources	\$	_	\$ 5,000,381	\$	_	\$	5,000,381
State sources	Ψ	_	335,573	Ψ	_	Ψ	335,573
Local sources		_	1,481,910		_		1,481,910
Other		1,606	-		_		1,606
Interest		-	6,131		5,697		11,828
Total revenue		1,606	6,823,995		5,697		6,831,298
EXPENDITURES							
Administration		1,496	-		-		1,496
Planning		· -	6,691,343		-		6,691,343
Capital outlay		-	52,417		-		52,417
Total expenditures		1,496	6,743,760		-		6,745,256
Revenue and other sources (under) over							
expenditures and other uses Other sources		110	80,235		5,697		86,042
Transfers		_	<u>-</u>		_		_
Total other sources					-		-
Total revenue under (over) expenditures		_					
and other sources		110	80,235		5,697		86,042
FUND BALANCE, JULY 1		5,192	1,011,169		1,157,734		2,174,095
FUND BALANCE, JUNE 30	\$	5,302	\$ 1,091,404	\$	1,163,431	\$	2,260,137

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Funds Balances - Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

June 30, 2021

NET CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	\$ 86,042
The change in net assets reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities is different because: Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlay (\$51,475 is less than depreciation	
and amortization expense (\$353,027).	(301,552)
The increase in compensated absences is reported in the statement of activities but the liability is not recorded at the fund level.	(26,912)
Rent expense is recorded in the fund statements but the amount is shown as a reduction in right to use assets in the Government wide statement	305,275
Interest is expense is not recognized in the fund statement	(94,859)
Pension expense is reduced by deferred outflows on the government wide statement.	249,132
Change in net position of governmental activities	\$ 217,126

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

NOTE 1—ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization – The Wasatch Front Regional Council (Council) is a voluntary organization comprised of representatives of local governments located along the Wasatch Front. The Council was organized in 1969 for the purpose of meeting at regular intervals to discuss and study community challenges of mutual interest and concern and to develop policy and action recommendations for ratification and implementation by the governments in the area served by the Council.

In evaluating how to define the government, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units according to the criteria set forth in Governmental Accounting Standards Board's (GASB) Statement No. 14 as amended and concluded there are no entities that are considered to be component units of the Council, nor is the Council considered a component unit of any other entity.

The Council's programs are funded by Federal grants, state appropriations and grants, and various local contributions, primarily on a year-to-year basis.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus - Basis of accounting refers to when revenue and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements.

Government-wide statements are comprised of the statement of net position and the statement of activities. They contain information on all of the activities of the primary government. Most effects of inter-fund activities have been eliminated from these statements. The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities are accounted for using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenue is recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred or the economic asset is used. Revenue, expenses, gains, losses, assets, and liabilities resulting from exchange and exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. The statement of activities is presented to show the extent that program revenue of a given activity supports direct expense. Direct expenses are those that can clearly be associated with a particular activity or program. Program revenue is grants or other contributions that are restricted to operations or a specific activity. General revenue is investment earnings.

The Governmental Fund Balance Sheet, and the Statement of Governmental Fund Revenue, and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance use the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenue is recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when it becomes both measurable and available). "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred.

The accounting policies of the Council conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to governmental units. The following is a summary of the more significant of such policies:

Short-Term Investments – Short-term investments are held by the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund and are recorded at cost which approximates market value.

Capital Assets – The Council capitalizes and depreciates all assets over \$1,000 and values the assets at historical cost. Depreciation of capital assets is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Furniture, fixtures, and equipment

3-5 years

Accrued Vacation Expense – The cost of employee vacations is recorded as an expenditure at the time it is earned by the employee and is charged to the programs on which the employee works.

Accrued Sick Leave – Sick leave benefits are vested and any unused benefits may be redeemed once annually as cash payments for any accrued hours over 80 hours or upon termination of employment.

Program Revenue – The Council reports program revenue, operating grants and contributions, and capital grants and contributions. General revenue includes all investment earnings.

Reconciliation of Government-Wide and Fund Statements – Governmental funds use the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting, while the government-wide financial statements use the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. As a result, there are important differences between the assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses or expenditures reported in the fund financial statements and the government-wide financial statements. As a result, there must be reconciliation between the two statements to explain the differences. A reconciliation is included as part of the fund financial statements.

Inter-fund Transactions – In the normal course of its operations, the Council has various transactions between funds. Transfers are recognized as operating transfers in and out, respectively, by the funds receiving and providing the transfer.

Minimum Fund Balance – Utah Code requires that a minimum fund balance of 5% of the total general fund revenue be maintained and not budgeted.

Revenue from Local Sources – Revenue from local sources is generally used to meet matching revenue requirements related to Federal grants and for other approved projects. Such revenue from local sources is recognized in the period in which the funds are received. This revenue and the related receivables are principally with local governmental entities represented by the Council.

Governmental Funds – Major individual funds are reported in separate columns in the governmental fund's statements. A fund is considered major if it is the general fund of the Council. Other funds are considered major if total assets, liabilities, revenue, or expenditures are at least 10% of the corresponding total for all funds of that category or type.

Wasatch Front Regional Council has three major governmental funds; the general fund, special projects fund, and the building fund. The general fund is the main operating fund and accounts for all the financial resources of the Council except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The special projects fund accounts for resources dedicated to regional planning projects. The building fund is to account for future building needs.

Prepaids – Payments made for goods and services that will benefit periods beyond June 30, 2021, are recorded as prepaid.

Unearned Revenue – Funds which are specifically restricted as to their use are recorded as revenue when the related costs are incurred. Such funds received in advance of costs incurred are recorded as unearned revenue. Restricted sources are used before unrestricted sources.

Indirect Costs – Indirect costs are charged to the various programs on a monthly basis. Such costs are comprised of total overhead costs for the month and are allocated based on the total person-hours worked in each program.

Budget – Annual budgets are adopted by the Board of Council members. Budgets are submitted to the State of Utah. The budgets are adopted using *the modified accrual basis of accounting*.

Estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Council to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results may differ from those estimated maturities. The estimated pension liability is a major estimate that is subject to changes based on investment earnings and actuarial valuations and the changes in estimated liability could be material.

Deferred Inflows and Outflows

In addition to assets, financial statements will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represent a consumption of net position that applies to future period(s) and will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. Currently, Wasatch Front has only one deferred outflow relating to pensions.

In addition to liabilities, the financial statements will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement, deferred inflows of resources, represent an acquisition of net position that applies to future period(s) and will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. Wasatch Front has only one deferred inflow related to pensions.

Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Utah Retirement Systems Pension Plan (URS) and additions to/deductions from URS's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by URS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

NOTE 2—CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The Council maintains a cash and investment pool, which includes cash on hand, one cash account, and two investment accounts.

The Council's deposit and investment policy is to follow the Utah Money Management Act. The Council does not have a separate deposit or investment policy that addresses specific types of deposit and investment risks to which the Council is exposed.

Utah State law requires that the Council's funds be deposited with a "qualified depository" as defined by the Utah Money Management Act. "Qualified depository" includes any depository institution which has been certified by the Utah State Commissioner of Financial Institutions as having met the requirements as defined in Rule 11 of the Utah Money Management Act. Rule 11 establishes the formula for determining the amount of public funds which a qualified depository may hold in order to minimize the risk of loss and defines capital requirements which an institution must maintain to be eligible to accept public funds.

The Utah Money Management Act also governs the scope of securities allowed as appropriate temporary investments for the Council and conditions for making investment transactions. Investment transactions are to be conducted through qualified depositories or primary reporting dealers.

As of June 30, 2021, the Council had the following deposits and investments:

Deposit and Investment Type Fair Va	
Cash on deposit State Treasurer's investment pool	\$ 182,402 2,900,098
Total	\$ 3,082,500

The Council is authorized to invest in the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund (PTIF), an external pooled investment fund managed by the Utah State Treasurer and subject to the Act and Council requirements. The PTIF is not registered with the SEC as an investment company, and deposits in the PTIF are not insured or otherwise guaranteed by the State of Utah. The PTIF operates and reports to participants on an amortized cost basis. The income, gains, and losses, net of administration fees, of the PTIF are allocated based upon the participants' average daily balances. As of June 30, 2021, the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund was unrated.

As of June 30, 2021, the Council had the following investments:

Investment Maturities (in Years)

	Fair Value	Less Than 1 Year	1 - 10	Years	More 10 Y	-
State of Utah Public Tresurer Investment fund	\$2,900,098	\$2,900,098	\$	- -	\$	-
Total investments	\$2,900,098	\$2,900,098	\$		\$	

Credit Risk – Credit risk is the risk that the counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The local government's policy for limiting the credit risk of investments is to comply with the Money Management Act.

Interest Rate Risk – Interest rate risk is the risk that, changes in interest rates of debt investments, will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The Council manages its exposure to declines in fair value by only investing in the PTIF.

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits – In the case of deposits, this is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Council's deposits may not be returned. As of June 30, 2021, all amounts deposits were covered by federal insurance.

Custodial Credit Risk – Investments – In the case of investments, this is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the Council will not be able to recover the value of its investments that are in the possession of an outside party. The Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund is an external deposit and investment pool wherein governmental entities are able to pool the monies from several entities to improve investment efficiency and yield. These monies are invested primarily in money market securities and contain no withdrawal restrictions. As such, the monies invested in this fund are not insured and are uncollateralized, and are subject to the same market risks as any similar investment in money market funds.

Components of cash and investments (including interest earning deposits) on June 30, 2021, are as follows:

Cash on hand	\$	318
Cash in bank	18	32,084
Utah State Treasurer's investment pool	2,90	00,098
Total	\$ 3,08	32,500

Cash and investments are included in the accompanying statement of net assets as follows:

Cash	\$ 1,777,260
Restricted cash	1,305,240
Total	\$ 3,082,500

The Council categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the assets. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The association has the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2021:

Public Treasurer's Investment fund position is \$2,900,098. The unit of account is each share held, and the value of the position is the fair value of the Pool's share price multiplied by the number of shares held (Level 2).

NOTE 3—ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Total

Accounts receivable from all sources as of June 30, 2021, consisted of the following:

There is no allowance for uncollectable accounts.	
Utah Department of Transportation	\$ 871,588
Utah Transit authority	1,645
Mountainland Assn of Govts	11,375
Workforce services	26,856
Travel advances	2,750

\$

914,214

NOTE 4—PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of changes in property and equipment for the year ended June 30, 2021, is as follows:

	3alance une 30, 2020	A	dditions	· -	Reti	irement	_	Balance June 30, 2021
Nondepreciable assets								
Work in process	\$ 	\$	15,268		\$		\$	15,268
Total nondepreciable assets	-		15,268	#		-		15,268
Depreciated assets								
Right to use equipment		2	2,596,951					2,596,951
Equipment, furniture, and fixtures	522,182		36,207			(8,076)		550,313
Total depreciated assets	522,182	2	2,633,158	-		(8,076)		3,147,264
Less accumulated depreciation								
Accumulated amortization nondepreciable assets			865,650					865,650
Equipment, furniture, and fixtures	248,293		98,666			(8,076)		338,883
Total accumulated depreciation	248,293		964,316			(8,076)		1,204,533
Net property and equipment	\$ 273,889	\$	(47,191)	: =	\$		\$	1,957,999

Depreciation and amortization expense were \$352,027 for general government.

NOTE 5—LONG-TERM DEBT

A summary of changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2021, is as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2020	Additions	Reductions	Balance June 30, 2021	Due Within One Year
Right to use assets liability	\$ -	\$ 2,202,493	\$ (210,416)	1,992,077	\$ 229,469
Pension liability	780,735	-	(676,458)	104,277	-
Compensated absences Sick leave Vacation	144,010 221,244	36,270 	(9,357)	180,280 211,887	<u>-</u>
Total	1,145,989	- 2,238,763 -	(896,231)	2,488,521	229,469
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 1,145,989	\$ 2,238,763	\$ (896,231)	\$ 2,488,521	\$ 229,469

LEASES

The entity adopted GASB 87 in the current year which requires that leased assets that meet the criteria of long-term leases be recorded on the balance sheet. The company rents a building that has a value of \$2,596,951 and accumulated amortization of \$865,650 The Council is required to make monthly lease payments of \$29,060. The leases have an interest rate of 4.5% and the liability at year end was \$1,992,077. The right to use the building office space has an estimated useful life of ten years.

Year	Principal		- 1	nterest
June 30, 2022	\$	229,469	\$	85,002
June 30, 2023		249,682		74,263
June 30, 2024		267,742		62,635
June 30, 2025		290,154		50,129
June 30, 2026		313,882		36,588
June 30, 2027-2032		641,148		28,213
Totals	\$	1,992,077	\$	336,830

NOTE 6—NET POSITION

The unrestricted net position of the special projects fund on June 30, 2021, was designated by the Council for future programs, local matching for Federally-funded projects, and compensated absences. The assigned balance of the building fund is for future building projects.

NOTE 7—RISK MANAGEMENT

The Council is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the Council carries commercial insurance. The Council carries a Workers' Compensation Policy for which the premiums are based on past experience.

NOTE 8—RETIREMENT PLANS

General Information About the Pension Plan

Plan description: Eligible plan participants are provided with pensions through the Utah Retirement Systems. Utah Retirement Systems are comprised of the following Pension Trust Funds:

- Public Employees Noncontributory Retirement System (Noncontributory System); is a multiple employer, cost-sharing, public employee retirement system.
- Tier 2 Public Employees Contributory Retirement System (Tier 2 Public Employees System) is a multiple employer, cost-sharing, public employee retirement system;

The Tier 2 Public Employees System became effective July 1, 2011. All eligible employees beginning

on or after July 1, 2011, who have no previous service credit with any of the Utah Retirement Systems, are members of the Tier 2 Retirement System.

The Utah Retirement Systems (Systems) are established and governed by the respective sections of Title 49 of the Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended. The Systems' defined benefit plans are amended statutorily by the State Legislature. The Utah State Retirement Office Act in title 49 provides for the administration of the Systems under the direction of the Utah State Retirement Board, whose members are appointed by the Governor. The Systems are fiduciary funds defined as pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds. URS is a component unit of the State of Utah. Title 49 of the Utah Code grants the authority to establish and amend the benefit terms.

URS issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained by writing to Utah Retirement Systems 550 East 200 South Salt Lake City, Utah 84102, or visiting the website www.urs.org/general/publications.

Benefits provided: URS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits. Retirement benefits are as follows:

Contributions: As a condition of participation in the Systems, employers and/or employees are required to contribute certain percentages of salary and wages as authorized by statute and specified by the Utah State Retirement Board. Contributions are actuarially determined as an amount that, when combined with employee contributions (where applicable) is expected to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Contribution rates as of June 30, 2021, are as follows:

Summary of Benefits by System

System	Final Average Salary	Years of Service Required and/or Age Eligible For Benefit	Benefit Percent Per Year of Service	COLA**
Noncontributory	Highest of 3 years	30 Years any age	2.0% Per year all years	Up to 4%
System		25 Years any age *		
		20 years age 60*		
		10 Years age 62*		
		4 Years age 65		
Tier 2 Public	Highest 5 years	35 Years any age	1.5% Per year all years	Up to 2.5%
Employees System		20 Years any age 60*		
		10 Years age 62*		
		4 Years age 65		

^{*}With actuarial reductions

Contribution Rate summary

As a condition of participation in the Systems, employers and or employees are required to contribute certain percentages of salary and wages as authorized by statute and specified by the Utah State Retirement Board. Contributions are actuarially determined as an amount that, when combined with employee contributions (where applicable) is expected to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Contribution rates as of June 30, 2021, are as follows:

^{**} All post retirement cost-of-living adjustments are non-compounding and are based on the original benefit except for Judges, which is a compounding benefit. The cost-of-living adjustments ae also limited to the actual Consumer Price index (CPI) increases for the year, although unused CPI increases not met may be carried forward to subsequent years.

	Employee Paid	Employer Contribution Rate	Employer Rate for 401(k) Plan
Contribution System 111 Local Government Div Tier 2	N/A	15.80	0.89
Noncontributory System 15 Local Government Div. Tier 1	N/A	18.47	N/A
Tier 2 DC Only 211 Local Government	N/A	6.69	10.00

Tier 2 rates include a statutorily required contribution to finance the unfunded actuarial accrued liability of the Tier 1 plans.

For fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, the employer and employee contributions to the Systems were as follows:

System	mployer <u>itributions</u>	Employee Contributions
Noncontributory system	\$ 288,038	N/A
Tier 2 Public Employees System	106,276	-
Tier 2 DC Only System	 15,145	N/A
Total contributions	\$ 409,459	

Contributions reported are the URS Board approved required contributions by System. Contributions in the Tier 2 System are used to finance the unfunded liabilities in the Tier 1 Systems.

Pension Assets, Liabilities, Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2021, we reported a net pension asset of \$0 and a net pension liability of \$104,277.

	Pen	et sion set	Net Pension Liability	Proportionate Share	Proportionate Share Dec. 31, 2018	Change (Decrease)
Noncontributory System Tier 2 Public	\$	-	\$ 98,272 6,005	0.1915841% 0.0417529%	0.2049394% 0.0371020%	0.0133553% 0.0046509%
Total	\$		\$ 104,277			

The net pension asset and liability were measured as of December 31, 2020, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension asset and liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2020, and rolled forward using generally accepted actuarial procedures. The

proportion of the net pension asset and liability is equal to the ratio of the employer's actual contributions to the Systems during the plan year over the total of all employer contributions to the System during the plan year.

For the year ended June 30, 2021, we recognized pension expense of \$161,241

At June 30, 2021, we reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources relating to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	137,366	\$	2,750
Changes in assumptions		7,596		13,072
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		-		735,138
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions		38,980		48,331
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		200,911		
Total	\$	384,853	\$	799,291

\$200,911 was reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions results from contributions made by us prior to our fiscal year-end, but subsequent to the measurement date of December 31, 2020. These contributions will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the upcoming fiscal year.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended December 31,	Deferred Outflows (Inflows) of Resources		
2021	\$ (161,134)		
2022	(86,758)		
2023	(258,424)		
2024	(120,248)		
2025	1,954		
Thereafter	9,260		

Noncontributory System Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

For the year ended June 30, 2021, we recognized pension expense of \$102,542.

At June 30, 2021, we report deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources relating to pensions from the following sources.

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	131,838	\$	-
Changes in assumptions		-		12,854
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		-		717,585
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions		30,364		47,926
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		139,683		
Total	\$	301,885	\$	778,365

\$ 139,683 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions results from contributions made by us prior to our fiscal year end, but subsequent to the measurement date of December 31, 2020.

These contributions will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the upcoming fiscal year. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended December 31,	Net Deferre Outflows (Inflows) of Resources		
2021	\$ (158,043)		
2022	(84,901)		
2023	(254,253)		
2024	(118,965)		
2025	-		
Thereafter	<u>-</u>		

Tier 2 Public Employees System Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

For the year ended June 30, 2021, we recognized pension expense of \$58,699.

At June 30, 2021, we reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources relating to pension from the following sources.

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	5,528	\$	2,750
Changes in assumptions		7,596		218
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		-		17,553
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions		8,615		405
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		61,228		
Total	\$	82,967	\$	20,926

\$61,228 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions results from contributions made by us prior to our fiscal year end, but subsequent to the measurement date of December 31, 2020.

These contributions will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the upcoming fiscal year. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension, will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended December 31,	Net Deferred Outflows (Inflows) of Resources
2021	\$ (3,091)
2022	(1,857)
2023	(4,171)
2024	(1,283)
2025	1,954
Thereafter	9,260

Actuarial assumptions: The total pension liability in December 31, 2020, actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	2.50 Percent
Salary increases	3.25 - 9.75 Percent, average, including inflation
Investment rate of return	6.95 Percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation

Mortality rates were developed from actual experience study dated January 1, 2020. The retired mortality tables are developed using URS retiree experience and are based upon gender, occupation, and age as appropriate with projected improvement using 80% of the ultimate rates from MP-2019 improvement assumptions using a base year of 2020. The mortality assumption for active embers is the PUB-20010 Employees Mortality Table for public employees, teachers, and public safety members, respectively.

The actuarial assumptions used in the January, 1, 2020, valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the five year period ending December 31, 2019.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class and is applied consistently to each defined benefit pension plan. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Target Asset Allocation	Annual Return Arithmetic Basis	Long-term Expected Portfolio Real Rate of Return
Equity securities	37%	6.30%	2.33%
Debt securities	20%	0.00%	0.00%
Real assets	15%	6.19%	0.93%
Private equity	12%	9.50%	1.14%
Absolute return	16%	2.75%	0.44%
Cash and cash equivalents	0%	0%	0%
	100%		4.84%
Inflation			2.50%
Expected arithmetic nominal retrun			7.34%

The 6.95% assumed investment rate of return is comprised of an inflation rate of 2.50%, a real return of 4.45% that is net of investment expense.

Discount rate: The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.95%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that contributions from all participating employees will be made at contractually required rates that are actuarially determined and certified by the URS Board. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of currently active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability. The discount rate remained unchanged at 6.95 percent.

Sensitivity of the proportionate share of the net pension asset and liability to changes in the discount rate: The following presents the proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 6.95%, as well as what the proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.95%) or 1- percentage-point higher (7.95%) than the current rate:

	Discount					
System	1% Decrease 5.95%	Rate 6.95%	1% Increase 7.95%			
Non-contributory System Tier 2 Public Employees System	\$ 1,703,739 101,050	\$ 98,272 6,005	\$ (1,240,127) (66,702)			
Total	\$ 1,804,789	\$ 104,277	\$ (1,306,829)			

Pension plan fiduciary net position: Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued URS financial report.

Defined Contribution Savings Plans

The Defined Contribution Savings Plans are administered by the Utah Retirement Systems Board and are generally supplemental plans to the basic retirement benefits of the Retirement Systems, but may

also be used as primary retirement plans. These plans are voluntary tax-advantaged retirement savings programs authorized under sections 401(k), 457(b) and 408 of the Internal Revenue Code. Detailed information regarding plan provisions is available in the separately issued URS financial report.

Wasatch Front Regional Council participates in the following Defined Contribution Savings Plans with Utah Retirement Systems:

- 401(k) Plan
- 457(b) Plan
- Roth IRA Plan

Employee and employer contributions to the Utah Retirement Defined Contributions Savings Plans for the fiscal year ended June 30th, were as follows:

	 2021	2020		 2019
401(k) Plan Employer contributions Employee contributions	\$ 312,118 84,655	\$	271,467 77,992	\$ 268,085 55,772
457 Plan Employer contributions Employee contributions	- 182,912		- 168,010	- 162,850
Roth IRA Plan Employer Contributions Employee Contributions'	N/A 450		N/A -	N/A -

NOTE 9— ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY

The Council receives a substantial amount of its revenue from the U.S. Department of Transportation. This agency provides 67% of the Council's total revenue. Loss of this support could adversely affect the Council's activities.

NOTE 10— RESTRICTED CASH

The Council has restricted cash in the amount of \$1,305,240 for advanced grant payments and outside restrictions.

NOTE 11 - PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT

The prior period adjustment is implementation of GASB 87 on leases change in accounting principle. The building that was not previously listed as a capital lease was capitalized and recorded as a capital lease.

NOTE 12— FUND BALANCE

These financial statements include the provisions of GASB Statement No. 54, which redefined how fund balances of the governmental funds are presented in the financial statement. Fund balances are classified as follows:

Non-spendable – Amounts that cannot be spent either because they are not in a spendable form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted – Amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of state or federal laws or externally imposed conditions by grantors or creditors.

Committed – Amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of the Council.

Assigned – Amounts that are designated by the Council for a specific purpose but are not spendable until specific conditions are met.

Unassigned – All amounts not included in the other spendable classifications.

The details of the fund balances are included in the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet (page 11). Restricted funds are used first as appropriate. Assigned funds are used when specific conditions are met such as a request for reimbursement to the Department of Workforce Services for a claim for unemployment compensation. Decreases to the fund balance first reduce Unassigned Fund Balance; in the event that Unassigned Fund Balance becomes zero, then Assigned and Committed Fund Balances are used in that order.

NOTE 14-TRANSFERS

The Council did not show any transfers during the year.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL

Budgetary Comparison Schedule General Fund

For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Budgeted Amounts Original Final				Bu	Amounts dgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget	
REVENUE								
Federal sources	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
State sources		-		-		-		-
Local sources		-		-		-		-
Other		1,600		1,600		1,606		6
Interest								
Total revenue		1,600		1,600		1,606		6
EXPENDITURES Administration Capital outlay		1,600		1,600		1,496 -		(104)
Total expenditures		1,600		1,600		1,496		(104)
Excess of revenue over expenditur (usage of fund balance) Other sources Transfers in Transfers out	es 	- - -		- - -		110 - -		110 - -
Net change in fund balance		-		-		110		110
FUND BALANCE, JULY 1		5,192		5,192		5,192		
FUND BALANCE, JUNE 30	\$	5,192	\$	5,192	\$	5,302	\$	110

Wasatch Front Regional Council

Budgetary Comparison Schedule Special Projects Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Budgeted Original	Amounts Final	Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis	Variance with Final Budget	
REVENUE					
Federal sources	\$ 5,944,345	\$ 5,944,345	\$ 5,000,381	\$ (943,964) a	
State sources	550,372	550,372	335,573	(214,799)	
Local sources	1,950,406	1,950,406	1,481,910	(468,496)	
Other	-	-	-	-	
Interest	-	-	6,131	6,131	
Total revenue	8,445,123	8,445,123	6,823,995	(1,621,128)	
EXPENDITURES Planning Capital Outlay Total expenditures	8,445,123 45,000 8,490,123	8,445,120 80,000 8,525,120	6,691,343 52,417 6,743,760	(1,753,777) (27,583) (1,781,360)	
Excess of revenue over expenditures (usage of fund balance)	(45,000)	(79,997)	80,235	160,232	
Other sources Transfers out Transfers in	<u>-</u>		<u>.</u>	<u>-</u>	
Net change in fund balance	(45,000)	(79,997)	80,235	160,232	
FUND BALANCE, JULY 1	1,011,169	1,011,169	1,011,169		
FUND BALANCE, JUNE 30	\$ 966,169	\$ 931,172	\$ 1,091,404	\$ 160,232	

Footnote revenue variance

a - When new projects are added the entire contract is included in the budget year in which it was received. However many projects are multi-year projects. Amounts not spent at the end of the fiscal year are carried forward and re-budgeted in subsequent years until the project is complete.

Wasatch Front Regional Council Schedule of Required Supplementary Information

Schedule of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability
June 30, 2021

Last 10 Fiscal Years*

Proportion of the net pension liability (asset 2015		Tier 1		r 2 Public nployees
Proportion of the net pension liability (asset 2015				
2015	Droportion of the not penalen liability (const			
2016	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0 1707007%	0	0407256%
2017				
2018				
2019				
2020				
Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)				
2015 \$741,223 \$ (1,234) 2016 1,004,144 (94) 2017 1,180,234 6,047 2018 812,830 4,204 2019 1,418,381 19,620 2020 772,390 8,345 2021 98,272 6,005 Covered employee payroll 2015 \$1,402,300 \$199,888 2016 1,449,896 278,274 2017 1,507,181 444,548 2018 1,516,557 466,787 2019 1,613,028 535,216 2020 1,791,683 515,854 2021 1,791,683 515,854 2021 2020 1,791,683 515,854 2021 2020 1,791,683 516,854 2021 2020 2,333 Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset as a percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69,3% -0.03% 2017 78,3% 1,36% 2020 43,1% 1,62% 2021 2020 43,1% 1,62% 2021 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90,2% 103,5% 2020 2021 6.0% 0.90% 2021 87,3% 95,1% 2016 87,8% 100,2% 2017 87,3% 95,1% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 95,5% 2020 93,7%				
2015 \$741,223 \$ (1,234) 2016 1,004,144 (94) 2017 1,180,234 6,047 2018 812,830 4,204 2019 1,418,381 19,620 2020 772,390 8,345 2021 98,272 6,005 Covered employee payroll 2015 \$1,402,300 \$199,888 2016 1,449,896 278,274 2017 1,507,181 444,548 2018 1,516,557 466,787 2019 1,613,028 535,216 2020 1,791,683 515,854 2021 1,791,683 515,854 2021 2020 1,791,683 515,854 2021 2020 1,791,683 516,854 2021 2020 2,333 Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset as a percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69,3% -0.03% 2017 78,3% 1,36% 2020 43,1% 1,62% 2021 2020 43,1% 1,62% 2021 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90,2% 103,5% 2020 2021 6.0% 0.90% 2021 87,3% 95,1% 2016 87,8% 100,2% 2017 87,3% 95,1% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 90,8% 2020 93,7% 95,5% 2020 93,7%	Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)			
2017		\$ 741,223	\$	(1,234)
2018 812,830 4,204 2019 1,418,381 19,620 2020 7772,390 8,345 2021 98,272 6,005 Covered employee payroll 2015 \$1,402,300 \$199,888 2016 1,449,896 278,274 2017 1,507,181 444,548 2018 1,516,557 466,787 2019 1,613,028 535,216 2020 1,791,683 515,854 2021 1,791,683 515,854 2021 52,204 1,791,683 515,854 2016 69,3% -0.03% 2017 78,3% 1,36% 2018 53,6% 0,90% 2019 87,9% 3,67% 2020 43,1% 1,627,447 6,00% 2019 87,9% 3,67% 2020 43,1% 1,627 2020 43,1% 1,627 2020 43,1% 1,627 2020 43,1% 1,627 2020 43,1% 1,627 2020 43,1% 1,627 2020 43,1% 1,627 2020 43,1% 1,62% 2021 6.0% 0,90% 2019 87,9% 3,67% 2020 43,1% 1,62% 2021 6.0% 0,90% 2016 87,8% 100,2% 2017 87,3% 95,1% 2018 90,2% 103,5% 2018 90,2% 103,5% 2019 87,3% 95,1% 2019 87,3% 95,1% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8% 2019 87,0% 90,8%	2016	1,004,144		(94)
2019	2017	1,180,234		6,047
2020	2018	812,830		4,204
Covered employee payroll		1,418,381		
Covered employee payroll 2015 \$ 1,402,300 \$ 199,888 2016 \$ 1,449,896 278,274 2017 \$ 1,507,181 444,548 2018 \$ 1,516,557 466,787 2019 \$ 1,613,028 535,216 2020 \$ 1,791,683 515,854 2021 \$ 1,627,447 667,383 Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset as a percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 \$ 52.9% -0.06% 2016 \$ 69.3% -0.03% 2017 \$ 78.3% 1.36% 2018 \$ 53.6% 0.90% 2019 \$ 87.9% 3.67% 2020 \$ 43.1% 1.62% 2021 \$ 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 \$ 90.2% 103.5% 2020 \$ 43.1% 1.62% 2021 \$ 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 \$ 90.2% 103.5% 2016 \$ 87.8% 100.2% 2017 \$ 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 \$ 87.0% 90.8% 2019 \$ 87.0% 90.8% 2019 \$ 87.0% 90.8% 2019 \$ 87.0% 90.8% 2020 \$ 93.7% 95.5%				
2015	2021	98,272		6,005
2016				
2017		\$	\$	
2018 1,516,557 466,787 2019 1,613,028 535,216 2020 1,791,683 515,854 2021 1,627,447 667,383 Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset as a percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69.3% -0.03% 2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2019 1,613,028 535,216 2020 1,791,683 515,854 2021 1,627,447 667,383 Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset as a percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69.3% -0.03% 2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2020 1,791,683 515,854 2021 1,627,447 667,383 Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset as a percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69.3% -0.03% 2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset as a percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69.3% -0.03% 2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% 2019 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5% 205.				
Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset as a percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69.3% -0.03% 2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
percentage of its covered employee payroll 2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69.3% -0.03% 2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 87.0% 90.8% 2020	2021	1,021,441		007,505
2015 52.9% -0.06% 2016 69.3% -0.03% 2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 90.2% 103.5% 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2016 69.3% -0.03% 2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2017 78.3% 1.36% 2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2018 53.6% 0.90% 2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 90.2% 103.5% 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2019 87.9% 3.67% 2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2020 43.1% 1.62% 2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2021 6.0% 0.90% Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability 90.2% 103.5% 2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
liability 2015 2016 2017 2018 2018 2019 2020 Page 103.5% 100.2%				
2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%	Plan fiduciary net pension as a percentage of the total pension			
2015 90.2% 103.5% 2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%	liability			
2016 87.8% 100.2% 2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%	•	90.2%		103.5%
2017 87.3% 95.1% 2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2018 91.9% 97.4% 2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2019 87.0% 90.8% 2020 93.7% 95.5%				
2020 93.7% 95.5%				

^{*} In accordance with paragraph 81.a of GASB 68, employers will need to disclose a 10-year history proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) in their RSI. This schedule will be built prospectively. The schedule above is only for the current

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL

Schedule of Required Supplementary Information

Schedule of Contributions Utah Retirement Systems

	As of Fiscal Year Ended June 30	D	Actuarial etermined entributions	F	ontributions in Relation to the Contractually Required Contribution	Contribution Deficiency (excess)	Employee yroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll
	2015	\$	252,255	\$	252,255	\$ -	\$ 1,365,759	18.47%
	2016		275,203		275,203	-	1,489,997	18.47%
Noncontributory system	2017		282,809		282,809	-	1,531,176	18.47%
	2018		282,164		282,164	-	1,527,690	18.47%
	2019		321,464		321,464	-	1,740,467	18.47%
	2020		317,966		317,966	-	1,721,525	18.47%
	2021		288,038		288,038	-	1,559,490	18.47%
	2015		33,858		33,858	_	226,625	14.94%
	2016		52,322		52,322	-	350,920	14.91%
Tier 2 Public employees system *	2017		72,155		72,155	_	483,938	14.91%
<u></u>	2018		76,192		76,192	_	504,252	15.11%
	2019		83,486		83,486	_	537,232	15.54%
	2020		88,751		88,751	_	556,740	15.66%
	2021		106,276		106,276	_	672,630	15.80%
							,,,,,,	
	2015		6,728		6,728	-	100,120	6.72%
	2016		5,914		5,914	-	88,400	6.69%
Tier 2 Public Employees DC only system *	2017		5,968		5,968	-	89,203	6.69%
	2018		5,922		5,922	-	88,511	6.69%
	2019		3,996		3,993	-	59,724	6.69%
	2020		5,109		5,109	-	76,367	6.69%
	2021		15,145		15,145	-	226,378	6.69%

^{*} Contributions in Tier 2 include an amortization rate to help fund the unfunded liabilities in the Tier 1 systems. Tier 2 systems were rerated effective July 1, 2011.

Paragraph 81.b of GASB 68 requires employers to disclose a 10-year history of contributions in RSI. Contributions as a percentage of covered-payroll may be different than the board certified rate due to rounding and other administrative issues.

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL

Notes to Required Supplementary Information For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Changes of Assumptions

There were a number of demographic assumptions (e.g. rates of termination, disability, retirement, as well as an updated mortality and salary increase assumption) updated for use in the January 1, 2020 actuarial valuation. These assumption updates were adopted by the Utah State Retirement Board as a result of an Actuarial Experience Study performed for the Utah Retirement Systems. In aggregate, those assumption changes resulted in a \$201 million increase in the Total Pension Liability, which is about 0.50% of the Total Pension Liability as of December 31, 2019 for all systems combined. The Actuarial experience Study report as of December 31, 2019 provinces detailed information regarding those assumption changes, which may be accessed online at newsroom.urs.org under the "Retirement Office" column using the "Reports and Stats" tab.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Wasatch Front Regional Council Budgetary Comparison Schedule

Building Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Bu Origi		l Amour	nts inal	Budg	mounts getary sis	ance with
REVENUE							
Federal sources	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ - a
State sources		-		-		-	-
Local sources		-		-		-	-
Other		-		_		-	-
Interest	30),500		30,500		5,697	(24,803)
Total revenue	30),500		30,500		5,697	(24,803)
EXPENDITURES							
Administration		-		-		-	-
Planning		-		-		-	-
Capital outlay						-	
Total expenditures		-					
Excess of revenue over expenditur	es						
(usage of fund balance)),500		30,500		5,697	 (24,803)
Other sources							_
Transfers out		-		_		-	-
Transfers in		-		_		-	-
Total other sources		-		-		-	-
Net change in fund balance	30),500		30,500		5,697	(24,803)
FUND BALANCE, JULY 1	1,157	7,734	1,	157,734	1,1	57,734	
FUND BALANCE, JUNE 30	\$ 1,188	3,234	\$ 1,	188,234	\$ 1,1	63,431	\$ (24,803)



Certified Public Accountants

111 East Broadway • Suite 250 • Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Phone 801.521.7620 • Fax 801.521.7641
Website www.khsa.biz

Rebecca M. Allred, NCG
Robin W. Bastar, CPA
Lois M. Brandriet, PhD
APRN, GCNS-BC, NCG
Stephen R. Capson, CPA
Douglas G. Carlson, CPA
Scott J. Hanni, CPA
Danny L. Hendrix, CPA
Tim C. Rees, CPA
Jeffrey N. Ririe, CPA
G. John Runia, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STATE COMPLIANCE AUDIT GUIDE

Members of the Council and Andrew Gruber Wasatch Front Regional Council Salt Lake Council, Utah

Report on Compliance

We have audited the Wasatch Front Regional Council's compliance with the applicable state compliance requirements described in the *State Compliance Audit Guide, issued by the Office of the state Auditor*, that could have a direct and material effect on Wasatch Front Regional Council for the year ended June 30, 2021.

State compliance requirements were tested for the year ended June 30, 2021, in the following areas:

Cash Management
Budgetary Compliance
Restricted Cash and Related Revenue

Fund Balance Fraud Risk Assessment Open and Public Meetings Act

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the state requirements referred to above.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Wasatch Front Regional Council's compliance based on our audit of the state compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the State Compliance Audit Guide. Those standards and the State Compliance Audit Guide require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the state compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a state compliance requirement, occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Wasatch Front Regional Council's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each state compliance requirement referred to above. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of *Wasatch Front Regional Council's* compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Compliance

In our opinion, Wasatch Front Regional Council complied, in all material respects, with the state compliance requirements referred to above for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Wasatch Front Regional Council is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the state compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over compliance with the state compliance requirements referred to above to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance with those state compliance requirements and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the State Compliance Audit Guide, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or to detect and correct noncompliance with a state compliance requirement on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a state compliance requirement will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a state compliance requirement that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the *State Compliance Audit Guide*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Karren, Hendriy, Slagg, aller & Company

Karren Hendrix Stagg Allen and Company

Salt Lake City, Utah September 30, 2021



Certified Public Accountants

111 East Broadway • Suite 250 • Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Phone 801.521.7620 • Fax 801.521.7641
Website www.khsa.biz

Rebecca M. Allred, NCG
Robin W. Bastar, CPA
Lois M. Brandriet, PhD
APRN, GCNS-BC, NCG
Stephen R. Capson, CPA
Douglas G. Carlson, CPA
Scott J. Hanni, CPA
Danny L. Hendrix, CPA
Tim C. Rees, CPA
Jeffrey N. Ririe, CPA
G. John Runia, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Members of the Council and Andrew Gruber Wasatch Front Regional Council Salt Lake Council, Utah

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Wasatch Front Regional Council's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated September 30, 2021.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Wasatch Front Regional Council's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions, laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Karren, Hendrix, Stagg, Allen & Company

Salt Lake City, Utah September 30, 2021



Certified Public Accountants

111 East Broadway • Suite 250 • Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Phone 801.521.7620 • Fax 801.521.7641
Website www.khsa.biz

Rebecca M. Allred, NCG
Robin W. Bastar, CPA
Lois M. Brandriet, PhD
APRN, GCNS-BC, NCG
Stephen R. Capson, CPA
Douglas G. Carlson, CPA
Scott J. Hanni, CPA
Danny L. Hendrix, CPA
Tim C. Rees, CPA
Jeffrey N. Ririe, CPA
G. John Runia, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Members of the Council and Andrew Gruber Wasatch Front Regional Council Salt Lake Council, Utah

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah, compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2021. Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and guestioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Wasatch Front Regional Council's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Wasatch Front Regional Council's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah, complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its

major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements ref Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah's referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Wasatch Front Regional Council, Utah's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Wasatch Front Regional Council Utah's internal control over compliance. A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Karren, Hendrix, Stagg, Allen & Company

Salt Lake City, Utah September 30, 2021

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL

Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

U.S. Department	Description	Federal CFDA Number	State Grant Number	2020-2021 Expenditures (Accrual Basis)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTA	ATION			
Federal Highway Administration	Consolidate Planning Grant (through Utah Department of Transportation)	20.205	20-8137	\$ 3,830,515
Federal Highway Administration	Pass through from UDOT for Model Development	20.205		88,229
Federal Highway Administration	Consolidated Planning Grant (through UDOT, through Mountainland's AOG)	20.205		38,086
Federal Highway Administration	STP Funds passed through UDOT Transportation and Land Use Connection Program Salt Lake County	20.205	14-8915	596,705
Federal Highway Administration	STP Funds passed through UDOT Transportation and Land Use Connection Program Weber and Davis Counties	20.205	14-8969	274,648
Federal Highway Administration	Pass through from UDOT for Joint Planning Projects	20.205		29,125
Federal Highway Administration	Consolidated Planning grant through UDOT through Mountainland's AOG for Joint Planning Projects	20205		19,004
Total Federal Highway Administration	on			4,876,312
Federal Transit Administration	Mobility Management Study (through Utah Transit Authority)	20.513	18-2580	3,603
Total Federal Transit Administration	1			3,603
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANS	SPORTATION			4,879,915
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				
Department of Economic Development	Support for planning organizations	11.302	ED16DEN3020087	58,236
Economic Development Administration	CARES Economic Recovery	11.307	ED20DEN3070117	5,244
TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF COMMER	CE			63,480
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING ANI	D URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
Community Development Block Grant	Small City CDBG	14.228	20-1065	50,000
Community Development Block Grant	Community Development Block Grant - CV Business Assistance	14.228	21-0349	6,986
Total U.S. Department of Housing and U	Jrban Development			56,986
TOTAL FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSIST	ANCE			5,000,381

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL NOTES TO FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF THE SCHEDULE

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is a supplementary schedule to the Council's financial statements and is presented for purposes of additional analysis. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the activities of the Council, it is not intended to, and does not present financial position, changes in fund balances, or the current funds, revenue, expenditures, and other changes of the Council.

NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The information in the schedule is presented in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirement for Federal Awards.

Federal Awards

Pursuant to Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* assistance is defined by a federal agency, either directly or indirectly in the form of grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, loans, loan guarantees, property, interest subsidies, insurance or direct appropriation. Accordingly, non-monetary federal assistance, including federal surplus property, would be included in federal awards, if applicable, and therefore, would be reported on the schedule in federal awards. Federal awards include direct federal cash assistance to individuals.

Type A and Type B Programs

The Single Audit Act Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* establish the levels of expenditures or expenses to be used in defining Type A and Type B federal award programs. Type A programs, for the Council, are those programs which exceed \$750,000 in federal expenditures, distributions, or issuances for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021.

Reporting Entity

The reporting entity is fully described in Note 1 of the Council financial statements. The schedule includes all federal award programs administered by the Council for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Basis of Accounting

The expenditures in the schedule are recognized as incurred based on the modified accrual basis of accounting and the cost accounting principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, Cost Principles for State and Local Governments. Under those cost principles, certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

Matching Costs

The schedule does not include matching expenditures.

10% De Minimis Indirect Cost Rate

The entity did not choose to use the 10% minimis cost rate.

Direct and Indirect Flow-Through Federal Assistance

Some of the Council's Federal awards are received directly from the granting federal agency. However, the majority of federal awards as identified on the schedule are passed through a separate entity prior to receipt by the Council.

Sub-recipients

The Council does not pass through to any sub-recipients.

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements.
- 2. No significant deficiencies relating to the audit of the financial statements are reported.
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of Wasatch Front Regional Council were disclosed by the audit.
- 4. No significant deficiencies relating to the audit of the major federal award programs are reported in the accompanying schedule.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award program of Wasatch Front Regional Council expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 6. There are no audit findings relating to the federal award programs that are required to be reported.
- 7. The program tested as a major program was Federal Highway Administration, CFDA Number 20.205.
- 8. The threshold used for distinguishing Types A and B programs was \$750,000.
- 9. Wasatch Front Regional Council was determined to be a low-risk auditee as defined by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.

FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None

FINDING AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARDS PROGRAMS AUDIT ${f x}$

None

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS PRIOR YEAR

None	
FINDING AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARDS PROGR	AMS AUDIT
None	

FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BY PROGRAM FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

DESCRIPTION	CPG	TRANSIT SUPPORT	TOOELE VALLEY RPO	LOCAL GOVT SERVICE	MOBILITY MGMT
REVENUES		oor roki	14.0	CERTICE	mom:
Federal sources:	3,830,514.72	-	-	-	3,602.89
Total federal sources	3,830,514.72	-	-	-	3,602.89
State sources:					
State funds	140,000.00	-	-	-	-
Total state sources	140,000.00	-	-	-	-
Local sources:					
Cities and counties	77,574.19	-	7,981.19	8,731.23	-
Equipment rental fees					
Other	60,582.88	69,128.00	-	-	_
Total local sources	138,157.07	69,128.00	7,981.19	8,731.23	-
TOTAL REVENUE	4,108,671.79	69,128.00	7,981.19	8,731.23	3,602.89
EXPENDITURES					
Salaries and employee benefits	2,800,363.50	53,324.21	6.437.61	1,789.50	2,945.40
Contractual services	299,484.80	-	-	-	-,
Equipment - purchase	-	_	-	-	_
Equipment - rental	11,954.18	-	-	_	_
Equipment - repair/maintenance	· -	-	-	-	_
Rent - building	_	-	-	-	_
Travel	5,763.39	-	-	-	-
Training	7,648.96	-	-	400.00	-
Supplies & software	53,340.49	-	-	5,570.77	-
Telephone & data	-	-	-	-	-
Accounting	-	-	-	-	-
Dues and subscriptions	26,925.06	-	-	-	-
Insurance	-	-	-	-	-
Legal	-	-	-	-	-
Miscellaneous	-	-	-	-	-
Bank charges and other	-	-	-	-	-
Indirect cost	903,191.41	15,803.79	1,543.58	970.96	657.49
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	4,108,671.79	69,128.00	7,981.19	8,731.23	3,602.89
OTHER REVENUE/(EXPENSE)					
Interest income	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OTHER REV/(EXP)		-	-	-	-
Excess of revenue over	(0.00)				(0.00)
(under) expenditures	(0.00)	-	-	-	(0.00)

DESCRIPTION	JOINT PROJECTS	CIB \$2K	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	CDBG TOOELE	TLC SL	TLC WD
REVENUES						
Federal sources:	48,129.55	-	58,236.25	50,000.00	596,704.64	274,648.37
Total federal sources	48,129.55	-	58,236.25	50,000.00	596,704.64	274,648.37
State sources:						
State funds		2,000.00	-	-	116,962.18	27,587.45
Total state sources	-	2,000.00	-	-	116,962.18	27,587.45
Local sources:						
Cities and counties	3,287.84	-	70,000.00	-	565,107.05	142,515.66
Equipment rental fees						
Other	21,884.36	-	-	-	127,299.76	72,495.15
Total local sources	25,172.20	-	70,000.00	-	692,406.81	215,010.81
TOTAL REVENUE	73,301.75	2,000.00	128,236.25	50,000.00	1,406,073.63	517,246.63
EXPENDITURES						
Salaries and employee benefits	-	1,243,88	92,157.20	31,518.47	136,356.08	102,267.01
Contractual services	73,301.75	-	-	-	1,199,384.82	363,355.02
Equipment - purchase	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equipment - rental	-	-	188.76	-	-	-
Equipment - repair/maintenance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rent - building	-	-	-	-	-	-
Travel	_	_	826.44	_	501.63	376.25
Training	_	_	150.00	_	1,765.14	198.86
Supplies & software	_	_	383.05	129.11	713.91	535.45
Telephone & data	_	_	-	-	-	-
Accounting	_	_	_	_	_	_
Dues and subscriptions	_	_	_	_	_	_
Insurance	_	_	_	_	_	_
Legal	_			_	_	_
Miscellaneous	_			_	_	_
Bank charges and other	_	_	_	_	_	_
Indirect cost	-	756.12	34,530.80	18,352.42	67,352.05	50,514.04
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	73,301.75	2,000.00	128,236.25	50,000.00	1,406,073.63	517,246.63
OTHER REVENUE/(EXPENSE)						
Interest income	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OTHER REV/(EXP)		-	-	-	-	-
Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures	_		_	_	0.00	
(undoi) experiultures		•	-	-	0.00	-

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES BY PROGRAM FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

	TLC	MORGAN	DAVIS	LEGISLATIVE	MAG MODEL	UDOT MODEL
DESCRIPTION	TOOELE	RPO	RANKING	CONSULTANT	DEVELOPMENT	DEVELOPMENT
REVENUES						
Federal sources:	-	-	-	_	38,085.94	88,229.16
Total federal sources		-	-		38,085.94	88,229.16
State sources:						
State funds	49,023.60	<u> </u>	-			
Total state sources	49,023.60	 -				
Local sources:						
Cities and counties	20,000.00	7,714.16	10,000.00	118,000.00	-	-
Equipment rental fees						
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total local sources	20,000.00	7,714.16	10,000.00	118,000.00	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE	69,023.60	7,714.16	10,000.00	118,000.00	38,085.94	88,229.16
EXPENDITURES						
Salaries and employee benefits	_	5,811.02	7,964.30	_	25,741.69	68,644.49
Contractual services	69,023.60	-	-	118,000.00	5,000.00	-
Equipment - purchase	-	-	_	-	-	_
Equipment - rental	-	_	_	-	-	_
Equipment - repair/maintenance	-	-	-	-	_	-
Rent - building	-	-	-	-	-	-
Travel	-	31.36	-	-	-	-
Training	-	-	-	-	-	-
Supplies & software	-	-	-	-	-	-
Telephone & data	-	-	-	-	-	-
Accounting	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dues and subscriptions	-	-	-	-	-	-
Insurance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Legal	-	-	-	-	-	-
Miscellaneous	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank charges and other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indirect cost		1,871.78	2,035.70		7,344.25	19,584.67
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	69,023.60	7,714.16	10,000.00	118,000.00	38,085.94	88,229.16
OTHER REVENUE/(EXPENSE)						
Interest income		<u> </u>	-			
TOTAL OTHER REV/(EXP)		<u> </u>				
Excess of revenue over						
(under) expenditures			-			

DESCRIPTION	CDBG CARES GRANT	EDA CARES GRANT	ALLOCABLE	Special Projects Fund TOTAL	General Fund TOTAL	Building Fund TOTAL
REVENUES						
Federal sources:	6,985.72	5,243.96	-	5,000,381.20	-	-
Total federal sources	6,985.72	5,243.96		5,000,381.20	-	-
State sources:						
State funds	-	-	-	335,573.23	-	-
Total state sources		-		335,573.23		-
Local sources:						
Cities and counties	-	-	-	1,030,911.32	-	-
Equipment rental fees			99,608.68	99,608.68		
Other		-		351,390.15	1,605.98	-
Total local sources	-	-	99,608.68	1,481,910.15	1,605.98	-
TOTAL REVENUE	6,985.72	5,243.96	99,608.68	6,817,864.58	1,605.98	-
EXPENDITURES						
Salaries and employee benefits	3,538.69	4,108.89	442,197.32	3,786,409.26	_	-
Contractual services	-	-	11,000.00	2,138,549.99	-	_
Equipment - purchase	_	-	52,417.41	52,417.41	-	_
Equipment - rental	_	_	87,465.74	99,608.68	_	_
Equipment - repair/maintenance	_	_	36,793.18	36,793.18	_	_
Rent - building	_	_	427,306.99	427,306.99	_	_
Travel	_	_	468.76	7,967.83	_	_
Training	_		2,373.65	12,536.61	_	_
Supplies & software	2,000.00	_	54,300.09	116,972.87	_	_
Telephone & data	2,000.00		32,474.73	32,474.73		_
Accounting	-	•	20,045.89	20,045.89	•	•
Dues and subscriptions			20,043.03	26,925.06		•
Insurance			12,574.81	12,574.81		•
	-	-	90.00	90.00	-	-
Legal Miscellaneous	-	•	90.00	90.00	•	•
Bank charges and other			•		1,496.71	•
Indirect cost	1,447.03	1,135.07	(1,127,091.16)	-	1,490.71	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	6,985.72	5,243.96	52,417.41	6,770,673.31	1,496.71	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0,965.72	5,245.90	52,417.41	0,770,073.31	1,490.71	
OTHER REVENUE/(EXPENSE)						
Interest income		-	6,129.89	6,129.89	<u> </u>	5,697.51
TOTAL OTHER REV/(EXP)		<u>-</u>	6,129.89	6,129.89	<u> </u>	5,697.51
Excess of revenue over						
(under) expenditures		-	53,321.16	53,321.16	109.27	5,697.51

	draft WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL 2022 MEETING SCHEDULE draft											
	Council	RGC	Trans Com	ATC	Budget	WFEDD	CDBG RRC*	RGC TACs SL-WV & O-L	Trans Com TACs SL-WV & O-L	JPAC (Host: WFRC)		
January	27	20			13 (tentative)				26			
February			17	9			15	16	23			
**Orientation	24	24	24	24		24	24			24		
March	24	17			10	16			30	10		
April			21	13				20				
May	26	19			12		17		25			
June			16	8		15				2		
July								13	27			
August	25	18	18	10	11 (tentative)		16					
September						21		21		1		
October	27	20		12	12		_		12			
November			17				15					
December						14		14		1		

Meetings may be conducted in person or virtually via Zoom; advance notice of meeting location will be provided.

- **Joint Policy Advisory Committee (JPAC)** meetings are held quarterly on <u>Thursdays</u>, 11:30am-1:30pm, with lunch provided (if meeting in person)
- Wasatch Front Regional Council (Council) meetings are held on Thursdays, five times a year in Jan, Mar, May, Aug, and Oct, at 2:00 p.m.
- Regional Growth Committee (RGC) meetings are held on Thursdays, five times a year in Jan, Mar, May, Aug, and Oct, at 9:30 a.m.
- Transportation Coordinating Committee (Trans Com) meetings are held on Thursdays, five times a year in Feb, Apr, Jun, Aug, and Nov, at 2:00 p.m.
- Active Transportation Committee (ATC) meetings are held on Wednesdays, five times a year in Feb, Apr, Jun, Aug, & Oct, at 9:30 a.m.
- Budget Committee meetings are held on Thursdays, three times a year (with two tentative dates, if needed, as noted above), at 10:00 a.m. (Oct 12 meeting is on a Wednesday)
- Wasatch Front Economic Development District (WFEDD) meetings are held quarterly on Wednesdays, at 1:30 p.m.
- Community Development Block Grant Regional Review Committee (CDBG RRC) meetings are held quarterly on <u>Tuesdays</u> at 12:00 p.m.
 - *CDBG RRC meetings involve only Morgan, Tooele and Weber Counties.
- RGC Technical Advisory Committees (TACs): <u>Wednesdays</u>: Salt Lake-West Valley Area 9:00 a.m. Locations to be determined prior to each meeting; Ogden-Layton Area 2:00 p.m. in Clearfield City Hall, 55 S State St, Clearfield, UT.
- Trans Com Technical Advisory Committees (TACs): Wednesdays: Ogden-Layton Area 10:00 a.m. in Clearfield City Hall, 55 S State St, Clearfield, UT; Salt Lake-West Valley Area 2:00 p.m. in the Utah Cultural Celebration Center, 1355 W 3100 S, West Valley City, UT

While every attempt has been made to schedule around known holidays and other previously planned events, days and/or times may need to be adjusted, should unforeseen events arise. Any change will be announced, via email, with as much notice as possible.

**New Member Orientation on Thursday, February 24, 2021, 1:30-3:00pm. All newly appointed Council and committee members are invited to attend, as well as continuing members.

Should you need further information, please visit www.wfrc.org or contact Andrea Pearson at apearson@wfrc.org or 801-363-4250, ext 1100.